50 9484



## LORD LYTTELTON's

MISCELLANEOUS

WORKS.

V O L. II.



GEORGE LORD LYTTELTON.

om a Painting by M.". West in the Persession of the Bishop of Bristol.

# W O R K S

O F

GEORGE LORD LYTTELTON;

FORMERLY PRINTED SEPARATELY:

AND NOW FIRST COLLECTED TOGETHER,

WITH

SOME OTHER PIECES NEVER BEFORE PRINTED.

PUBLISHED BY

GEORGE EDWARD AYSCOUGH, Esq.

THE THIRD EDITION.
TO WHICH IS ADDED A GENERAL INDEX.

V O L. II.



L O N D O N:

PRINTED FOR J. DODSLEY, IN PALL-MALL.

M DCCLXXX.

### OBSER VATIONS

ONTHE

#### CONVERSION AND APOSTLESHIP

OF

# Sr. P A U L.

IN A

LETTER TO GILBERT WEST, Efq;

## [v]

### CONTENTS

O F

#### THE SECOND VOLUME.

Observations on the Conversion and Apostleship of St. Paul, in a Letter to Gilbert
West, Esq; — Page 1
Dialogues of the Dead, — 93—410

## OBSERVATIONS, &c.

#### 英某某某某某

## To GILBERT WEST, Efq.

SIR,

In a late conversation we had together upon the subject of the Christian religion, I told you, that, besides all the proofs of it which may be drawn from the prophecies of the Old Testament, from the necessary connexion it has with the whole system of the Jewish religion, from the miracles of Christ, and from the evidence given of his resurrection by all the other apostles; I thought the Conversion and the Apostleship of St. Paul alone, duly considered, was of itself a demonstration sufficient to prove Christianity to be a divine revelation.

As you feemed to think that so compendious a proof might be of use to convince

B 2 those

#### ON THE CONVERSION, &c.

those unbelievers that will not attend to a longer series of arguments, I have thrown together the reasons upon which I support

that proposition.

4

In the xxvith chapter of the Acts of the Apostles, writ by a cotemporary author, and a companion of St. Paul in preaching the ch. xx. 6. gospel, as appears by the book itself, St. 13, 14. Paul is said to have given himself this account of his conversion and preaching, to king Agrippa, and Festus the Roman governor:

46 My manner of life from my youth, "which was, at the first, among mine own nation at Jerusalem, know all the " Jews, which knew me from the begin-"ining (if they would testify): that, after the strictest sect of our religion, I lived a " Pharifee. And now I ftand and am judged " for the hope of the promife made by God " unto our fathers: unto which promife our "twelve tribes, instantly serving God day " and night, hope to come: for which 66 hope' fake, king Agrippa, I am accused " by the Jews. Why should it be thought " a thing incredible with you, that God " should raise the dead? I verily thought " with myself, that I ought to do many " things contrary to the name of Jefus of " Nazareth. Which thing I also did in Je-" rusalem, and many of the saints did I shut " up in prison, having received authority " from the chief priests; and when they

## OF ST. PAUL.

" were put to death, I gave my voice against them. And I punished them oft in every fynagogue, and compelled them to blaf-" pheme; and, being exceedingly mad " against them, I persecuted them even unto " strange cities. Whereupon, as I went to " Damascus, with authority and commission " from the chief priefts, at mid-day, o king, "I faw in the way a light from heaven, above the brightness of the sun, shining " round about me, and them which journeyed " with me. And when we were all fallen to the earth, I heard a voice speaking unto " me, and faying, in the Hebrew tongue, "Saul, Saul, why persecutest thou me? It " is hard for thee to kick against the pricks. " And I said, Who art thou, Lord? And he " faid, I am Jesus, whom thou persecutest. But, rife, stand upon thy feet; for I have " appeared unto thee for this purpose, to make thee a minister, and a witness both of those things which thou hast feen, and " of those things in the which I will appear unto thee; delivering thee from the people, " and from the Gentiles, unto whom I now fend thee, to open their eyes, and to turn " them from darkness to light, and from the " power of Satan unto God, that they may " receive forgiveness of sins, and inheritance among them which are fauctified by faith that is in me. Whereupon, o king Agrippa, "I was not disobedient to the heavenly B 3 " vision:

existion: but shewed first unto them of Da-"mascus, and at Jerusalem, and throughout 'i all the coast of Judæa, and to the Gentiles, that they should repent, and turn to "God, and do works meet for repentance. "For these causes the Jews caught me in the temple, and went about to kill me. 44 Having therefore obtained help of God, I of continue unto this day witnessing both to " fmall and great, faying none other things than those which Moses and the prophets " did fay should come: that Christ should of fuffer; and that he should be the first that " should rife from the dead, and should " shew light to the people, and to the Gen-"tiles. And as he thus spake for himself, "Festus said, with a loud voice, Paul, thou " art beside thyself; much learning doth " make thee mad. But he faid, I am not " mad, most noble Festus; but speak forth the words of truth and soberness. For the 44 king knoweth of these things, before whom also I speak freely; for I am per-" fuaded, that none of these things are 66 hidden from him; for the thing was not of done in a corner. King Agrippa, believest "thou the prophets? I know that thou be-" lievest .- Theu Agrippa faid unto Paul, "Almost thou persuadest me to be a Chris-"tian. And Paul faid, I would to God "that not only thou, but also all that hear " me this day, were both almost and altother such as I am, except these bonds." In

In another chapter of the same book he gives Ads xxit. in substance the same account to the Jews, 10-16. adding these further particulars: " And I " faid, What shall I do, Lord? And the "Lord said unto me, Arise, and go into " Damascus; and there it shall be told thee " of all things which are appointed for thee " to do. And when I could not see for the " glory of that light, being led by the hand of them that were with me, I came into Damascus. And one Ananias, a devout " man according to the law, having a good " report of all the Jews that dwelt there, e came unto me, and stood, and said unto " me, Brother Saul, receive thy fight; and " the fame hour I looked up upon him. " And he faid, The God of our fathers hath " chosen thee, that thou should'st know his " will, and fee that just one, and should'st hear 46 the voice of his mouth. For thou shalt be " his witness unto all men of what thou hast " feen and heard. And now why tarriest thou? " Arise, and be baptized, and wash away thy " fins, calling on the name of the Lord."

In the ixth chapter of the same book, the author of it relates the same story, with some other circumstances not mentioned in these accounts: as, that Saul in a vision saw Ana-Assix 12. nias before he came to him, coming in, and putting his hand upon him that he might receive his sight; and that when Ananias had spoken to him, immediately there fell from his Vet. 18. eyes us it had been scales.

B 4

And agreeably to all these accounts, St. Paul thus speaks of himself in the epistles he wrote to the feveral churches he planted; the authenticity of which cannot be doubted, without overturning all rules by which the authority and genuineness of any writings can be proved or confirmed.

Gal. i. ı ı—ı6.

To the Galatians he fays: " I certify you, brethren, that the gospel which " preached by me is not after man. For I " neither received of man, neither was I staught it, but by the revelation of Jesus " Christ. For ye have heard of my conver-" fation in time past in the Jews religion, how that beyond measure I persecuted the church of God, and wasted it. And pro-fitted in the Jews religion above many mine equals in my own nation, being more exceedingly zealous of the traditions " of my fathers. But when it pleased God, "who feparated me from my mother's " womb, and called me by his grace, to " reveal his son in me, that I might preach Philip, iii, " him among the heathen, immediately I " conferred not with flesh and blood," &c.

4---8.

To the Philippians he fays, "If any other man thinketh that he hath whereof he " might trust in the flesh, I more; cir-" cumcifed the eighth day, of the stock " of Israel, of the tribe of Benjamin, an " Hebrew of the Hebrews. As touching 66 the law, a Pharifee; concerning zeal, persecuting the church; touching the " righteousness "righteousness which is in the law, blameless. But what things were gain to me;
those I counted loss for Christ. Yea
doubtless, and I count all things but loss,
for the excellency of the knowledge of
Christ Jesus my Lord, for whom I have
fuffered the loss of all things; and do
count them but dung, that I may win
Christ."

And in his epiffle to Timothy he writes thus: "I thank Jesus Christ our Lord, Tim. i. "who hath enabled me, for that he counted 12, 13.

" me faithful, putting me into the ministry,

"who was before a blasphemer, and a perfecutor, and injurious; but I obtained

" mercy, because I did it ignorantly in un-

" belief."

In other epiftles, he calls himself an apostle <sup>2</sup>Con. i. i. by the will of God, by the commandment of God <sup>1</sup>Tim. i. i. our faviour, and Lord Jesus Christ;—and an Gal. i. i. apostle, not of men, neither by men, but by Jesus Christ, and God the father, who raised him from the dead. All which implies some miraculous call that made him an apostle. And to the Corinthians he says, after enumerating many appearances of Jesus after his resurrection, "And last of all he was <sup>1</sup>Cor. xv <sup>12</sup> seen of me also, as one born out of due."

Now it must of necessity be, that the perfon attesting these things of himself, and of whom they are related in so authentick a manner, either was an impostor, who said what

what he knew to be falle with an intent to deceive; or he was an enthusiast, who by the force of an over-heated imagination imposed on himself; or he was deceived by the fraud of others, and all that he faid must be imputed to the power of that deceit; or what he declared to have been the cause of his conversion, and to have happened in confequence of it, did all really happen, therefore the Christian religion is a divine revelation.

Now that he was not an imposfor, who faid what he knew to be false with an intent to deceive, I shall endeavour to prove, by shewing that he could have no rational motives to undertake fuch an imposture, nor could have possibly carried it on with any fuccess by the means we know he employed.

First then, the inducement to such an imposture must have been one of these two, either the hope of advancing himself by it in his temporal interest, credit, or power; or the gratification of some of his passions under the authority of it, and by the means it afforded.

Now these were the circumstances in which St. Paul declared his conversion to the faith of Christ Jesus. That Jesus, who called himself the Messiah, and Son of God, notwithstanding the innocence and holiness of his life, notwithstanding the miracles by which he attested his mission, had been crue cified by the Jews as an impostor and blak-

phemer,

phemer, which crucifixion not only must (humanly speaking) have intimidated others from following him, or espousing his doctrines, but served to confirm the Jews in their opinion that he could not be their promifed Messiah, who according to all their prejudices was not to fuffer in any manner, but to reign triumphant for ever here upon earth. His apostles indeed, though at first they appeared to be terrified by the death of their master, and disappointed in all their hopes, yet had furprizingly recovered their spirits again, and publickly taught in his name, declaring him to be rifen from the grave, and confirming that miracle by many they worked, or pretended to work, themfelves. But the chief priests and rulers among the Jews were so far from being converted either by their words or their works, that they had begun a fevere perfecution against them, put some to death, imprisoned others, and were going on with infatiable rage against the whole sect. In all these severities Ass vii. 9-St. Paul concurred, being himfelf a Pharifee, 22, 23. bred up at the feet of Gamaliel, one of the chief of that fect; nor was he content in the heat of his zeal with perfecuting the Christians who were at Jerusalem, but, breathing Acts ix. 1. out threatning and Slaughter against the disciples of the Lord, went unto the high priest, and desired of him letters to Damascus to the synagogues, that if he found any of this way, whether they were men or women, he might bring 

Acts xxii. bring them bound to ferufalem. His request was complied with, and he went to Damascus with authority and commission from the high priest. At this instant of time, and under these circumstances, did he become a disciple of Christ. What could be his motives to take fuch a part? was it the hope of increasing his wealth? The certain consequence of his taking that part was not only the loss of all that he had, but of all hopes of acquiring more. Those whom he left, were the dispolers of wealth, of dignity, of power in Judæa: those whom he went to, were indigent men, oppressed and kept down from all means of improving their fortunes. They among them who had more than the rest, shared what they had with their brethren; but with this assistance the whole community was hardly supplied with the necessaries of life. And even in churches he afterwards planted himself, which were much more wealthy than that of Jerusalem, so far was St. Paul from availing himself of their charity, or the veneration they had for him, in order to draw that wealth to himself, that he often refused to take any part of it for the necessaries of life.

Cor. xv. Thus he tells the Corinthians, "Even unto this present hour we both hunger and thirst, and are naked, and are buffeted, and have no certain dwelling-place, and labour, working with our own hands."

In another epistle he writes to them, 2 Cor. xii. "Behold the third time I am ready to come 14to you, and I will not be burthensome to wou, for I feek not yours, but you; for 44 the children ought not to lay up for the " parents, but the parents for the children." To the Thessalonians he says, "As we Thess. ii. " were allowed of God to be put in trust 4, 5, 6. 9-" with the gospel; even so we speak, not as " pleasing men, but God, which trieth our " hearts. For neither at any time used we " flattering words, nor a cloak of covetouf-" ness, God is witness; nor of men sought " we glory, neither of you, nor yet of " others, when we might have been burthen-" some, as the apostles of Christ. For ye " remember, brethren, our labour and travel: " for, labouring night and day, because we " would not be chargeable to any of you, " we preached unto you the gospel of God." And again, in another letter to thom, he Theffine repeats the fame testimony of his disinterestedness: " Neither did we eat any man's " bread for nought; but wrought with " labour and travel night and day, that we " might not be chargeable to any of you." And when he took his farewell of the church of Ephesus, to whom he foretold that they should see him no more, he gives this testimony of himself, and appeals to them for

filver, or gold, or apparel. Yea, you 33, 3446 yourselves know, that these hands have

the truth of it: "I have coveted no man's Ads xx.

ministered unto my necessities, and to them that were with me." It is then evident, both from the state of the church when St. Paul first came into it, and from his behaviour afterwards, that he had no thoughts of increasing his wealth by becoming a Christian; whereas, by continuing to be their enemy, he had almost certain hopes of making his fortune, by the favour of those who were at the head of the Jewish state, to whom nothing could more recommend him than the zeal which he shewed in that persecution. As to credit or reputation, that too lay all on the fide he forfook. The fect he embraced was under the greatest and most universal contempt of any then in the world. The chiefs and leaders of it were men of the lowest birth, education, and rank. They had no one advantage of parts or learning, or other human endowments, to recommend them. The doctrines they taught were contrary to those which they who were accounted the wifest and the most knowing of their nation professed. The wonderful works that they did were either imputed to magick or to imposture. The very Author and Head of their faith had been condemned as a criminal, and died on the cross between two thieves. Could the disciple of Gamaliel think he should gain any credit or reputation by becoming a teacher in a college of fishermen? could he flatter himfelf, that either in or out of Judge the doctrines he taught DOWELL could

could do him any honour? No, he knew very well that the preaching Christ crucified was a stumbling-block to the fews, and to the Greeks foolifhness. He afterwards found by experience, that, in all parts of the world, contempt was the portion of whoever engaged in preaching a mystery so unpalatable to the world, to all its passions and pleasures, and fo irreconcileable to the pride of human reason. We are made (says he to the Corinthians) as the filth of the world, the offscouring of all things unto this day. Yet he went on as zealously as he set out, and was not ashamed of the gospel of Christ. Certainly then the desire of glory, the ambi-tion of making to himself a great name, was not his motive to embrace Christianity. Was it then the love of power? power! over whom? over a flock of sheep driven to the flaughter, whose shepherd himself had been murdered a little before. All he could hope from that power was, to be marked out in a particular manner for the same knife, which he had feen so bloodily drawn against them. Could he expect more mercy from the chief priests and the rulers, than they had shewn to Jesus himself? would not their anger be probably fiercer against the deserter and betrayer of their cause, than against any other of the apostles? was power over so mean and despised a sett of men worth the attempting with so much danger? But still it may be faid, there are some natures so fond of power. Es transco

power, that they will court it at any risk, and be pleased with it even over the meanest, Let us see then what power St. Paul assumed over the Christians. Did he pretend to any fuperiority over the other apostles? No: he Ephes. iii. declared himself the least of them, and less Cor. xv. than the least of all saints. Even in the churches he planted himself, he never pretended to any primacy or power above the other apostles: nor would he be regarded any otherwise by them, than as the instrument to them of the grace of God, and preacher of the gospel; not as the head of a fect. To the Corinthians he writes in these words: " Now this I fay, that every one " of you faith, I am of Paul, and I of " Apollos, and I of Cephas, and I of Christ. " Is Christ divided? was Paul crucified for " you? or were ye baptized in the name of "Paul?" And in another place, "Who " then is Paul, and who is Apollos, but " ministers by whom ye believed, even as " the Lord gave to every man? for we 2 Cor. iv. " preach not ourselves, but Christ Jesus the Lord, and ourselves your servants for

" Jesus sake."

All the authority he exercised over them was purely of a spiritual nature, tending to their instruction and edification, without any mixture of that civil dominion in which alone an impostor can find his account. Such was the dominion acquired and exercised through the pretence of divine inspiration, by many

ancient

ancient legislators; by Minos, Radamanthus, Triptolemus, Lycurgus, Numa, Zaleucus, Zoroaster, Zamolxis, nay even by Pythagoras, who joined legislation to his philosophy, and, like the others, pretended to miracles and revelations from God, to give a more venerable fanction to the laws he prescribed. Such, in later times, was attained by Odin among the Goths, by Mahomet among the Arabians, by Mango Copac among the Peruvians, by the Sofi family among the Perfians, and that of the Xeriffs among the Moors. To fuch a dominion did also aspire the many false Messiahs among the Jews. In short, a spiritual authority was only defired as a foundation for temporal power, or as the support of it, by all these pretenders to divine inspirations, and others whom history mentions, in different ages and countries, to have used the same arts. But St. Paul innovated nothing in government or civil affairs; he meddled not with legislation, he formed no commonwealths, he raised no seditions, he affected no temporal power. Obedience to their rulers was the doctrine he taught to the Rom. xin. churches he planted, and what he taught he practifed himself; nor did he use any of those foothing arts by which ambitious and cunning men recommend themselves to the favour of those whom they endeavour to subject to their power. Whatever was wrong in the disciples under his care he freely reproved, as it became a teacher from God, of which numberless VOL. II. instance

Phil. ii. 15-17.

instances are to be found in all his epistles. And he was as careful of them when he had left them, as while he refided among them; which an impostor would hardly have been, whose ends were centered all in himself. This is the manner in which he writes to the Phi-Phil. ii. 12. lippians: "Wherefore, my beloved, as ye " have always obeyed, not in my presence only, but now much more in my absence; " work out your own falvation with fear and "trembling."—And a little while after he adds the cause why he interested himself so much in their conduct, " that ye may be blameless and " harmless, the sons of God, without rebuke " in the midst of a crooked and perverse " nation, among whom ye shine as lights in " the world: holding forth the word of life; "that I may rejoice in the day of Christ, that "I have not run in vain, neither laboured in " vain. Yea, and if I be offered upon the " facrifice and fervice of your faith, I joy, and rejoice with you all." Are these the words of an impostor desiring nothing but temporal power? No, they are evidently written by one who looked beyond the bounds of this But it may be faid, that he affected at least an absolute spiritual power over the churches he formed. I answer, he preached Christ Jesus, and not bimself. Christ was the head, he only the minister; and for such only he gave himself to them. He called these who affifted him in preaching the gospel his fellow-labourers and fellow-fervants. So

So far was he from taking any advantage of a higher education, superior learning, and more use of the world, to claim to himself any supremacy above the other apostles, that he made light of all those attainments; and declared, that he came not with excellency of speech or of wisdom, but determined to know nothing among those he converted fave Jesus Christ, and him crucified. And the reason he gave for it was, that their faith should not stand in the wisdom of men, but in the power of God. Now this conduct put him quite on a level with the other apostles, who knew Jesus Christ as well as he, and had the power of God going along with their preaching in an equal degree of virtue and grace. But an impostor, whose aim had been power, would have acted a contrary part; he would have availed himself of all those advantages; he would have extolled them as highly as possible; he would have set up himself, by virtue of them, as head of that fect to which the acceded, or at least of the proselytes made by himself. This is no more than was done by every philosopher who formed a school; much more was it natural in one who propagated a new religion.

We see that the bishops of Rome have claimed to themselves a primacy, or rather a monarchy, over the whole Christian church. If St. Paul had been actuated by the same lust of dominion, it was much easier for him to have succeeded in such an attempt. It was C 2 much

much easier for him to make himself head of a few poor mechanicks and fishermen, whose fuperior he had always been in the eyes of the world, than for the bishops of Rome to reduce those of Ravenna or Milan, and other great metropolitans, to their obedience. Besides the opposition they met with from fuch potent antagonists, they were obliged to support their pretensions in direct contradiction to those very scriptures which they were forced to ground them upon, and to the indisputable practice of the whole Christian church for many centuries. These were such difficulties as required the utmost abilities and skill to surmount. But the first preachers of the gospel had easier means to corrupt a faith not yet fully known, and which in many places could only be known by what they feverally published themselves. It was necessary indeed, while they continued together, and taught the fame people, that they should agree; otherwise the credit of their sect would have been overthrown: but, when they separated, and formed different churches in distant countries, the same necessity no longer remained.

It was in the power of St. Paul to model most of the churches he formed, so as to favour his own ambition: for he preached the gospel in parts of the world where no other Rom. xv. apostles had been, where Christ was not named till he brought the knowledge of him, avoiding to build upon another man's foundation. Now, had he been an impostor, would he have confined himself to just the same gospel

as was delivered by the other apostles, where he had such a latitude to preach what he pleased without contradiction? would he not have twisted and warped the doctrines of Christ to his own ends, to the particular use and expediency of his own followers, and to the peculiar support and increase of his own power? That this was not done by St. Paul, or by any other of the apostles, in so many various parts of the world as they travelled into, and in churches absolutely under their own direction; that the gospel preached by them all should be one and the same \*, the

If any one imagines that he sees any difference between the doctrines of St. James and St. Paul, concerning justification by faith or by works, let him read Mr. Locke's excellent comment upon the epistles of the latter; or let him only consider these words in the first epistle to the Corinthians, c. iv. ver. 27. But I keep under my body, and bring it into subjection, lest by any means, when I have preached to others, I myself should be a cast away.

If St. Paul had believed, or taught, that faith without works was sufficient to save a disciple of Christ, to what purpose did he keep under his body, fince his falvation was not to depend upon that being subject to the power of his reason, but merely upon the faith he professed? His faith was firm, and so strongly founded upon the most certain conviction, that he had no reason to doubt its continuance; how could be then think it possible that, while he retained that faving faith, he might nevertheless be a cast-away? or if he had supposed that his election and calling was of fuch a nature, as that it irrefiftibly impelled him to good, and restrained him from evil, how could he express any sear, le the lust of his body should prevent his falvation? can such an apprehension be made to agree with the notions of absolute predestination ascribed by some to St. Paul? He could have no doubt that the grace of God had been given to him in the most extraordinary manner; yet we fee, that he thought his election was not so certain, but that he might fall from it again through the natural prevalence of bodily appetites, if not duly restrained by his own voluntary care. This tingle passage is a full answer, out of the mouth of St. Paul himself, to all the mistakes that have been made of his meaning in some obscure expressions concerning grace, election, and justification.

C<sub>3</sub>

doctrines

doctrines agreeing in every particular, without any one of them attributing more to himself than he did to the others, or establishing any thing even in point of order or discipline different from the rest, or more advantageous to his own interest, credit, or power, is a most strong and convincing proof of their not being impostors, but acting entirely by divine inspiration.

If then it appears that St. Paul had nothing to gain by taking this part, let us consider, on the other hand, what he gave up, and what he had reason to fear. He gave up a fortune which he was then in a fair way of advancing. He gave up that reputation which he had acquired by the labours and studies of his whole life, and by a behaviour which had been blameles, touching the rights.

Phil. iii. 6. which had been blameless, touching the righteousness which is in the law. He gave up his friends, his relations, and family, from whom he estranged and banished himself for life,

Gal. i. 14 He gave up that religion which he had profited in above many of his equals in his own nation, and those traditions of his fathers which he had been more exceedingly zealous of. How hard this facrifice was to a man of his warm temper, and above all men to a Jew, is worth consideration. That nation is known to have been more tenacious of their religious opinions than any other upon the face of the earth. The strictest and proudest sect among them was that of the Pharisees, under whose discipline St. Paul was bred. The departing therefore

therefore so suddenly from their favourite tenets, renouncing their pride, and from their disciple becoming their adversary, was a most difficult effort for one to make, so nursed up in the esteem of them, and whose early prejudices were fo strongly confirmed, by all the power of habit, all the authority of example, and all the allurements of honour and interest. These were the sacrifices he had to make in becoming a Christian: let us now see what inconveniences he had to fear: the implacable vengeance of those he deserted; that fort of contempt which is hardest to bear, the contempt of those whose good-opinion he had most eagerly fought; and all those other complicated evils which he describes in his second epistle to the Corinthians, chap. xi. Evils, the least of which were enough to have frighted any impostor even from the most hopeful and profitable cheat. But where the advantage proposed bears no proportion to the dangers incurred or the mischiefs endured, he must be absolutely out of his senses who will either engage in an imposture, or, being engaged, persevere.

Upon the whole then I think I have proved that the desire of wealth, of same, or of power, could be no motive to make St. Paul a convert to Christ; but that on the contrary he must have been checked by that desire, as well as by the just apprehension of many inevitable and insupportable evils, from taking a part so contradictory to his past life, to all the prin-

C 4

ciples

ciples he had imbibed, all the habits he had contracted. It only remains to be enquired whether the gratification of any other passion under the authority of that religion, or by the means it afforded, could be his inducement.

Now that there have been some impostors who have pretended to revelations from God, meerly to give a loofe to irregular passions, and to fet themselves free from all restraints of government, law, or morality, both ancient and modern history shews. But the doctrine preached by St. Paul is absolutely contrary to all fuch defigns. His writings breathe nothing but the strictest morality,

ticularly

See par-

Rom. xi. & obedience to magistrates, order and government, with the utmost abhorrence of all licentiouiness, idleness, or loose behaviour, under the cloak of religion. We no where read in his works that faints are above moral ordinances; that dominion or property is founded in grace; that there is no difference in moral actions; that any impulses of the mind are to direct us against the light of our reason and the laws of nature; or any of those wicked tenets from which the peace of fociety has been disturbed, and the rules of morality have been broken, by men pretending to act under the fanction of a divine revelation. Nor does any part of his life, either before or after his conversion to Christianity, bear any mark of a libertine disposition. As among the Jews, so among the Christians, his conversation and manners were blameless. Hear the appeal that

that he makes to the Thessalonians upon his doctrine and behaviour among them: our exhortation was "not of deceit nor of unclean-1 Thess.ii. "ness, nor in guile: ye are witnesses, and "o." God also, how bolily, and justly, and un"blameably we behaved ourselves among you "that believe \*." And to the Corinthians 2 Cor. vii. he says, "We have wronged no man, we have see also corrupted no man, we have defrauded no 2 Cor. i. 2 Cor. i. 2 Cor. i. 3 Cor. vii. 4 man."

It was not then the defire of gratifying any irregular passion, that could induce St. Paul to turn Christian, any more than the hope of advancing himself, either in wealth, or reputation, or power. But still it is possible some men may say (and I would leave no imaginable objection unanswered), that though St. Paul could have no selfish or interested view in undertaking such an imposture, yet for the sake of its moral doctrines he might be inclined to support the Christian saith, and make use of some pious frauds to advance a religion, which, though erroneous and salse

<sup>\*</sup> If St. Paul had held any fecret doctrines, or esoterick (as the philosophers call them), we should have probably sound them in the letters he wrote to Timothy, Titus, and Philemon, his bosom-friends and disciples. But both the theological and moral doctrines are exactly the same in them as those he wrote to the churches. A very strong presumptive proof of his being no impostor! Surely, had he been one, he would have given some hints in these private letters of the cheat they were carrying on, and some secret directions to turn it to some worldly purposes of one kind or another. But no such thing is to be found in any one of them. The same disinterested, holy, and divine spirit breathes in all these, as in the other more publick epistles.

in its theological tenets, and in the facts upon which it was grounded, was in its precepts and influence beneficial to mankind.

Now it is true that some good men in the Heathen world have both pretended to divine revelations, and introduced or supported religions they knew to be false, under a notion of publick utility: but, besides that this practice was built upon maxims disclaimed by the Jews (who, looking upon truth, not utility, to be the basis of their religion, abhorred all such frauds, and thought them injurious to the honour of God), the circumstances they acted in were very different from those of St. Paul.

The first reformers of savage, uncivilized nations, had no other way to tame those barbarous people, and bring them to submit to order and government, but by the reverence. which they acquired from this pretence. The fraud was therefore alike beneficial both to the deceiver and the deceived. And in all other instances which can be given of good men acting this part, they not only did it to ferve good ends, but were fecure of its doing no harm. Thus, when Lycurgus persuaded the Spartans, or Numa the Romans, that the laws of the one were inspired by Apollo, or those of the other by Egeria, when they taught their people to put great faith in oracles or in augury, no temporal mischief, either to them or their people, could attend the reception of that belief. It drew on no persecutions.

cutions, no enmity with the world. But at that time when St. Paul undertook the preaching of the Gospel, to persuade any man to be a Christian, was to persuade lum to expose himfelf to all the calumnies human nature could fuffer. This St. Paul knew; this he not only expected, but warned those he taught to look for it too \*. The only support that he had himself, or gave to them, was, "That if they Rom. viii. " fuffered with Christ, they should be also glo-"rified together." And that " he reckoned " that the fufferings of the present time were " not worthy to be compared with that glory." So likewise he writes to the Thessalonians, Thess. i. "We ourselves glory in you in the churches 4-7. " of God, for your patience and faith in all 46 your perfecutions, and tribulations that you " endure; which is a manifest token of the " righteous judgement of God, that ye may " be counted worthy of the kingdom of "God, for which ye also suffer: Seeing it is " a righteous thing with God to recompense " [or pay] tribulation to them that trouble " you; and to you who are troubled, rest " with us, when the Lord Jesus shall be re-" vealed from Heaven, with his mighty angels, " &c." And to the Corinthians he fays, " If Cor. xv. " in this life only we have hope in Christ, we " are of all men the most miserable." How much reason he had to say this, the hatred,

<sup>\* 1</sup> Thess. iii. 4. 2 Cor. vi. 4, 5. Eph. vi. 10—16. Phil. i. 28—30. Col. i. 9—11. Rom. viii. 35, 36.

the contempt, the torments, the deaths, endured by the Christians in that age and long afterwards, abundantly prove. Whoever professed the gospel under these circumstances, without an entire conviction of its being a divine revelation, must have been mad; and if he made others profess it by fraud or deceit, he must have been worse than mad, he must have been the most hardened wretch that ever breathed. Could any man, who had in his nature the least spark of humanity, subject his fellow-creatures to so many miseries? or could one that had in his mind the least ray of reason, expose himself to share them with those he deceived, in order to advance a religion which he knew to be falfe, meerly for the fake of its moral doctrines? Such an extravagance is too abfurd to be supposed; and I dwell too long on a notion that upon a little reflection confutes itself.

I would only add to the other proofs I have given that St. Paul could have no rational motive to become a disciple of Christ unless he sincerely believed in him, this observation: that whereas it may be objected to the other apostles, by those who are resolved not to credit their testimony, that, having been deeply engaged with Jesus during his life, they were obliged to continue the same professions after his death, for the support of their own credit, and from having gone too far to go back; this can by no means be said of St. Paul. On the contrary, whatever force there

there may be in that way of reasoning, it all tends to convince us that St. Paul must naturally have continued a Jew, and an enemy of Christ Jesus. If they were engaged on one fide, he was as strongly engaged on the other. If shame with-held them from changing fides, much more ought it to have stopt him, who, being of a higher education and rank in life a great deal than they, had more credit to lofe, and must be supposed to have been vastly more sensible to that fort of shame. The only difference was, that they, by quitting their master after his death, might have preserved themselves; whereas he, by quitting the Tews, and taking up the cross of Christ, certainly brought on his own de-Arvetion.

As therefore no rational motive appears for St. Paul's embracing the faith of Christ, without having been really convinced of the truth of it; but, on the contrary, every thing concurred to deter him from acting that part; one might very justly conclude, that when a man of his understanding embraced that faith, he was in reality convinced of the truth of it, and that, by consequence, he was not an impostor, who said what he knew to be salse with an intent to deceive.

But that no shadow of doubt may remain upon the impossibility of his having been such an impostor; that it may not be said, " The "minds of men are sometimes so capricious, "that they will act without any rational "motives,

motives, they know not why, and so perhaps might St. Paul;" I shall next endeavour to prove, that, if he had been so unaccountably wild and absurd, as to undertake an imposture so unprofitable and clangerous both to himself and those he deceived by it, he could not possibly have carried it on with any success, by the means that we know he

employed.

First then let me observe, that if his conversion, and the part that he acted in confequence of it, was an imposture, it was such an imposture as could not be carried on by one man alone. The faith he professed, and which he became an apostle of, was not his invention. He was not the author or beginner of it, and therefore it was not in his power to draw the doctrines of it out of his own imagination. With Jesus, who was the author and head of it, he had never had any communication before his death, nor with his apostles after his death, except as their persecutor. As he took on himself the office and character of an apostle, it was absolutely necessary for him to have a precise and perfect knowledge of all the facts contained in the gospel, several of which had only passed between Jesus himself and his twelve apostles, and others more privately still, so that they could be known but to very few, being not yet made publick by any writings; otherwise he would have exposed himself to ridicule among those who preached that gospel with more

more knowledge than he: and as the testimony they bore would have been different in point of fact, and many of their doctrines and interpretations of scripture repugnant to his, from their entire disagreement with those Jewish opinions in which he was bred up; either they must have been forced to ruin his credit, or he would have ruined theirs. Some general notices he might have gained of these matters from the Christians he perfecuted, but not exact nor extensive enough to qualify him for an apostle; whom the least error in these points would have disgraced, and who must have been ruined by it in all his pretentions to that infpiration from whence the apostolical authority was chiefly derived.

It was therefore impossible for him to act this part but in confederacy at least with the apostles. Such a confederacy was still more necessary for him, as the undertaking to preach the gospel did not only require an exact and particular knowledge of all it contained, but an apparent power of working miracles; for to fuch a power all the apostles appealed in proof of their mission, and of the doctrines they preached. He was therefore to learn of them by what fecret arts they so imposed on the senses of men, if this power was a cheat. But how could he gain these men to become his confederates? was it by furiously persecuting them and their brethren, as we find that he did, to the very moment

of

of his conversion? would they venture to trust their capital enemy with all the secrets of their imposture, with those upon which all their hopes and credit depended? would they put it in his power to take away not only their lives, but the honour of their fect, which they preferred to their lives, by so illplaced a confidence? would men so secret as not to be drawn by the most severe persecutions to fay one word which could convince them of being impostors, confess themselves fuch to their persecutor, in hopes of his being their accomplice? This is still more impossible than that he should attempt to engage in their fraud without their consent and affistance.

We must suppose then, that, till he came to Damascus, he had no communication with the apostles, acted in no concert with them, and learnt nothing from them except the doctrines which they had publickly taught to all the world. When he came there, he told the Jews, to whom he brought letters from the high-priest and the synagogue against the \* Christians, of his having seen in the way a great light from Heaven, and heard Christ Jesus reproaching him with his persecution, and commanding him to go into the city, where it should be told him what he was to do. But to account for his chusing

<sup>\*</sup> The disciples of Christ were not called Christians till after this tin e; but I use the name as most familiar to us, and to avoid circum ocutions.

this method of declaring himself a convert to Christ, we must suppose that all those who were with him, when he pretended he had this vision, were his accomplices. Otherwise the story he told could have gained no belief, being contradicted by them, whose testimony was necessary to vouch for the truth of it. And yet, how can we suppose that all these men should be willing to join in this imposture? They were probably officers of justice, or foldiers, who had been employed often before in executing the orders of the high-priest and the rulers against the Christians. Or, if they were chosen particularly for this expedition, they must have been chosen by them as men they could trust for their zeal in that cause. What should induce them to the betraying that business they were employed in? does it even appear that they had any connexion with the man they so lied for, before or after this time, or any reward from him for it? This is therefore a difficulty, in the first outset of this imposture, not to be overcome.

But further, he was to be instructed by one at Damascus. That instructor therefore must have been his accomplice, though they appear to be absolute strangers to one another, and though he was a man of an excellent character, who had a good report of all the fews that dwelt at Damascus, and so was very unlikely to have engaged in such an imposture. Notwithstanding these improbabilities, this Val. II.

man, I say, must have been his confident and accomplice in carrying on this wicked fraud, and the whole matter must have been previously agreed on between them. But here again the same objection occurs: how could this man venture to act such a dangerous part without the consent of the other disciples, especially of the apostles; or by what means could be obtain their confent? And how abfurdly did they contrive their bufiness, to make the conversion of Paul the effect of a miracle, which all those who were with him must certify did never happen! how much easier would it have been to have made him be present at some pretended miracle wrought by the disciples, or by Ananias himself, when none were able to discover the fraud; and have imputed his conversion to that, or to the arguments used by some of his prisoners, whom he might have discoursed with, and questioned about their faith and the grounds of it, in order to colour his intended conversion!

natural, method of bringing about fuch a change; instead of ascribing it to an event which lay so open to detection. For (to use Aces xxvi. the words of St. Paul to Agrippa) this thing was not done in a corner, but in the eye of the world, and subject immediately to the examination of those who would be most strict in fearching into the truth of it, the Jews at Damascus. Had they been able to bring any

shadow

As this was the fafest, so it was the most

shadow of proof to convict him of fraud in this affair, his whole scheme of imposture must have been nipt in the bud. Nor were they at Jerusalem, whose commission he bore, less concerned to discover so provoking a cheat. But we find that, many years afterwards, when they had had all the time and means they could defire to make the strictest enquiry, he was bold enough to appeal to Agrippa, in the presence of Festus, upon his own knowledge Acts xxvII of the truth of his ftory; who did not contradict him, though he had certainly heard all that the Tews could alledge against the credit of it, in any particular. A very remarkable proof both of the notoriety of the fact and the integrity of the man, who with fo fearless a confidence could call upon a king to give testimony for him, even while he was fitting in judgement upon him!

But to return to Ananias. Is it not strange, if this story had been an imposture, and he had been joined with Paul in carrying it on, that, after their meeting at Damascus, we never should hear of their consorting together, or acting in concert; or that the former drew any benefit from the friendship of the latter, when he became so considerable among the Christians? Did Ananias engage and continue in such a dangerous fraud, without any hope or desire of private advantage? or was it safe for Paul to shake him off, and risque his resentment? There is, I think, no other way to get over this difficulty, but by supposing

D 2

that Ananias happened to die foon after the other's conversion. Let us then take that for granted, without any authority either of history or tradition; and let us see in what manner this wondrous imposture was carried on by Paul himself. His first care ought to have been, to get himself owned and received as an apostle by the apostles. Till this was done, the bottom he flood upon was very narrow, nor could he have any probable means of supporting himself in any esteem or credit among the disciples. Intruders into impostures run double risques; they are in danger of being detected, not only by those upon whom they attempt to practife their cheats, but also by those whose society they force themselves into, who must always be fealous of fuch an intrusion, and much more from one who had always before behaved as their enemy. Therefore, to gain the apostles, and bring them to admit him into a participation of all their mysteries, all their designs, and all their authority, was absolutely necesfary at this time to Paul. The least delay was of dangerous consequence, and might expose him to such inconveniences as he never But, instead of attending to this necessity, he went into Arabia, and then returned again to Damascus;

Gal. i. 17, afterwards could overcome. nor did he go to Jerusalem till three years were past.

> Now this conduct may be accounted for, if it be true that (as he declares in his epiffle

to the Galatians) "he neither received the Gal. i. 12.
"gospel of any man, neither was he taught
"it, but by the revelation of Jesus Christ."
Under such a master, and with the assistance
of his divine power, he might go on boldly
without any human associates; but an impostor, so lest to himself, so deprived of all
help, all support, all recommendation, could
not have succeeded.

Further; we find that at Antioch he was not afraid to withstand Peter to his face, and Gal. ii. 11. even to reprove him before all the disciples, be-14-cause he was to be blamed. If he was an impostor, how could he venture to offend that apostle, whom it so highly concerned him to agree with, and please? Accomplices in a fraud are obliged to shew greater regards to each other; such freedom belongs to truth alone.

But let us consider what difficulties he had to encounter among the Gentiles themselves, in the enterprize he undertook of going to them, making himself their apostle, and converting them to the religion of Christ. As this undertaking was the distinguishing part of his apostolical functions; that which, in the language of his epistles, he was particularly called to; or which, to speak like an unbeliever, he chose and assigned to himself; it deserves a particular consideration: but I shall only touch the principal points of it as concisely as I can, because you have in a great measure exhausted the subject in your

late excellent book on the refurrection, where you discourse with such strength of reason and eloquence upon the difficulties that opposed the propagation of the Christian reli-

gion, in all parts of the world.

Now in this enterprize St. Paul was to contend, 1st, with the policy and power of the magistrates; 2dly, with the interest, credit, and craft, of the priests; 3dly, with the prejudices and passions of the people; 4thly, with the wisdom and pride of the

philosophers.

That in all heathen countries the established religion was interwoven with their civil constitution, and supported by the magistrates as an effential part of the government, whoever has any acquaintance with antiquity cannot but know. They tolerated indeed many different worships (though not with fo entire a latitude as fome people suppose); as they suffered men to discourse very freely concerning religion, provided they would fubmit to an exterior conformity with the established rites; nay, according to the genius of paganism, which allowed an intercommunity of worship, they in most places admitted without any great difficulty new gods and new rites: but they no where endured any attempt to overturn the established religion, or any direct opposition made to it; esteeming that an unpardonable offence, not to the gods alone, but to the state. was fo univerfal a notion, and fo constant a maxim

maxim of heathen policy, that when the Christian religion set itself up in opposition to all other religions, admitted no intercommunity with them, but declared that the gods of the Gentiles were not to be worshiped, nor any society suffered between them and the only true God; when this doctrine began to be propagated, and made such a progress as to fall under the notice of the magistrate, the civil power was every where armed with all its terrors against it. When therefore St. Paul undertook the conversion of the Gentiles, he knew very well, that the most severe persecutions must be the consequence of any success in his design.

Secondly, This danger was rendered more certain, by the opposition he was to expect, from the interest, credit, and craft, of the priests. How gainful a trade they, with all their inferior dependants, made of those superstitions which he proposed to destroy; how much credit they had with the people as well as the state by the means of them, and how much craft they employed in carrying on their impostures; all history shews. St. Paul could not doubt that all these men would exert their utmost abilities. to stop the spreading of the doctrines he preached; doctrines which struck at the root of their power and gain, and were much more terrible to them than those of the most atheistical sect of philosophers, because the latter contented themselves with denying D 4

their principles, but at the same time declared for supporting their practices as useful cheats, or at least acquiesced in them as establishments authorized by the sanction of law. Whatever therefore their cunning could do to support their own worship, whatever aid they could draw from the magistrate, whatever zeal they could raise in the people, St. Paul was to contend with,

unsupported by any human affistance.

And Thirdly, This he was to do in direct opposition to all the prejudices and passions of the people. Now had he confined his preaching to Judæa alone, this difficulty would not have occurred in near fo great a degree. The people there were fo moved by the miracles the apostles had wrought, as well as by the memory of those done by Jesus, that, in spite of their rulers, they began to be favourably disposed towards them; and we even find that the high-priest and the council had more than once been with-held from treating the apostles with so much severity as they defired to do, for fear of the people. But in the people among the Gentiles, no fuch disposition could be expected: their prejudices were violent, not only in favour of their own superstitions, but in a particular manner against any doctrines taught by a Tew. As, from their aversion to all idolatry. and irreconcileable separation from all other religions, the Jews were accused of hating mankind, so were they hated by all other nations:

A&s iv. 21. 26.

## OF ST. PAUL.

nations: nor were they hated alone, but despised. To what a degree that contempt was carried, appears as well by the mention made of them in heathen authors, as by the complaints Josephus makes of the unreasonableness and injustice of it in his apology. What authority then could St. Paul flatter himself that his preaching would carry along with it, among people to whom he was at once both the object of national hatred and national fcorn? But, besides this popular prejudice against a Jew, the doctrines he taught were fuch as shocked all their most ingrafted religious opinions. They agreed to no principles of which he could avail himself, to procure their affent to the other parts of the gospel he preached. To convert the Jews to Christ Jesus, he was able to argue from their own scriptures, upon the authority of books which they owned to contain divine revelations, and from which he could clearly convince them that fefus was the very Christ. Ass ix But all these ideas were new to the Gentiles: they expected no Christ, they allowed no fuch scriptures, they were to be taught the Old Testament as well as the New. How was this to be done by a man not even authorized by his own nation; opposed by those who were greatest and thought wisest among them; either quite fingle, or only attended by one or two more under the same disadvantages, and even of less consideration than he?

The



## ON THE CONVERSION, &c.

The light of nature indeed, without ex-

Acts xiv. 17. xvii. 17. 28.

press revelation, might have conducted the Gentiles to the knowledge of one God, the creator of all things; and to that light St. Paul might appeal, as we find that he did. But, clear as it was, they had almost put it out by their superstitions, having changed the glory of the uncorruptible God, into an image made like to corruptible man, and to birds, and to four-footed beafts, and creeping things, and ferving the creature more than the Creator. And to this idolatry they were strongly attached, not by their prejudices alone, but by their passions, which were flattered and gratified in it, as they believed that their deities would be rendered propitious, not by virtue and holiness, but by offerings, and incense, and outward rites: rites which dazzled their fenses by magnificent shews, and allured them by pleasures often of a very impure and immoral nature. Instead of all this, the gospel proposed to them no other terms of acceptance with God, but a worship of him in spirit and truth, fincere repentance, and perfect submission to the divine laws, the strictest purity of life and manners, and renouncing of all those lusts in which they had formerly walked. How unpalatable a doctrine was this to men so given up to the power of those lusts, as the whole heathen

world was at that time! If their philosophers could be brought to approve it, there could be no hope that the people would relish it,

Rom. i.

or exchange the ease and indulgence which those religions they were bred up in allowed to their appetites, for one so harsh and severe. But might not St. Paul, in order to gain them, relax that severity! He might have done so, no doubt; and probably would, if he had been an impostor: but it appears by all his epistles, that he preached it as purely, and enjoined it as strongly, as Jesus himself.

But supposing they might be persuaded to quit their habitual sensuality for the purity of the gospel, and to forsake their idolatries, which St. Paul reckons amongst the works of Gate v. 19, the flesh, for the spiritual worship of the one invisible God; how were they disposed to receive the doctrine of the falvation of man by the cross of Jesus Christ? could they, who were bred in notions fo contrary to that great mystery, to that hidden wisdom of God, 1 Corn 7. which none of the princes of this world knew, incline to receive it against the instructions of all their teachers, and the example of all their fuperiors? could they, whose gods had almost all been powerful kings, and mighty conquerors, they, who at that very time paid divine honours to the emperors of Rome, whose only title to deification was the imperial power; could they, I fay, reconcile Col. ii. 15, their ideas to a crucified Son of God, to a Redeemer of mankind on the cross? would they look there for him who is the image of the invisible God, the first-born of every creature: 1 Cor. ii. by whom and for whom were all things created 14. that

that are in heaven and that are in earth, whether they be thrones, or dominions, or principalities, or powers? Now, most surely, the natural man (to speak in the words of St. Paul) received not these things, for they are foolishness to him; neither could be know them, because they are spiritually discerned. I may therefore conclude, that, in the enterprize of converting the Gentiles, St. Paul was to contend, not only with the policy and power of the magistrates, and with the interest, credit, and crast, of the priests, but also with the prejudices and passions of the people.

I am next to shew, that he was to expect no less opposition from the wisdom and pride of the philosophers. And though some may imagine, that men who pretend to be raifed and refined above vulgar prejudices and vulgar passions would have been helpful to him in his defign, it will be found upon examination that, instead of assisting or befriending the gospel, they were its worst and most irreconcileable enemies. For they had prejudices of their own, still more repugnant to the doctrines of Christ than those of the vulgar, more deeply rooted, and more obstinately fixed in their minds. The wisdom upon which they valued themselves chiefly confisted in vain metaphysical speculations, in logical fubtleties, in endless disputes, in highflown conceits of the perfection and felffufficiency of human wifdom, in dogmatical positiveness about doubtful opinions, or sceptical

tical doubts about the most clear and certain truths. It must appear at first sight, that nothing could be more contradictory to the first principles of the Christian religion, than those of the atheistical or sceptical sects, which at that time prevailed very much both among the Greeks and the Romans; nor shall we find that the atheistical sects were much less at enmity with it, when we consider the doctrines they held upon the nature of God and the soul.

But I will not enlarge on a subject which the most learned Mr. Warburton has handled fo well\*. If it were necessary to enter particularly into this argument, I could easily prove, that there was not one of all the different philosophical sects then upon earth, not even the Platonicks themselves who are thought to favour it most, that did not maintain some opinions fundamentally contrary to those of the gospel. And in this they all agreed, to explode as most unphilofophical, and contrary to every notion that any among them maintained, that great article of the Christian religion, upon which the foundations of it are laid, and without which St. Paul declares to his profelytes, their faith would be vain, the refurrection of 1 Cor. xv. the dead with their bodies, of which refur- 17. 28.

<sup>\*</sup> See the Divine Legation of Moses, I. iii. See also a sate pamphlet, intituled, A Critical Enquiry into the Opinions and Practice of the Ancient Philosophers, concerning the Nature of the Soul, and a Future State.

Col. i. 18. rection Christ was the first-born. Besides the contrariety of their tenets to those of the gospel, the pride that was common to all the philosophers was of itself an almost invincible obstacle against the admission of the evangelical doctrines, calculated to humble that Rom. i.22. pride, and teach them, that, professing themfelves to be wife, they became fools. This pride was no less intractable, no less averse to the instructions of Christ or of his apostles, than that of the Scribes and Pharifees. St. Paul was therefore to contend, in his enterprize of converting the Gentiles, with all the opposition that could be made to it by all the different fects of philosophers. And how formidable an opposition this was, let those consider, who are acquainted from history with the great credit those sects had obtained at that time in the world, a credit even fuperior to that of the priests. Whoever pretended to learning or virtue was their disciple; the greatest magistrates, generals, kings, ranged themselves under their discipline, were trained up in their schools, and

> All these sects made it a maxim, not to disturb the popular worship, or established religion; but under those limitations they taught very freely whatever they pleafed, and no religious opinions were more warmly supported than those they delivered were by their followers. The Christian religion at once overturned their feveral fystems, taught a morality

professed the opinions they taught.

a morality more perfect than theirs, and established it upon higher and much stronger foundations, mortified their pride. confounded their learning, discovered their ignorance, ruined their credit. Against such an enemy, what would they not do? would they not exert the whole power of their rhetorick, the whole art of their logick. their influence over the people, their interest with the great, to discredit a novelty so alarming to them all? If St. Paul had had nothing to trust to but his own natural faculties, his own understanding, knowledge, and eloquence, could he have hoped to be fingly a match for all theirs united against him? could a teacher unheard-of before, from an obscure and unlearned part of the world, have withstood the authority of Plato, Aristotle. Epicurus, Zeno, Arcefilaus, Carneades, and all the great names which held the first rank of human wisdom? He might as well have attempted alone, or with the help of Barnabas and Silas, of Timotheus and Titus, to have erected a monarchy upon the ruins of all the several states then in the world, as to have erected Christianity upon the destruction of all the feveral fects of philosophy which reigned in the minds of the Gentiles among whom he preached, particularly the Greeks and the Romans.

Having thus proved (as I think) that, in the work of converting the Gentiles, St. Paul could have no affistance, but was sure on the opposition to it imaginable, from the magistrates, from the priests, from the people, and from the philosophers: it necessarily sollows, that to succeed in that work, he must have called in some extraordinary aid, some stronger power than that of reason and argument. Accordingly we find, he tells the was not with enticing words of man's wisdom, but in demonstration of the spirit and of power.

gospel came not unto you in word only, but also in power and in the Holy Ghost. It was to the efficacy of the divine power that he ascribed all his success in those countries, and wherever else he planted the gospel of Christ. If that power really went with him, it would enable him to overcome all those difficulties that obstructed his enterprize; but then he was not an impostor: our enquiry therefore must be, whether (supposing him to have been an impostor) he could, by pretending to miracles, have overcome all those difficulties, and carried on his work with success?

Now to give miracles, falsely pretended to, any reputation, two circumstances are principally necessary, an apt disposition in those they are designed to impose upon, and a powerful confederacy to carry on and abet the cheat. Both these circumstances, or at least one of them, have always accompanied all the false miracles, ancient and modern, which

which have obtained any credit among mankind. To both these was owing the general faith of the heathen world in oracles, auspices, auguries, and other impostures, by which the priests, combined with the magistrates, supported the national worship, and deluded a people prepoffeffed in their favour, and willing to be deceived. Both the fame causes likewise co-operate in the belief that is given to Popish miracles among those of their own church. But neither of these assisted St. Paul. What prepoffessions could there have been in the minds of the Gentiles, either in favour of him, or the doctrines he taught? or rather, what prepossessions could be stronger than those which they undoubtedly had against both? If he had remained in Judæa, it might have been suggested by unbelievers, that the Jews were a credulous people, apt to feek after miracles, and to afford them an easy belief; and that the fame of those faid to be done by Jesus himself, and by his apostles, before Paul declared his conversion, had predisposed their minds, and warmed their imaginations, to the admission of others supposed to be wrought by the same power.

The fignal miracle of the apostles speaking Acts ii. 14-with tongues on the day of Pentecost, had with tongues on the day of Pentecost, had with the three thousand converts; that of healing the lame man at the gate of the temple, sive thousand more. Nay, such was the faith of the multitude, that they brought forth the Vol. II.

fick into the streets, and laid them on beds Acts x. 13. and couches, that at the least the shadow of Peter passing by might over-shadow some of them. Here was therefore a good foundation laid for Paul to proceed upon, in pretending to fimilar miraculous works: though the priests and the rulers were hardened against them, the people were inclined to give credit to them; and there was reason to hope for success among them, both at Jerusalem, and in all the regions belonging to the Jews. But no fuch dispositions were to be found in the Gentiles. There was among them no matter prepared for imposture to work upon, no knowledge of Christ, no thought of his power, or of the power of those Acts xiv. who came in his name. Thus, when at Lystra St. Paul healed the man who was a cripple from his birth, so far were the people there from supposing that he could be able to do such a thing as an apostle of Christ, or by any virtue derived from him, that they took Paul and Barnabas to be gods of their own, come down in the likeness of men, and would have facri-

> Now I ask, did the citizens of Lystra concur in this matter to the deceiving themselves? were their imaginations overheated with any conceits of a miraculous power belonging to Paul, which could dispose them to think he worked such a miracle when he did not? As the contrary is evident; so in all other places to which he carried the gospel, at may be proved to demonstration, that he

ficed to them as such.

could find no disposition, no aptness, no bias to aid his imposture, if the miracles, by which he every where confirmed his preaching, had not been true.

On the other hand, let us examine whether without the advantage of fuch an affiftance there was any confederacy strong enough to impose his false miracles upon the Gentiles, who were both unprepared and undifposed to receive them. The contrary is apparent. He was in no combination with their priests or their magistrates; no sect or party among them gave him any help; all eyes were open and watchful to detect his impostures, all hands ready to punish him as foon as detected. Had he remained in Judæa, he would at least have had many confederates, all the apostles, all the disciples of Christ, at that time pretty numerous; but in preaching to the Gentiles he was often alone. never with more than two or three companions or followers. Was this a confederacy powerful enough to carry on fuch a cheat, in so many different parts of the world, against the united opposition of the magistrates, priests, philosophers, people, all combined to detect and expose their frauds?

Let it be also considered, that those upon whom they practised these arts were not a gross or ignorant people, apt to mistake any uncommon operations of nature, or juggling tricks, for miraculous acts. The churches planted by St. Paul were in the most en-

E 2 lightened

lightened parts of the world, among the Greeks of Asia and Europe, among the Romans, in the midst of science, philosophy, freedom of thought, and in an age more inquisitively curious into the powers of nature, and less inclined to credit religious frauds, than any before it. Nor were they only the lowest of the people that he converted. Sergius Paulus the proconsul of Paphos, Erastus\* chamberlain of Corinth, and Dionysius the Areopagite, were his proselytes.

Upon the whole, it appears beyond contradiction, that his pretention to miracles was not affifted by the disposition of those whom he designed to convert by those means, nor by any powerful confederacy to carry on and abet the cheat; without both which concurring circumstances, or one at least, no such pretention was ever supported with any suc-

cess.

Both these circumstances concurred even in the late samous miracles supposed to be done at Abbé Paris's tomb. They had not indeed the support of the government, and for that reason appear to deserve more attention than other Popish miracles; but they were supported by all the Jansenists, a very powerful and numerous party in France, made up partly of wise and able men, partly of bigots and enthusiasts. All these consederated together to give credit to miracles, said to be

οικονόμος της πόλιως, treasurer or bailiff of the city-

worked in behalf of their party; and those who believed them were strongly disposed to that belief. And yet with these advantages how easily were they suppressed! only by walling up that part of the church, where the tomb of the saint, who was supposed to work them, was placed! Soon after this was done, a paper was fixed on the wall, with this inscription:

De par le roy defense à Dieu De faire miracle en ce lieu.

By command of the king, God is forbidden to work any more miracles here. The pasquinade was a witty one; but the event turned the point of it against the party by which it was made: for if God had really worked any miracles there, could this abfurd prohibition have taken effect? would he have suffered his purpose to be defeated by building a wall? When all the apostles were shut up in prison to hinder their working of miracles, the Acts v. angel of the Lord opened the prison doors, 16-26and let them out. But the power of abbé Paris could neither throw down the wall that excluded his votaries, nor operate through that impediment. And yet his miracles are often compared with, and opposed by unbelievers to, those of Christ and his apostles; which is the reason of my having taken this particular notice of them here. But to go back to the times neater to St. Paul's. There see the is in Lucian an account of a very extraor-Pfeudo-dinary and fuccessful imposture carried on in manus of Lucian. E 3

his days, by one Alexander of Pontus, who introduced a new god into that country, whole prophet he called himself, and in whose name

Lucian.

Valior.

he pretended to miracles, and delivered oracles, by which he acquired great wealth and power. All the arts by which this cheat was managed are laid open by Lucian; and nothing can better point out the difference between imposture and truth, than to observe the different conduct of this man and St. Paul. Alexander made no alteration in the religion established in Pontus before; he only Pscudom. grafted his own upon it; and spared no pains to interest in the success of it the whole bea-765, 766. then priesthood, not only in Pontus, but all over the world; fending great numbers of those who came to consult him to other oracles, that were at that time in the highest Ibid. 763. vogue; by which means he engaged them all to support the reputation of his, and abet his imposture. He spoke with the greatest respect of all the sects of philosophers, except the Epicureans, who from their principles he was fure would deride and oppose his fraud; for though they prefumed not to innovate, and overturn established religions, yet they

very freely attacked and exposed all innovations that were introduced under the name of religion, and had not the authority of a legal

Ibid. 762. establishment. To get the better of their 763. 768.

opposition, as well as that of the Christians, 773, 774. he called in the aid of perfecution and force, 777exciting the people against them, and answer-

ing objections with stones. That he might be fure to get money enough, he delivered this oracle in the name of his God: \* I command you to grace with gifts my prophet and minister; for I have no regard for riches myself, but the greatest for my prophet. And he shared the gains that he made, which were immense, among an infinite number of affociates and instruments, whom he employed in carrying on and supporting his fraud. When any declared themselves to be his enemies against whom he durst not proceed by open force, he endeavoured to gain them by blandishments. and, having got them into his power, to destroy them by secret ways; which arts he practifed against Lucian himself. Others he Ibid. 7-6. kept in awe and dependance upon him, by detaining in his own hands the written questions they had proposed to his god upon state affairs; and as these generally came from men of the greatest power and rank, his being poffessed of them was of infinite service to him, and made him master of all their Ibid. 76-. credit, and of no little part of their wealth.

He obtained the protection and friendship Ibid. 768. of Rutilianus, a great Roman general, by flattering him with promises of a very long life, and exaltation to deity after his death; and at last, having quite turned his head, enjoined him by an oracle to marry his daughter, whom he pretended to have had by the moon; which Ibid. 782.

4.

command

Muneribus decorate meum vatem atque ministrum pracipio-net opum mini tura, at maxima vatis.

769.

command Rutilianus obeyed, and by his alliance secured this impostor from any danger of punishment; the Roman governor of Bithynia and Pontus excusing himself on that 1bid. 753. account from doing justice upon him, when Lucian and feveral others offered themselves

to be his accusers.

He never quitted that ignorant and barbarous country, which he had made choice of at first as the fittest to play his tricks in undiscovered: but, residing himself among those superstitious and credulous people, extended his fame to a great distance by the Ibid. 762. emissaries which he employed all over the world, especially at Rome, who did not pretend themselves to work any miracles, but only promulgated his, and gave him intelligence of all that it was useful for him to

> know. These were the methods by which this remarkable fraud was conducted, every one of which is directly opposite to all those used by St. Paul in preaching the gospel; and yet fuch methods alone could give fuccess to a cheat of this kind. I will not mention the many debaucheries and wicked enormities committed by this false prophet under the mask of religion, which is another characterifical difference between him and St. Paul; northe ambiguous answers, coming evasions, and juggling artifices, which he made use of; in all which it is easy to see the evident marks of an imposture, as well as in the objects he plainly

plainly appears to have had in view. That which I chiefly insist upon, is the strong confederacy with which he took care to support his pretension to miraculous powers, and the apt disposition in those he imposed upon to concur and assist in deceiving themselves; advantages entirely wanting to the apostles of Christ.

From all this, I think, it may be concluded, that no human means employed by St. Paul, in his defign of converting the Gentiles, were or could be adequate to the great difficulties he had to contend with, or to the fuccess that we know attended his work; and we can in reason ascribe that success to no other cause but the power of God going along with and aiding his ministry, because no other was equal to the effect.

Having then shewn that St. Paul had no rational motives to become an apostle of Christ, without being himself convinced of the truth of that gospel he preached; and that, had he engaged in fuch an imposture without any rational motives, he would have had no possible means to carry it on with any success: having also brought reasons of a very strong nature, to make it appear that the success he undoubtedly had in preaching the gospel was an effect of the divine power attending his ministry: I might rest all my proof of the Christian religion being a divine revelation upon the arguments drawn from this head alone. But, to confider this subject in all possible lights, I shall pursue the proposition which

58

which I fet out with through each of its several parts: and having proved, as I hope, to the conviction of any impartial man, that St. Paul was not an impostor, who said what he knew to be false with an intent to deceive, I come next to consider whether he was an enthusiast, who, by the force of an over-heated imagination, imposed upon himself.

Now these are the ingredients of which enthusiasm is generally composed; great heat of temper, melancholy, ignorance, credulity, and vanity or felf-conceit. That the first of these qualities was in St. Paul, may be concluded from that fervour of zeal with which he acted, both as a Jew and Christian, in maintaining that which he thought to be right; and hence, I suppose, as well as from the impossibility of his having been an impostor, some unbelievers have chosen to consider him as an enthusiast. But this quality alone will not be fufficient to prove him to have been so, in the opinion of any reasonable man. The fame temper has been common to others, who undoubtedly were not enthusiasts, to the Gracchi, to Cato, to Brutus, to many more among the best and wisest of men. Nor does it appear that this disposition had such a mastery over the mind of St. Paul, that he was not able at all times to rule and controul it by the dictates of reason. On the contrary, he was so much the master of it, as, in matters of an indifferent nature, become

## OF ST. PAUL.

become all things to all men, bending his notions: Cor. iz. and manners to theirs, so far as his duty to God would permit, with the most pliant condescension; a conduct neither compatible with the stiffness of a bigot, nor the violent impulses of fanatick delusions. His zeal was eager and warm, but tempered with prudence, and even with the civilities and decorums of life, as appears by his behaviour to Agrippa, Festus, and Felix; not the blind, inconsiderate, indecent zeal of an enthusiast.

Let us now see if any one of those other qualities which I have laid down, as disposing the mind to enthusiasm, and as being characteristical of it, belong to St. Paul. First, as to melancholy, which of all dispositions of body or mind is most prone to enthusiasm, Josephus it neither appears by his writings, nor by Apion any thing told of him in the Acts of the lib. ii. Apostles, nor by any other evidence, that St. c. 37. Paul was inclined to it more than other men. Though he was full of remorfe for his former ignorant persecution of the church of Christ, we read of no gloomy penances, no extravagant mortifications, such as the Bramins. the Jaugues, the Monks of La Trappe, and other melancholy enthusiasts, inslict on themfelves. His holiness only consisted in the simplicity of a good life, and the unwearied performance of those apostolical duties to which he was called. The fufferings he met with on that account he chearfully bote, and even rejoiced in them for the love of Christ

Christ Jesus: but he brought none on himfelf; we find, on the contrary, that he pleaded the privilege of a Roman citizen, to avoid being whipped. I could mention more instances of his having used the best methods that prudence could suggest, to escape danger, and shun persecution, whenever it could be done without betraying the duty of his office, or the honour of God \*.

Compare with this the conduct of Francis of Assis, of Ignatius Loyola, and other enthustafts sainted by Rome; it will be sound the reverse of St. Paul's. " He wished indeed to " die, and to be with Christ." But such a wish is no proof of melancholy, or of enthusiasm; it only proves his conviction of

Josephus cont. Apion.

Acts xvii. \* A remarkable instance of this appears in his conduct among the Athenians. There was at Athens a law, which made it capital to introduce or teach any new gods in their state. Therefore, when Paul was preaching Jesus and the resurrection to the 1. ii. c. 37. Athenians, some of them carried him before the court of Areopagus, the ordinary judges of criminal matters, and in a particular manner entrusted with the care of religion, as having broken this law, and being a setter-forth of strange gods. Now, in this case, an impostor would have retracted his doctrine to fave his life, and an enthusiast would have lost his life without trying to fave it by innocent means. St. Paul did neither the one nor the other; he availed himself of an altar which he had found in the city, inscribed To the unknown God; and pleaded that he did not propose to them the worship of any new God, but only explained to them one whom their government had already received: Whom therefore ye ignorantly worship, him I declare unto you. By this he avoided the law, and escaped being condemned by the Areopagus, without departing in the least from the truth of the gospel, or violating the honour of God. An admirable proof, in my opinion, of the good fense with which he acted, and one that thews there was no mistrate of fanaticism in his religion!

the divine truths he preached, and of the happiness laid up for him in those blessed abodes which had been shewn to him even in this life. Upon the whole, neither in his actions, nor in the instructions he gave to those under his charge, is there any tincture of melancholy; which yet is so essential a characteristick of enthusiasm, that I have scarce ever heard of any enthusiast, ancient or modern, in whom some very evident marks of it did not appear.

As to ignorance, which is another ground of enthulialm, St. Paul was so far from it, that he appears to have been master not of the Jewish learning alone, but of the Greek. And this is one reason why he is less liable to the imputation of having been an enthusiast than the other apostles, though none of them were such any more than he, as may

by other arguments be invincibly proved.

I have mentioned credulity as another characteristick and cause of enthusiasm; which that it was not in St. Paul, the history of his life undeniably shews. For, on the contrary, he seems to have been slow and hard of belief in the extremest degree, having paid no regard to all the miracles done by our Saviour, the same of which he could not be a stranger to, as he lived in Jerusalem; nor to that signal one done after his resurrection, and in his name, by Peter and John, Acts in upon the same man, at the beautiful gate of the temple; nor to the evidence given in consequence

## ON THE CONVERSION. &c.

consequence of it by Peter, in presence of the high-prieft, the rulers, elders, and fcribes, Acur. 18. that Christ was raised from the dead. He must also have known, that when all the apostles had been sout up in the common prison, and the high-priest, the council, and all the senate of the children of Israel had set their officers to bring them before them, the officers came and found them not in prison; but returned, and made this report: "The prison truly found we shut with all safety, and the keepers standing without before the doors: but when we had opened, we found no man \*\* within." And that the council was immediately told, that the men they had put in prison were standing in the temple, and teaching the people. And that, being brought from thence before the council, they had spoken these memorable words: We ought to obey God rather than men. The God of our fathers raised up Jesus, whom ye slew, and hanged on a tree. Him bath God exalted with his right hand, to be a Prince and a Saviour, for to give repentance to Israel, and forgiveness of sins. And we are his witnesses of these things; and so is also the Holy Ghoft, whom God hath given to them that Activities obey bim. All this he refifted; and was confenting to the murder of Stephen, who preached the fame thing, and evidenced it by miracles. So that his mind, far from being disposed to a credulous faith, or a too easy reception of any miracle worked in proof of the Christian religion, appears to have

have been barred against it, by the most obflinate prejudices, as much as any man's could possibly be; and from hence we may fairly conclude, that nothing less than the irresistible evidence of bis own senses, clear from all possibility of doubt, could have overcome his unbelief.

Vanity or felf-conceit is another circumstance that for the most part prevails in the character of an enthusiast. It leads men of a warm temper and religious turn, to think themselves worthy of the special regard and extraordinary favours of God; and the breath of that inspiration to which they pretend is often no more than the wind of this vanity. which puffs them up to fuch extravagant imaginations. This strongly appears in the writings and lives of some enthusiastical hereticks, in the mysticks both ancient and modern, in many founders of orders and faints both male and female amongst the Papists, in several Protestant sectaries of the last age, and even in some of the Methodists now \*. All the divine communications, illuminations, and extaines, to which they have pretended, evidently sprang from much selfconceit, working together with the vapours

<sup>\*</sup> See the account of Montanus and his followers, the writings of the counterfeit Dionyfius the Areopagite, Santa Therefa, St. Catharine of Sienna, Madame Bourignon, the lives of St. Francis of Affifi and Ignatius Loyola; fee also an account of the lives of George Fox and of Rice Evans, and Whitefield's and Welley's Journals.

#### ON THE CONVERSION, &c.

64

of melancholy upon a warm imagination; and this is one reason, besides the contagious nature of melancholy or fear, that makes enthusiasm so very catching among weak minds. Such are most strongly disposed to vanity; and, when they see others pretend to extraordinary gifts, are apt to flatter themfelves that they may partake of them as well as those whose merit they think no more than their own. Vanity therefore may justly be deemed a principal fource of enthusiasm. But that St. Paul was as free from it as any man, I think, may be gathered from all that we fee, in his writings, or know of his life. Throughout his epiftles, there is not one word that favours of vanity; nor is any action recorded of him, in which the least mark of it appears.

Eph. iii. 8. In his epiftle to the Ephesians he calls himself less than the least of all saints. And 1 Cor. xv. to the Corinthians he says, he is the least of the apostles, and not meet to be called an apostle, because he had persecuted the church of God. In his epistle to Timothy he says, if This is a faithful saying, and worthy of all acceptation, That Christ Jesus came into the world to save sinners; of whom I am chief. Howbeit, for this cause I obtained mercy, that in me first Jesus Christ might shew forth all long-suffering, for a pattern to them which should hereafter believe on him to life everlasting."

2

Ît

It is true indeed that, in another epiftle. he tells the Corinthians, that he was not a Cor.xi.5. whit behind the very chiefest of the apostles. But the occasion which drew from him these words must be considered. A false teacher, by faction and calumny, had brought his apostleship to be in question among the Corinthians. Against such an attack, not to have afferted his apostolical dignity would have been a betraying of the office and duty committed to him by God. He was therefore constrained to do himself justice, and not let down that character, upon the authority of which, the whole fuccess and efficacy of his ministry among them depended. But how did he do it? Not with that wantonness which a vain man indulges, when he can get any opportunity of commending himfelf; not with a pompous detail of all the amazing miracles which he had performed in different parts of the world, though he had fo fair an occasion of doing it, but with a modest and fimple exposition of his abundant labours and fufferings in preaching the gospel; and barely reminding them, "that the figns of an 2 Cor. xii, " apostle had been wrought among them, in " all patience, in figns, and wonders, and " mighty deeds." Could he say less than this? Is not fuch boasting humility itself? And yet for this he makes many apologies, 2 Cor. xi.1. expressing the greatest uneasiness in being 15-19-30. obliged to speak thus of himself, even in his own vindication. When, in the same epistle, Vol. II.

and for the same purpose, he mentions the vision he had of Heaven, how modestly does ¿Cor.xii.2. he do it! not in his own name, but in the third person, I knew a man in Christ, &c. caught Ver. 6. up into the third Heaven. And immediately after he adds, but now I forbear, lest any man should think of me above that which he seeth me to be, or that he heareth of me. contrary is this to a spirit of vanity! how different from the practice of enthusiastick pretenders to raptures and visions, who never think they can dwell long enough upon those subjects, but fill whole volumes with their accounts of them! Yet St. Paul is not fatisfied with this forbearance; he adds the confession of some infirmity, which, he tells the Corinthians, was given to him as an alloy, that he might not be above measure exalted Ver. 7. through the abundance of his revelations. would also observe, that he says this rapture. or vision of paradise, happened to him above fourteen years before. Now, had it been the effect of a meer enthuliastical fancy, can it he supposed that, in so long a period of time, he would not have had many more raptures of the same kind! would not his imagination have been perpetually carrying See their him to Heaven, as we find St. Therefa, St. works Bridget, and St. Catharine, were carried by theirs! And if vanity had been predominant

in him, would he have remained fourteen years in absolute silence upon so great a mark of the divine favour? No; we should eer-

tainly

tainly have seen his epistles filled with nothing else but long accounts of these visions, conferences with angels, with Christ, with

God Almighty, mystical unions with God. and all that we read in the works of those fainted enthusiasts whom I have mentioned before. But he only mentions this vision in 2 Cor. x answer to the false teacher who had disputed his apostolical power, and comprehends it all in three fentences, with many excuses for being compelled to make any mention of it at all. Nor does he take any merit to himfelf, even from the fuccess of those apostolical labours which he principally boafts of in this epiftle. For in a former one to the same church he writes thus, " Who then is Paul, and who is Apollos, but ministers by " whom ye believed, even as the Lord gave " to every man? I have planted, Apollos " watered; but God gave the encrease. " then neither is he that planteth any thing, " neither he that watereth, but God that "giveth the increase." And in another place of the same epistle, he says, " By the 1 Cor. grace of God, I am what I am, and his grace which was bestowed upon me was

I think it needless to give more instances of the modesty of St. Paul. Certain I am, not one can be given that bears any colour of vanity, or that vanity in particular which so F 2 strongly

on not in vain; but I laboured more abundantly than they all: yet not I, but the

" grace of God which was with me."

strongly appears in all enthusiasts, of setting their imaginary gifts above those virtues which make the effence of true religion, and the real excellency of a good man, or, in the scripture phrase, of a faint. In his first epistle to the Corinthians, he has these 1 Cor. xiii. words, "Though I speak with the tongues " of men and of angels, and have not charity, I am become as founding brass, or a " tinkling cymbal. And though I have the " gift of prophecy, and understand all mys-" teries and all knowledge, and though I " have all faith, fo that I could remove " mountains, and have no charity, I am no-"thing. And though I bestow all " goods to feed the poor, and though I give my body to be burned, and have not cha-" rity, it profiteth me nothing." Is this the language of enthusiasm? did ever enthusiast prefer that univerfal benevolence, which comprehends all moral virtues, and which (as appears by the following verses) is meant by charity here? did ever enthusiast, I say, prefer that benevolence to faith and to miracles, to those religious opinions which he had embraced, and to those supernatural graces and gifts which he imagined he had acquired, nay even to the merit of martyrdom? Is it not the genius of enthusiasm, to set moral virtues infinitely below the merit of faith; and of all moral virtues to value that least which is most particularly enforced by St. Paul, a spirit of candour, moderation, and peace? Certainly neither

neither the temper nor the opinions of a man subject to fanatick delusions are to be found in this passage; but it may be justly concluded, that he who could esteem the value of charity so much above miraculous gifts, could not have pretended to any such gifts, if he had them not in reality.

Since then it is manifest from the foregoing examination, that in St. Paul's difpofition and character those qualities do not occur which feem to be necessary to form an enthusiast, it must be reasonable to conclude he was none. But allowing, for argument's fake, that all those qualities were to be found in him, or that the heat of his temper alone could be a fufficient foundation to support fuch a fuspicion; I shall endeavour to prove, that he could not have imposed on himself by any power of enthufiasm, either in regard to the miracle that caused his converfion, or to the consequential effects of it, or to some other circumstances which he bears testimony to in his epistles.

The power of imagination in enthusiastical minds is no doubt very strong; but it always acts in conformity to the opinions imprinted upon it at the time of its working, and can no more act against them, than a rapid river can carry a boat against the current of its own stream. Now nothing can be more certain, than that when Saul set out for Damascus with an authority from the chiefpriests to bring the Christians which were there, Acts ix. 2.

bound

himself, and granted to him at his own earnest desire, his mind was strongly possessed with opinions against Christ and his solowers. To give those opinions a more active force, his passions at that time concurred, being instand in the highest degree by the irritating consciousness of his past conduct towards them, the pride of supporting a part he had voluntarily engaged in, and the credit he found it procured him among the chief priests and rulers, whose commission he bore.

If, in such a state and temper of mind, an enthusiastical man had imagined he saw a vision from Heaven, denouncing the anger of God against the Christians, and commanding him to perfecute them without any mercy; it might be accounted for by the natural power of enthusiasm. But that, in the very instant of his being engaged in the fiercest and hottest perfecution against them, no circumstance having happened to change his opinions, or alter the bent of his disposition, he should at once imagine himself called by a heavenly vision to be the apostle of Christ, whom but a moment before he deemed an impostor and a blasphemer, that had been justly put to death on the cross, is in itself wholly incredible, and so far from being a probable effect of enthuliaim, that just a contrary effect must have been naturally produced by that cause. The warmth of his temper carried him violently another way; and whatever delusions delutions his imagination could raife to impose on his reason, must have been raised at that time agreeably to the notions imprinted upon it, and by which it was heated to a degree of enthusiasm, not in direct contradiction to all those notions, while they remained in their full force.

This is so clear a proposition, that I might rest the whole argument entirely upon it: but still farther to shew that this vision could not be a phantom of St. Paul's own creating. I beg leave to observe, that he was not alone when he faw it: there were many others in company, whose minds were no better disposed than his to the Christian faith. Could it be possible that the imaginations of all these men should at the same time be so strangely affected, as to make them believe that they faw a great light shining about them, above the Acts ix. 3. brightness of the sun at noon-day, and heard the found of a voice from Heaven, though not the words which it spake, when in reality they neither faw nor heard any fuch thing? could they be fo infatuated with this conceit of their fancy, as to fall down from their Acts xxvi. horses together with Saul, and be speechless through fear, when nothing had happened extraordinary either to them or to him; especially confidering that this apparition did not happen in the night, when the fenses are more easily imposed upon, but at mid-day? If a fudden frenzy had feized upon Saul, from any distemper of body or mind; can

we suppose his whole company, men of disferent constitutions and understandings, to have been at once affected in the same manner with him, so that not the distemper alone, but the effects of it should exactly agree? If all had gone mad together, would not the frenzy of some have taken a different turn, and presented to them different objects? This supposition is so contrary to nature and all possibility, that unbelief must find some

other folution, or give up the point.

I shall suppose then, in order to try to account for this vision without a miracle, that as Saul and his company were journeying along in their way to Damascus, an extraordinary meteor did really happen, which cast a great light, as some meteors will do, at which they, being affrighted, fell to the ground, in the manner related. This might be possible; and fear, grounded on ignorance of fuch phænomena, might make them imagine it to be a vision from God. Nay even the voice or found they heard in the air might be an explosion attending this meteor; or at least there are those who would rather recur to fuch a supposition as this, however incredible, than acknowledge the miracle. how will this account for the distinct words heard by St. Paul, to which he made answer? how will it account for what followed upon it when he came to Damascus, agreeably to the fense of those words which he heard? how came Ananias to go to him there, and

fay, "He was chosen by God to know his Acts xxii. will, and see that Just One, and hear the 14. " voice of his mouth?" or why did he propose to him to be baptized? What connexion Ver. 16. was there between the meteor which Saul had feen, and these words of Ananias? will it be faid that Ananias was skilful enough to take advantage of the fright he was in at that appearance, in order to make him a Christian? But could Ananias inspire him with a vision in which he faw him before he came? If that AGs ix. vision was the effect of imagination, how was it verified so exactly in fact? But allowing that he dreamt by chance of Ananias's coming, and that Ananias came by chance too; or, if you please, that, having heard of his dream, he came to take advantage of that, as well as of the meteor which Saul had feen: will this get over the difficulty? No, there was more to be done. Saul was struck blind. and had been fo for three days. Now had this blindness been natural from the effects of a meteor or lightning upon him, it would not have been possible for Ananias to heal it, as we find that he did, merely by putting his hands on him and speaking a few words. This undoubtedly surpassed the power of nature; and if this was a miracle, it proves the other to have been a miracle too, and a miracle done by the same Jesus Christ. For Ananias, when he healed Saul, spoke to him thus: Brother Saul, the Lord, even Jesus that Activ.17, appeared unto thee in the way as thou camest, 18.xxii.13. has

31. XXIII. Rom. xv.

19.

and be filled with the Holy Ghost. And that he faw Christ both now and after this time. appears not only by what he relates Acts xxii. 17, 18; but by other passages in his epistles. 2 Cor. ix. From him (as he afferts in many places of his epiftles) he learned the gospel by immediate revelation; and by him he was fent to Acts xxiii the Gentiles. Among those Gentiles from Jerusalem, and round about to Illyricum, he preached the gospel of Christ with mighty figns and wonders wrought by the power of the spirit of God, to make them obedient to his preaching, as he testifies himself in his epistle to the Romans, and of which a particular account is given to us in the Acts of the Apostles; figns and wonders indeed, above any power of nature to work, or of imposture to counterfeit, or of enthusiasm to imagine. Now does not fuch a feries of miraculous acts, all consequential to, and dependent upon, the first revelation, put the truth of that revelation beyond all possibility of doubt or deceit? And if he could so have imposed on himself as to think that he worked them when he did not (which supposition cannot be admitted if he was not all that time quite out of his fenses); how could so distempered an enthusiast make such a progress, as we know that he did, in converting the Gentile world? If the difficulties which have been shewn to have obstructed that work were fuch as the ablest impostor could not overcome,

was

come, how much more infurmountable were they to a madman!

It is a much harder talk for unbelievers to account for the success of St. Paul, in preaching the gospel, upon the supposition of his having been an enthusiast, than of his having been an impostor. Neither of these suppofitions can ever account for it; but the impossibility is more glaringly strong in this case than the other. I could enter into a particular examination of all the miracles recorded in the Acts to have been done by St. Paul, and shew that they were not of a nature in which enthusiasm, either in him, or the persons he worked them upon, or the spectators, could have any part. I will mention only a few. When he told Elymas the forcerer, at Paphos, before the Roman deputy, that the hand of God was upon him, and Acts xiii. he should be blind, not seeing the sun for a season; and immediately there fell on him a mist and a darkness, and be went about seeking some to lead him by the hand; had enthusiasm in the doer or fufferer any share in this act? If Paul, as an enthusiast, had thrown out this menace, and the effect had not followed: instead of converting the deputy, as we are told that he did, he would have drawn on himself his rage and contempt. But the effect upon Elymas could not be caused by enthusiasm in Paul; much less can it be imputed to an enthusiastick belief in that person himself, of his being struck blind when he

was not, by those words of a man whose preaching he strenuously and bitterly opposed. Nor can we ascribe the conversion of Sergius, which happened upon it, to any enthusiasm. A Roman proconful was not very likely to be an enthusiast; but had he been one, he must have been bigoted to his own gods, and fo much the less inclined to believe any miraculous power in St. Paul. When, at Troas. Ads xx. 9 a young man named Eutychus fell down from a high window, while Paul was preaching,

and was taken up dead; could any enthusiasm, either in Paul or the congregation there prefent, make them believe that, by that apostle's falling upon him and embracing him, he was restored to life? or could be who was so reflored contribute any thing to it himself, by any power of his own imagination? When, in the isle of Melita, where St. Paul was ship-Assxvii. wrecked, there came a viper and fastened on his hand, which he shook off and felt no harm, was that an effect of enthusiasm? An enthusiast might perhaps have been mad enough to hope for fafety against the bite of a viper without any remedy being applied to it: but would that hope have prevented his death? or were the barbarous islanders, to whom this apostle was an absolute stranger, prepared by enthufiasm to expect and believe that any miracle would be worked to preserve him? On the contrary, when they faw the viper hang on his hand, they faid among themselves, " No

"doubt this man is a murderer, whom,

" though

"though he hath escaped the sea, yet "vengeance suffereth not to live." I will add no more instances: these are sufficient to shew that the miracles told of St. Paul can no more be ascribed to enthusiasm than to imposture.

But moreover, the power of working miracles was not confined to St. Paul; it was also communicated to the churches he planted in different parts of the world. In many parts of his first epistle he tells the Corinthians, <sup>1</sup> Cor. xiii. that they had among them many miraculous graces and gifts, and gives them directions for the more orderly use of them in their assemblies. Now I ask, whether all that he said upon that head is to be ascribed to enthusiasm? If the Corinthians knew that they had among them no such miraculous powers, they must have regarded the author of that epistle as a man out of his senses, instead of revering him as an apostle of God.

If, for instance, a Quaker should, in a meeting of his own sect, tell all the persons assembled there, that to some among them was given the gift of healing by the spirit of God, to others the working of other miracles, to others divers kinds of tongues; they would undoubtedly account him a madman, because they pretend to no such gifts. If indeed they were only told by him that they were inspired by the spirit of God in a certain inestable manner, which they alone could understand, but which did not discover itself by any outward, distinct operations,

operations, or figns, they might mistake the impulse of enthusiasm for the inspiration of the Holy Ghost; but they could not believe, against the conviction of their own minds, that they spoke tongues they did not speak, or healed diffempers they did not heal, or worked other miracles when they worked none. If it be faid, the Corinthians might pretend to these powers, though the Quakers do not: I ask, whether in that pretension they were impostors, or only enthusiasts? If they were impostors, and St. Paul was also such; how ridiculous was it for him to advise them. in an epistle written only to them, and for their own use, not to value themselves too highly upon those gifts; to pray for one rather than another, and prefer charity to them all! Do affociates in fraud talk fuch a language to one another? But if we suppose their pretention to all those gifts was an effect of enthusiasm; let us consider how it was possible that he and they could be fo cheated by that enthuliaim, as to imagine they had fuch powers when they had not.

Suppose that enthusiasm could make a man think that he was able by a word or a touch to give sight to the blind, motion to the lame, or life to the dead; would that conceit of his make the blind see, the lame walk, or the dead revive? and if it did not, how could he persist in such an opinion, or upon his persisting escape being shut up for a madman? But such a madness could not infect so many at once, as St. Paul supposes at Corinth to have

have been endowed with the gift of healing. or any other miraculous powers. One of the miracles which they pretended to was the speaking of languages they never had learned. And St. Paul fays, he possessed this gift more : Cor. xivi than them all. If this had been a delution of 18. fancy, if they had spoke only gibberish, or unmeaning founds, it would foon have appeared when they came to make use of it where it was necessary, viz. in converting those who understood not any language they naturally spoke. St. Paul particularly, who traveled fo far upon that defign, and had such occasion to use it, must soon have discovered that this imaginary gift of the spirit was no gift at all; but a ridiculous instance of frenzy. which had possessed both him and them. But if those he spoke to in divers tongues underflood what he faid, and were converted to Christ by that means, how could it be a delution? Of all the miracles recorded in scripture, none are more clear from any possible imputation of being the effect of an enthusiaffick imagination than this. For how could any man think that he had it, who had it not; or if he did think fo, not be deceived, when he came to put his gift to the proof? Accordingly I do not find such a power to have been ever pretended to by any enthusiast, ancient or modern.

If then St. Paul and the church of Cofinth were not deceived in ascribing to themfelves this miraculous power, but really had

it there is the ftrongest reason to think that neither were they deceived in the other, powers to which they pretended, as the same, spirit which gave them that, equally could and probably would, give them the others. to ferve the same holy ends for which that was given. And by consequence St. Paul was no enthusiast in what he wrote upon that head to the Corinthians, nor in other fimilar. instances, where he ascribes to himself, or to the churches he founded, any supernatural graces and gifts. Indeed they who would impute to imagination effects such as those St. Paul imputes to the power of God attending his mission, must ascribe to imagifame omnipotence which he nation the ascribes to God.

Baving thus, I flatter myself, satisfactorily thewn that St. Paul could not be an enthufiast, who, by the force of an over-heated imagination, imposed on himself; I am next to enquire whether he was deceived by the fraud of others, and whether all that he faid of himself can be imputed to the power of that deceit? But I need fay little to shew the absurdity of this supposition. morally impossible for the disciples of Christ to conceive fuch a thought as that of turning his perfecutor into his apostle, and to do this by a fraud in the very instant of his greatest fury against them and their Lord. could they have been fo extravagant as to conceive such a thought, it was physically impossible

impossible for them to execute it in a manner we find his conversion to have been effected. Could they produce a light in the air, which at mid-day was brighter than that of the fun? could they make Saul hear words, from out Aes xxii. of that light, which were not heard by the? rest of the company? could they make him blind for three days after that vision, and then make scales fall from off his eyes, and restore him to his fight by a word! Beyond dispute, no fraud could do these things; but much less still could the fraud of others produce those miracles subsequent to his conversion, in which he was not passive, but active; which he did himself, and appeals to in his epiftles as proofs of his divine miffion. I shall then take it for granted that he was not deceived by the fraud of others, and that what he faid of himself cannot be imputed to the power of that deceit, no more than to wilful imposture, or to enthusiasm; and then it follows, that what he related to have been the cause of his conversion, and to have happened in confequence of it, did all really happen, and therefore the Christian religion is a divine revelation.

That that conclusion is fairly and undeniably drawn from the premises, I think must be owned, unless some probable cause can be assigned, to account for those facts so authentically related in the Acts of the Apostles, and attested in his Epistles by St. Paul himself, other than any of those which Vol. II.

Is have, considered: and this, I am considers campet be done. It must be therefore accounted for by the power of Cod. That God, should work miracles for the establishment of a most holy religion, which, from the insuperable difficulties that shood in the way of it, could not have established itself without such an assistance, is no way repugnant to human reason; but that without any miracle such things should have happened as no adequate natural causes can be assigned for, is what human reason campet believe.

To impute them to magick, or the power of damons (which was the refource of the Heathens and Jews against the notoriety of the miracles performed by "Christ and his disciples), is by no means agreeable to the notions of those who in this age disbelieve Christianity. It will therefore he needless to thew the weakness of the supposition: but that supposition itself is no unconfiderable argument of the truth of the facts. Next to the apostles and evangelists. the strongest witnesses of the undeniable force of that truth are Celfus and Julian. and other ancient opponents of the Christian seligion, who were obliged to love what they could not contradict, by such an irrational and ablurd imagination, and imigut

The dispute was not thou between faith and reason, but between religiou and superstition ascribed to exhalistical

mignical secrets, fuch operations as the disput power: religion altribed them to God and reason declared trell on that fide of the question. Upon what grounds then can we how overtuini that decision? Tupon what grounds can we reject the unquestionable toftimony given by Se. Paul, what he was called by God to be a disciple and apostle of Christ File has been shewir that we cannot imports it either to entherality or fraud : how thalf we then relift the conviction of fuch a proof? does the doctrine he preached contain any precepts against the law of moralley, that natural law written by God in the hearts of mankind? If it did, I confess that none of the arguments I have made use of could prove fuch a doctrine to come from bin. But this is far from being the case, that even those who reject Christianity as a divine revelation, acknowledge the morals addivered by Christ and by his apostles to be worthwor God. Is it then on account of the myfteries in the gospel that the facts are lienied, though supported by evidence, which in all other cases would be allowed to comtain the clearest conviction, and cannot in this be rejected without reducing the mind to a state of absolute scepticism, and overturning those rules by which we judge of all evidence, and of the truth or credibility of all other factor is Bur this is plainly to give up the ac of our understanding where

we are able to use it most properly, in order to apply it to things of which it is not a competent judge. The motives and reasons upon which divine wisdom may think proper to act, as well as the manner in which it acts, must often lie out of the reach of our understanding; but the motives and reasons or human actions, and the manner in which they are performed, are all in the sphere of human knowledge, and upon them we may judge, with a well-grounded consideration.

It is incomparably more probable that a revelation from God, concerning the ways of his providence, should contain in it matters above the capacity of our minds to comprehend, than that St. Paul, or indeed any other of the apostles, should have acted, as we know that they did not, upon any other foundations than certain knowledge of Christ's being risen from the dead; or should have succeeded in the work they undertook, without the aid of miraculous powers. the former of these propositions I may give my affent without any direct opposition of reason to faith; but in admitting the latter, I must believe against all those probabilities that are the rational grounds of affent.

Nor do they who reject the Christian religion because of the difficulties which occur in its mysteries, consider how far that objection will go against other systems, both of religion religion and of philosophy, which they themfelves profess to admit. There are in deilm itself, the most simple of all religious opinions, feveral difficulties, for which human reason can but ill account, which may therefore be not improperly stiled articles of faith. Such is the origin of evil under the government of an all-good and all-powerful God; a question so hard, that the inability of solving it in a fatisfactory manner to their apprehensions has driven some of the greatest philofophers into the monstrous and fenseless opinion of Manicheism and atheism. Such is the reconciling the prescience of God with the free-will of man, which, after much thought on the subject, Mr. Locke \* fairly confesses he could not do, though he acknowledged both; and what Mr. Locke could not do, in reasoning upon subjects of a metaphysical nature, I am apt to think, few men, if any, can hope to perform.

Such is also the creation of the world at any supposed time, or the eternal production of it from God; it being almost equally hard, according to meer philosophical notions, either to admit that the goodness of God could remain unexerted through an eternity before the time of such a creation, let it be set back ever so far; or to conceive an eternal production, which words, so applied, are in-

<sup>\*</sup> See his Letter to Mr. Molyneux, p. 509. vol. III.

reophiftent and contradictory terms; the foslution commonly given, by a comparison to the emanation of light from the fund not being adequate to it, or just a for light is a buality inherent in fire, and naturally emaning ofrom it; whereas matter is not a quality intherent or emaning from the divine effence, but of a different substance and nature, and, if not independent and felf-existing, must have been created by a meer act of the divine will; and, if created, then not eternal, the idea of ereation implying a time when the substance created did not exist. But if, to get rid of this difficulty, we have recourse, as many of the ancient philosophers had, to the independent existence of matter, then we must admit two felf-existent principles, which is quite inconfistent with genuine theifm, or natural Nay, could that be admitted, it would not clear up the doubt, unless we suppose not only the eternal existence of matter, independent of God, but that it was from eternity in the order and beauty we fee oft in now, without any agency of the Divine power: otherwise the same difficulty will always occur, why it was not before put into that order and state of perfection; or how the goodness of God could so long remain in a Rate of inaction, unexerted and unemployed. For were the time of such an exertion of it put back ever so far if, instead of five or six thousand years, we were to suppose millions of millions of ages to have paffed fince the world

world \* was reduced out of a chassionari harmonious and regular form, still a whole eternity must have preceded that date; during which the Divine attributes did not exert themselves in that beneficent work, so suitable to them, that the conjectures of human reason can find no cause for its being delayed. But because of these difficulties, or any other that may occur in the fystem of deifin. no wife man will deny the being of God, or his infinite wifdom, goodness, and power, which are proved by fuch evidence as carries the clearest and strongest conviction, and cannot be refused without involving the mind in far greater difficulties, even in downright ab-furdities and impossibilities. The only part therefore that can be taken is, to account in the best manner that our weak reason is able to do, for such seeming objections; and where that fails, to acknowledge its weakness, and acquiesce under the certainty that our very imperfect knowledge or judgement cannot be the measure of the Divine wisdom, by the universal standard of truth. So likewife it is with respect to the Christian religion. Some difficulties occur in that revelation, which human reason can hardly clear; but as the truth of it stands upon evidence so frong and convincing, that it cannot be de-

By the world, I do not mean this earth alone, but the whole material universe, with all its inhabitants. Even created phreis fall under the same reasoning; for they must also have had beginning; and before that beginning, an eternity must have preceded.

nied without much greater difficulties that the eothat attend the belief of sit, as I have before endeavoured to prove, we ought not to reject it upon such objections, however mortifying they may be to our pride. That indeed would have all things made plain to us; but God has thought proper to proportion our knowledge to our wants, not to our pride. All that concerns our duty is clear; and as to other points either of natural or revealed religion, if he has left some obscurities in them, is that any reasonable cause of complaint? Not to rejoice in the benefit of what he has graciously allowed us to know, from a presumptuous disgust at our incapacity of knowing more, is as abfurd as it would be to refuse to walk, because we cannot fly.

From the arrogant ignorance of metaphyfical reasonings, aiming at matters above our
knowledge, arose all the speculative impiety, and many of the worst superstitions,
of the old heathen world, before the Gospel
was preached to bring men back again to the
primitive faith; and from the same source
have since slowed some of the greatest corruptions of the evangelical truth, and the
most inveterate prejudices against it; an effect
just as natural for our eyes to grow weak, and
even blind, by being strained to look at objects
too distant, or not made for them to see.

Are then our intellectual faculties of no use in religion? Yes undoubtedly of the most necessary use when rightly employed.

The

#### OF ST. PAUL

The proper employment of them is, bec distinguish its genuine dostrines from others erroneously or corruptly ascribed to it; to consider the importance and purport of them. with the connection they bear to one and ther; but, first of all, to examine with the Ariclest attention the evidence by which religion is proved, internal as well as external. If the external evidence be convincingly strong, and there is no internal proof of its falsehood, but much to support and confirm its truth; then furely no difficulties ought to prevent our giving a full affent and belief to it. It is our duty indeed to endeavour to find the best solutions we can to them; but where no fatsifactory ones are to be found, it is no less our duty to acquiesce with humility, and believe that to be right which we know is above us, and belonging to a wisdom superior to ours.

Nor let it be said, that this will be an argument for the admitting of all doctrines, however absurd, that may have been grafted upon the Christian saith. Those which can plainly be proved not to belong to it sall not under the reasoning I have said down (and certainly none do belong to it, which contradict either our clear, intuitive knowledge, or the evident principles and dictates of reason). I speak only of difficulties which attend the belief of the Gospel in some of its pure and effential doctrines, plainly and evidently delivered there; which, being made known to

us by a revelation supported by proofs that our reason ought to admit, and not being fuch chings as it can certainly know to be falle, Though they are fuch as it could not have discovered by any natural means, and such as are difficult to be conceived, or latisfactority explained, by its limited powers. If the glorious light of the Gofpel be sometimes overcast with clouds of doubt, so is the light of our reason too. But shall we deprive ourselves of the advantage of either, because those clouds cannot perhaps be entirely removed while we remain in this mortal life? shall we obstinately and frowardly shut our eyes against that day-spring from on high that has visited us, because we are not as yet able to bear the full blaze of his beams? Indeed. not even in heaven itself, not in the highest state of perfection to which a finite being can ever attain, will all the counsels of Providence, all the height and the depth of the infinite wisdom of God, be ever disclosed or understood. Faith even then will be neceffary; and there will be mysteries which cannot be penetrated by the most exalted archangel, and truths which cannot be known by him otherwise than from revelation, or believed upon any other ground of affent than a submissive considence in the Divine wisdom.

What, then, shall man presume that his weak and narrow understanding is sufficient

to guide him into all truth, without any need of revelation or faith? shall he complain that the ways of God are not like his ways, and past his sinding out? True Philosophy, as well as true Christianity, would teach us a wint and modester part. It would teach us to be content within those bounds which God has assigned to us, cassing down imaginations, and every high thing that exalted itself against the knowledge of God, and bringing into captivity every thought to the obedience of Christ.

## D I A L O G U E S

OF THE

DEAD.

## PREFACE

TO

### THE DIALOGUES;

As published, with Corrections, in 1765.

L UCIAN among the ancients, and among the moderns Fenelon archbishop of Cambray, and Monsieur Fontenelle, have written Dialogues of the Dead with a general applause. The plan they have traced out is so extensive, that the matter which lies within the compass of it can scarely be exhausted. It fets before us the history of all times and all nations, prefents to the choice of a writer all characters of remarkable persons, which may best be opposed to or compared with each other; and is perhaps one of the most agreeable methods, that can be employed, of conveying to the mind any critical, moral, or political observations; because the dramatic spirit, which may be thrown into them, gives them more life than they could have in differtations, however well written. And sometimes a new dress may render an old truth more pleasing to those whom the mere love of novelty betrays into error.

error, as it frequently does not only the wits, but the fages of these days. Indeed one of the best services, that could now be done to mankind by any good writer, would be the bringing them back to common sense; from which the desire of shining by extraordinary notions has seduced great numbers, to the no small detriment of morality, and of all real knowledge.

It may be proper to observe, that, in all works of this nature, the dead are often fupposed, by a necessary fiction, to be thoroughly informed of many particulars, which happened in times posterior to their own; and in all parts of the world, as well as in the countries to which they belonged. Thus, in Fencion's dialogue between Gelon and Dion, the former finds fault with the conduct of the latter; and in another between Solon and the emperor Juftinian, the Athenian centures the government of the Roman Legislator, and talks of the History of Procopius as if he had read it. I have also taken the liberty that others have used, to date the several dialogues, as best fuited with the purposes to which they were written, supposing some of them to have past immediately after the decease of one or more of the speakers, and others at a very great diftance of time from that in which they lived.

But

But I have not in this edition made any alteration in the dates of the former. Elyfum, Minos, Mercury, Charon, and Styx, being necessary allegories in this way of writing, are occasionally used here, as they have been by Fontenelle and the archbishop of Cambray; which (if it offended any critical or pious ears) I would justify by the declaration gravely annexed to the works of all Italian writers, wherein they used such expressions: "Se bavessi nominato Fato, Fortuna, Destino, Ely" sio, Stige, &c. sono schorzi di penna poetica,
" non sentimenti di animo Catolico\*."

Three of these dialogues were written by a different hand; as I am afraid would have appeared but too plainly to the reader, without my having told it. If the friend who favoured me with them should ever write any more, I shall think that the publick owes me a great obligation, for having excited a genius to capable of uniting delight with instruction, and giving to virtue and knowledge those graces, which the wit of the age has too often, and too successfully, employed all its skill to bestow on vice and folly.

<sup>\*</sup> If I have named Fate, Fortune, Definy, Elyfum, Styx, &c, they are only the sports of a poetical pen, not the sentiments of a Catholic mind.

Beside many corrections which the reader will find in this edition, sour new dialogues are added, in order to complete one chief design of the work, I mean the illustrating of certain principles and certain characters of importance, by bringing in persons who have acted upon different systems, to defend their own conduct, or to explain their own notions, by free discourse with each other, and in a manner conformable to the turn of their minds, as they have been represented to us by the best authors.

To fee this done in the compass of a small volume, may possibly induce our young gentry (for whose service it is more particularly intended) to meditate on the subjects treated of in this work: and, if they make a proper use of the lights given to them, the publick, I hope, will derive some benefit from the book, when the writer shall be no more.

## CONTENTS

OF

#### THE DIALOGUES.

# DIALOGUE I.

LORD FALKLAND—Mr. HAMPDEN.
p. 99

. DIALOGUE II.

LOUIS LE GRAND-PETER THE GREAT.

p. 105

-Vol. II.

G 7

DIA-

# GONTENTS.

DIALOGUE III.

PLATO—FENELON. P. III

DIALOGUE IV.

Mr. Addison-Dr. swift. p. 118

DIALOGUE V.
ULYSSES—CIRCE. p. 125

DIALOGUE VI.

A North American savage, p. 132

DIALOGUE VII.

PLINY THE ELDER - PLINY THE YOUNGER. p. 139

DIALOGUE VIII.

FERNANDO CORTEZ—WILLIAM PENN, P. 145, P 1 A-1

# CONTENTS.

DIALOGUE IX.

marcus portius cató — messala corvinus. p. 153

DIALOGUE X.

christina, Queen of Sweden—Chancellor oxenstiern. p. 159

DIALOGUE XI.

TITUS VESPASIANUS—PUBLIUS COR-NELIUS SCIPIO AFRICANUS. p. 164

DIALOGUE XII.

HENRY Duke of GUISE—MACHIAVEL.
p. 170

DIALOGUE XIII.

VIRGIL—HORACE—MERCURY—SCALIGER the Elder. p. 178

G 8 D 1 A-

# CONTENTS.

DIALOGUE XIV.

BOILEAU-POPE.

p. 186

DIALOGUE, XV.

OCTAVIA—PORTIA—ARRIA. p. 211

DIALOGUE XVI.

Orange—FRANCES WALSINGHAM,
Countess of Essex and Clanrickard;
before, Lady SIDNEY. p. 219

DIALOGUE XVII.

MARCUS BRUTUS — POMPONIUS ATTI-CUS. p. 224.

DIALOGUE XVIII.

WILLIAM THE THIRD, King of England—JOHN DE WITT, Pensioner of Holland.

p. 235

DIA-

# CONTENTS

DIALOGUE XIX.

M. APICIUS—DARTENEUF. p. 253

### DIALOGUE XX.

ALEXANDER THE GREAT—CHARLES the Twelfth, King of Sweden, p. 267

DIALOGUE XXI.

Cardinal XIMENES—Cardinal WOLSEY,
p. 277

DIALOGUE XXII.

LUCIAN—RABELAIS. p. 285

DIALOGUE XXIII.

First of that Name.

PERICLES—cosmo DE MEDICIS, the

First of that Name.

p. 294

G 9

DIA-

# CONTENTS.

DIALOGUE XXIV.

LOCKE-BAYLE.

p. 311

### DIALOGUE XXV.

archibald Earl of douglas, Duke of Touraine—John Duke of argyle and greenwich, Field Marshal of his Britannick Majesty's Forces.

p. 322

DIALOGUE XXVI.

CADMUS—HERCULES \*.

p. 341

DIALOGUE XXVII.

MERCURY—And a modern fine LADY.

P. 349

<sup>\*</sup> This and the two following Dialogues are by another hand.

# CONTENTS.

DIALOGUE XXVIII.

plutarch—charon—And a modern bookseller. p. 354

DIALOGUE XXIX.

publius cornelius scipio africanus—caius julius cæsar. p. 369

DIALOGUE XXX.

PLATO DIOGENES.

p. 383

DIALOGUE XXXI.

ARISTIDES - PHOCION - DEMOSTHENES.

P. 394

DIALOGUE XXXII.

MARCUS AURELIUS PHILOSOPHUS ——
SERVIUS TULLIUS, P. 405
PRE-

# DIALOGUES

OF THE

# D E A D.

## \*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*

# DIALOGUE 1.

Lord FALKLAND-Mr. HAMPDEN.

## LORD FALKLAND.

A RE not you surprized to see me in Elysium, Mr. Hampden?

MR. HAMPDEN.

I was going to put the same question to your lordship; for, doubtless, you thought me a rebel.

### LORD FALKLAND.

And certainly you thought me an apostate from the commonwealth, and a supporter of tyranny.

### MR. HAMPDEN.

I own I did; and I don't wonder at the severity of your thoughts about me. The heat of the times deprived us both of our natural H 2 candour.

# DIALOGUES OF THE DEAD,

100

candour. Yet I will confess to you here, that, before I died, I began to see in our party enough to justify your apprehensions, that the civil war, which we had entered into from generous motives, from a laudable desire to preserve our free constitution, would end very unhappily, and perhaps, in the issue, destroy that constitution, even by the arms of those who pretended to be most zealous for it.

# LORD FALKLAND.

And I will as frankly own to you \*, that I faw, in the court and camp of the king, fo much to alarm me for the liberty of my country, if our arms were fuccessful, that I dreaded a victory little less than I did a defeat; and had nothing in my mouth but the word peace, which I constantly repeated with passionate fondness, in every council at which I was called to assist.

# MR. HAMRDEN.

I wished for peace too, as ardently as your lordship. But I saw no hopes of it. The infincerity of the king and the influence of the queen made it impossible to trust to his premises or declarations. Nay, what reliance could we reasonably have upon laws designed to limit and restrain the power of the crown, after he had violated the bill of rights, obtained with such difficulty, and containing so clear an affertion of the privileges which had been in dispute? If his conscience would allow him

<sup>\*</sup> See the Letters, in the Sidney Collection, from the earl of Sunderland to his lady,

to break an act of parliament made to determine the bounds of the royal prerogative, because he thought that the royal prerogative could have no bounds; what legal ties could bind a conscience so prejudiced? or what effectual security could his people obtain against the obstinate malignity of such an opinion, but entirely taking from him the power of the sword, and enabling themselves to defend the laws he had past?

# LORD FALKLAND.

There is evidently too much truth in what you have faid. But, by taking from the king the power of the fword, you in reality took all power. It was converting the government into a democracy; and if he had submitted to it, he would only have preserved the name of a king. The sceptre would have been held by those who had the sword; or we must have lived in a state of perpetual anarchy, without any force or balance in the government; a state which could not have lasted long, but would have ended in a republick, or in absolute dominion.

# MR. HAMPDEN.

Your reasoning seems unanswerable. But what could we do? Let Dr. Laud and those other court-divines who directed the king's conscience, and fixed it in such principles as made him unsit to govern a limited monarchy though with many good qualities, and some great ones; let them, I say, answer for all

# DIALOGUES OF THE DEAD.

102

the mischiefs they brought upon him and the

# LORD FALKLAND.

They were indeed much to be blamed: but those principles had gained ground before their times; and seemed the principles of our church, in opposition to the Jesuits, who had certainly gone too far in the other extreme.

# MR. HAMPDEN.

It is a difgrace to our church to have taken up such opinions; and I will venture to prophefy, that our clergy, in future times, must renounce them, or they will be turned against them by those who mean their destruction. Suppose a Popish king on the throne. Will the clergy adhere to passive obedience and non-resistance? If they do, they deliver up their religion to Rome; if they do not, their practice will consute their own doctrines.

# LORD FALKLAND.

Nature, Sir, will in the end be fure to fet right whatever opinion contradicts her great laws, let who will be the teacher. But, indeed, the more I reflect on those miserable times in which we both lived, the more I esteem it a favour of Providence to us, that we were cut off so soon. The most grievous missortune that can befall a virtuous man, is to be in such a state, that he can hardly so act as to approve his own conduct. In such a state we both were. We could not easily make a step, either sorward or backward, without great hazard of guilt, or at least of dishonour.

We were unhappily entangled in connections with men who did not mean fo well as ourfelves, or did not judge so rightly. If we endeavoured to stop them, they thought us false to the cause: if we went on with them. we ran directly upon rocks, which we faw, but could not avoid. Nor could we take shelter in a philosophical retreat from business. Inaction would in us have been cowardice and defertion. To compleat the publick calamities, a religious fury, on both fides, mingled itself with the rage of our civil diffentions, more frantick than that, more implacable, more averse from all healing measures. The most intemperate counsels were thought the most pious; and a regard to the laws, if they opposed the suggestions of these fiery zealots, was accounted irreligion. This added new difficulties to what was before but too difficult in itself, the settling of a nation which no longer could put any confidence in its fovereign, nor lay more restraints on the royal authority without destroying the balance of the whole constitution. In these circumstances, the balls, that pierced our hearts, were directed thither by the hands of our guardian angels, to deliver us from horrors we could not support, and perhaps from a guilt our fouls abhorred.

### MR. HAMPDEN.

Indeed things were brought to so deplorable a state, that, if either of us had seen his party triumphant, he must have lamented that tri-H 4 umph

# 104 DIALOGUES OF THE DEAD.

umph as the ruin of his country. Were I to return into life, the experience I have had would make me very cautious of kindling the sparks of civil war in England: for I have seen, that, when once that devouring fire is lighted, it is not in the power of the head of a party to say to the conflagration, Thus far shalt thou go, and here shall thy violence stop.

#### LORD FALKLAND.

The conversation we have had, as well as the reflexions of my own mind or past events, would, if I were condemned to my body again, teach me great moderation in my judgements of persons, who might happen to differ from me in difficult scenes of publick action: they would entirely cure me of the spirit of party, and make me think, that, as in the church, so also in the state, no evil is more to be feared than a rancorous and enthusiastic zeal.

# BIALOGUE II.

# Louis Le GRAND-PETER THE GREAT.

#### LOUIS.

WHO, Sir, could have thought, when you were learning the trade of a fhipwright in the dockyards of England and Holland, that you would ever acquire, as I had done, the furname of Great?

#### PETER.

Which of us best deserved that title, posterity will decide. But my greatness appeared sufficiently in that very act which seemed to you a debasement.

### LOUIS.

The dignity of a king does not stoop to such mean employments. For my own part, I was careful never to appear to the eyes of my subjects or foreigners, but in all the splendour and majesty of royal power.

# PETER.

Had I remained on the throne of Russia, as my ancestors did, environed with all the pomp of barbarous greatness; I should have been idolized by my people, as much, at least, as you ever were by the French. My despotism

#### DIALOGUES OF THE DEAD. 06

despotism was more absolute, their servitude was more humble. But then I could not have reformed their evil customs: have taught them arts, civility, navigation, and war: have exalted them from brutes in human shapes into men. In this was seen the extraordinary force of my genius beyond any comparison with all other kings, that I thought it no degradation, or diminution of my greatness, to descend from my throne, and go and work in the dock-yards of a toreign republick; to ferve as a private failor in my own fleets, and as a common foldier in my own army; till I had raised myself by my merit in all the feveral steps and degrees of promotion, up to the highest command, and had thus induced my nobility to fubmit to a regular fubordination in the fea and land-service, by a lesson hard to their pride, and which they would not have learnt from any other master, or by any othermethod of instruction.

# LOUIS.

I am forced to acknowledge that it was a great act. When I thought it a mean one, my judgement was perverted by the prejudices arifing from my own education, and the ridicule thrown upon it by some of my courtiers, whose minds were too narrow to be able to comprehend the greatness of yours in that fituation.

## PETER.

It was an act of more heroism than any ever done by Alexander or Cæfar. would I confent to exchange my glory with theirs. They both did great things; but they were at the head of great nations, far Superior in valour and military skill to those with whom they contended. I was the king of an ignorant, undisciplined, barbarous people. My enemies were at first so superior to my fubjects, that ten thousand of them could beat a hundred thousand Russians. They had formidable navies: I had not a ship. The king of Sweden was a prince of the most intrepid courage, affifted by generals of confummate knowledge in war, and ferved by foldiers fo disciplined, that they were become the admiration and terror of Europe. Yet I vanquished these soldiers; I drove that prince to take refuge in Turkey; I won battles at fea, as well as land; I new-created my people; I gave them arts, science, policy; I enabled them to keep all the powers of the North in awe and dependance, to give kings to Poland, to check and intimidate the Ottoman emperors, to mix with great weight in the affairs of all Europe. other man has ever done fuch wonders as these? Read all the records of ancient and modern times; and find, if you can, one fit to be put in comparison with me!

DOUIS.

premised unequalled, if, in civilizing your subjects, you had reformed the brutality of your own manners, and the barbarous vices of your nature. But, alas! the legislator and reformer of the Muscovites was drunken and cruel.

ETER.

My drunkenness I confess: nor will I plead, to excuse it, the example of Alexander. It inflamed the tempers of both, which were by nature too fiery, into furious passions of anger; and produced actions, of which our reason, when sober, was ashamed. But the cruelty you upbraid me with may in fome degree be excused, as necessary to the work I had to perform. Fear of punishment was in the hearts of my barbarous subjects the only principle of obedience. To make them respect the royal authority, I was obliged to arm it with all the terrors of rage. You had a more pliant people to govern, a people whose minds could be ruled, like a fine managed horse, with an easy and gentle rein. The fear of shame did more with them than the fear of the know could do with the Russians. The humanity of your character and the ferocity of mine were equally fuitable to the nations over which we reigned. But what excuse can you find for the cruel violence you employed against your Protestant

testant subjects? They desired nothing but to live under the protection of laws you yourfelf had confirmed; and they repaid that protection by the most hearty zeal for your Yet these did you force, by the most inhuman severities, either to quit the religion in which they were bred, and which their consciences still retained, or to leave their native land, and endure all the woes of a perpetual exile. If the rules of policy could not hinder you from thus depopulating your kingdom, and transferring to foreign countries its manufactures and commerce: I am surprized that your heart itself did not stop you. It makes one shudder, to think that fuch orders should be fent from the most polished court in Europe, as the most savage Tartars could hardly have executed without remorfe and compaffion.

### LOUIS.

It was not my heart, but my religion, that dictated these severities. My confessor told me, they alone would atone for all my-fins.

### PETER.

Had I believed in my patriarch as you believed in your priest, I should not have been the great monarch that I was.—But I mean not to detract from the merit of a prince whose memory is dear to his subjects. They are proud of having obeyed you; which is certainly the highest praise to a king? My people

# DIALOGUES OF THE DEAD.

people also date their glory from the æra of my reign. But there is this capital distinction between us. The pomp and pageantry of state were necessary to your greatness: I was great in myself, great in the energy and powers of my mind, great in the superiority and sovereignty of my soul over all other men.

# f m }

# DIALOGUE III.

# PLATO-FENELON.

#### PLATO.

WELCOME to Elysium, O thou, the most pure, the most gentle, the most refined disciple of philosophy, that the world, in modern times, has produced! Sage Fenelon, welcome!—I need not name myself to you. Our souls by sympathy must know one another.

#### FENELON.

I know you to be Plato, the most amiable of all the disciples of Socrates, and the philosopher of all antiquity whom I most defired to resemble.

#### PLATO.

Homer and Orpheus are impatient to see you in that region of these happy fields, which their shades inhabit. They both acknowledge you to be a great poet, though you have written no verses. And they are now busy in composing for you unfading wreaths of all the finest and sweetest Elysian slowers. But I will lead you from them to the sacred grove of Philosophy, on the highest hill of Elysium, where the air is most pure and most serene. I will conduct you to the sountain of Wisdom, in which

# 112 DIALOGUES OF THE DEAD.

you will see, as in your own writings, the fairt image of Virtue perpetually reslected. It will raise in you more love than was selt by Narcissus, when he contemplated the beauty of his own face in the unruffled spring. But you shall not pine, as he did, for a shadow. The goddess herself will affectionately meet your embraces, and mingle with your soul.

# FENELON.

I find you retain the allegorical and poetical style, of which you were so fond in many of your writings. Mine also ran someimes into poetry; particularly in my Telemachus, which I meant to make a kind of epick composition. But I dare not rank myself among the great poets, nor pretend to any equality in oratory with you the most eloquent of philosophers, on whose lips the Attick bees distilled all their honey,

#### PLATO.

The French language is not so harmonious as the Greek: yet you have given a sweetness to it, which equally charms the ear and heart. When one reads your compositions, one thinks that one hears Apollo's lyre, strung by the hands of the Graces, and tuned by the Muses. The idea of a persect king, which you have exhibited in your Telemachus, far excels, in my own judgement, my imaginary republick. Your Dialogues breathe the pure spirit of virtue, of unaffected good sense, of just criticism, of sine taste.

They are in general as superior to your cound tryman Fontenelle's, as reason is to false wit, or truth to affectation. The greatest sault of them, I think, is, that some are too short.

#### FENELON.

It has been objected to them, and I am fensible of it myself, that most of them are too sull of common-place morals. But I wrote them for the instruction of a young prince: and one cannot too forcibly imprint on the minds of those who are born to empire the most simple truths: because, as they grow up, the flattery of a court will try to disguise and conceal from them those truths, and to eradicate from their hearts the love of their duty, if it has not taken there a very deep root.

#### PLATO.

It is indeed the peculiar misfortune of princes, that they are often instructed with great care in the refinements of policy; and not taught the first principles of moral obligations, or taught so superficially, that the virtuous man is soon lost in the corrupt politician. But the lessons of virtue you gave your royal pupil are so graced by the charms of your eloquence, that the oldest and wifest men may attend to them with pleasure. All your writings are embellished with a sublime and agreeable imagination, which gives elegance to simplicity, and dig-

# 114 DIALOGUES OF THEIDE AD.

hity to the most vulgar and obvious truths: Librare heard, indeed, that your countrymen are less sensible of the beauty of your genius and style than any of their neighbours. What has so much deprayed their taste?

FENELON.

That which deprayed the taste of the Romans after the age of Augustus; an immoderate love of wit, of paradox, of refinement. The works of their writers, like the saces of their women, must be painted and adorned with artificial embellishments, to attract their regards. And thus the natural beauty of both is loft. But it is no wonder if few of them esteem my Telemachus; as the maxims I have principally inculcated there are thought by many inconfistent with the grandeur of their monarchy, and with the splendour of a refined and opulent nation. They frem generally to be falling into opinions, that the chief end of fociety is to procure the pleasures of luxury; that a nice and elegant taste of voluptuous enjoyments is the perfection of merit; and that a king, who is gallant, magnificent, liberal, who builds a fine palace, who furnishes it well with good statues and pictures, who encourages the fine arts, and makes them fubfervient to every modifh vice, who has a restless ambition, a perfidious policy, and a spirit of conquest, is better for them than a Numa, or a Marcus Aurelius. Whereas to check the excesses of luxury, those excesses I mean which

www. enfecbler the spirit of anation; to ease the people, as much as is possible, of the burthen of taxes; to give them the bleffings of peace and tranquillity, when they can be obtained without injury or dishonour; to make them frugal, and hardy, and masculine in the temper of their bodies and minds, that they may be the fitter for war whenever it does come upon them; but above all to watch diligently over their morals, and discourage whatever may defile or corrupt them; is the great business of government, and ought to be in all circumstances the principal object of a wife legislature. Unquestionably that is the happiest country which has most virtue in it: and to the eye of sober reason the poorest Swiss canton is a much nobler state than the kingdom of France, if it has more liberty, better morals, a more fettled tranquillity, more moderation in prosperity, and more firmners in danger.

PLATO.

Your notions are just; and if your country reject them, she will not long hold the rank of the first nation in Europe. Her declension is be un, her ruin approaches. For, omitting all other arguments, can a state be well seried, when the raising of an opulent fortune in its service, and making a splendid use of that fortune, is a distinction more envied than any which arises from integrity in office, or publick spirit in government? can that spirit, which is the parent of national I 2 greatness.

greathels, continue vigourous and diffulive. where the defire of wealth, for the lake of a luxury which wealth alone can support, and an ambition aspiring, not to glory, but to profit, are the predominant passions? If it exist in a king, or a minister of state, how will either of them find, among people fo disposed, the necessary instruments to execute his great defigns; or rather, what obstruction will he not find, from the continual oppofition of private interest to publick? But if, on the contrary, a court incline to tyranny, what a facility will be given by these dispofitions to that evil purpose! how will men, with minds relaxed by the enervating eafe and foftness of luxury, have vigour to oppose it! will not most of them lean to servitude, as their natural flate; as that in which the extravagant and infatiable cravings of their artificial wants may best be gratified, at the charge of a bountiful master, or by the spoils of an enflaved and ruined people? When all fense of publick virtue is thus destroyed, will not fraud, corruption, and avarice, or the opposite workings of court-factions to bring difgrace on each other, ruin armies and fleets without the help of an enemy, and give up the independence of the nation to foreigners, after having betrayed its li-berties to a king? All these mischies you saw attendant on that luxury, which some modern philosophers account (as I am informed) the highest good to a state! Time

will shew, that their doctrines are pernicious to fociety, pernicious to government; and that yours, tempered and moderated so as to render them more practicable in the prefent circumflances of your country, are wife, falutary, and deferving of the general thanks of mankind. But, left you should think, from the praise I have given you, that flattery can find a place in Elyfium, allow me to lament, with the tender forrow of a friend, that a man fo superior to all other follies could give into the reveries of a madam Guyon, a distracted enthusiast. How strange was it to see the two great lights of France, you and the bishop of Meaux, engaged in a controversy, whether a madwoman were a heretick or a saint!

### FENELON.

I confess my own weakness, and the ridiculousness of the dispute. But did not your warm imagination carry you also into some reveries about divine love, in which you talked unintelligibly even to yourself?

### PLATO.

I felt something more than I was able to express.

### FENELON.

I had my feelings too, as fine and as lively as yours. But we should both have done better to have avoided those subjects in which fentiment took the place of reason.

# 118 DIALOGUES OF THE DEAD,

# DIALOGUE IV.

# Mr. Addison-Dr. Swift.

#### DR. SWIFT.

SURELY, Addison, Fortune was exceedingly inclined to play the sool (a humour her ladyship, as well as most other ladies of very great quality, is frequently in) when she made you a minister of state, and me a divine!

#### ADDISON.

I must confess, we were both of us out of our clements. But you don't mean to infinuate, that all would have been right, if our destinies had been reversed?

### SWIFT.

Yes, I do.—You would have made an excellent bishop; and I should have governed Great Britain, as I did Ireland, with an absolute sway, while I talked of nothing but liberty, property, and so forth.

## ADDISON.

You governed the mob of Ireland; but I never understood that you governed the kingdom. A nation and a mob are very different things.

### SWIFT.

Ay; so you fellows that have no genius for politicks may suppose. But there are times when, by seasonably putting himself at the head of the mob, an able man may get at the head of the nation. Nay, there are times, when the nation itself is a mob, and ought to be treated as such by a skilful observer.

# ADDISON.

I don't deny the truth of your proposition. But is there no danger, that, from the natural vicissitudes of human affairs, the favourite of the mob should be mobbed in his turn?

#### SWIFT.

Sometimes there may: but I rifqued it; and it answered my purpose. Ask the lord lieutenants, who were forced to pay court to me instead of my courting them, whether they did not feel my superiority. And if I could make myself so considerable, when I was only a dirty dean of St. Patrick's, without a feat in either house of parliament; what should I have done, if fortune had placed me in England, unencumbered with a gown, and in a situation that would have enabled me to make myself heard in the house of lords or of commons?

### ADDISON.

You would undoubtedly have done very marvellous acts! Perhaps you might then have been as zealous a whig as my lord Wharton himself. Or, if the whigs had unhappily offended the statesman, as they did the doctor, who knows whether you might not have brought in the pretender? Pray let me ask you one question between you and me. If your great talents had raised you to the office

of And minister under that prince, would you have tolerated the Protestant religion, on not di more genuic unecara wate got well. If he Ha! Mr. Secretary; are you witty upon mell do you think, because Sunderland took a fancy to make you a great man in the state, that he, or his mafter, could make you as preat in wit, as nature made me? No, no; wit is like grace, it must be given from above. You can no more get that from the king, than my lords the bishops can the other. And, though I will own you had forme, yet believe me, my good friend, it was no match for mine. I think you have not vanity enough in your nature, to pretend to a competition in that point with me.

### ADDISON.

I have been told by my friends that I was rather too modest. So I will not determine this dispute for myself; but refer it to Mercury, the God of wit, who fortunately happens to be coming this way, with a soul he has brought to the shades.

Hail, divine Hermes! a question of precedence, in the class of wit and humour over which you preside, having arisen between me and my countryman Dr. Swift, we beg leave—

MERCHRY—Dr. Swift, I rejoice to see you — How does my old lad! how does honest Lemuel Gulliver? have you been in Lilliput lately, or in the sying island, or with your good nurse Glumdalclitch? Pray when did you cat a crust with lord Peter? is Jack as mad

Aill

fill as ever ? I hear that, force you published the hilbory of his case, the poor sellow, by more gentle usage, is almost got well. had but more food, he would be as much in his fenses as brother Martin himself. But Martin, they tell me, has lately spawned a strange brood of Methodists, Moravians, Hutchinfonians, who are madder than ever Jack was in his worst days. It is a great pity you are not alive again, to make a new edition of your Tale of the Tub for the use of these fellows.—Mr. Addison, I beg your pardon: I should have spoken to you sooner; but I was fo struck with the fight of my old friend the doctor, that I forgot for a time the respects due to you.

#### SWIFT.

Addison, I think our dispute is decided, before the judge has heard the cause.

## ADDISON.

I own it is, in your favour; --but-

Mercury—Don't be discouraged, friend Addison. Apollo perhaps would have given a different judgement. I am a wit, and a rogue, and a foe to all dignity. Swift and I naturally like one another. He worships me more than Jupiter, and I honour him more than Homer. But yet, I assure you, I have a great value for you.—Sir Roger de Coverley, Will Honeycomb, Will Wimble, the country gentleman in the Freeholder, and twenty more characters, drawn with the finest strokes of unaffected wit and humour in your admirable

rable writings, have obtained for you a high place in the class of my authors, though not quite to high a one as that of the dean of St. Patrick's. Perhaps you might have got before him, if the decency of your nature and the cautiousnets of your judgement would have given you Leave. But, allowing that, in the force and foirit of his wit he has really the advantage, how much does he yield to you in all the elegant graces; in the fine touches of delicate fentiment; in developing the fecret fprings of the foul; in shewing the mildlights and shades of a character; in distinctly marking each line, and every foft gradation of tints, which would escape the common eye! Who ever painted like you the beautiful parts of human nature, and brought them out from under the shade even of the greatest simplicity, or the most ridiculous weaknesses: so that we are forced to admire, and feel that we venerate, even while we are laughing! Swift was able to do nothing that approaches to this,-He could draw an ill face, or caricature a good one, with a masterly hand: but there was all his power; and, if I be to speak as a god, a worthless power it is. Yours is divine. It tends to exalt human nature.

### SWIFT.

Pray, good Mercury, (if I may have liberty to fay a word for myself) do you think that my talent was not highly beneficial to correct human nature? is whipping of no use, to mend naughty boys?

MERCURY.—Men are generally not so pattient of whipping as boys; and a rough sattirist is seldom known to mend them. Satire, like antimony, if it be used as a medicine, must be rendered less corrosive. Yours is often rank poison. But I will allow that you have done some good in your way, though not half so much as Addison did in his.

#### ADDISON.

Mercury, I am fatisfied. It matters little what rank you affign me as a wit, if you give me the precedence as a friend and benefactor to mankind.

Mercury—I pass sentence on the writers, not the men. And my decree is this. When any hero is brought hither, who wants to be humbled, let the talk of lowering his arrogance be affigued to Swift. The same good office may be done to a philosopher vain of his wisdom and virtue, or to a bigot pussed up with spiritual pride. The doctor's discipline will foon convince the first, that, with all his boasted morality, he is but a yahoo; and the latter, that to be boly, he must necessarily be humble. I would also have him apply his anticosinetick wash to the painted face of female vanity; and his rod, which draws blood at every stroke, to the hard back of infolent folly or petulant wit. But Addison should be employed to comfort those, whose delicate minds are dejected with too painful a sense of fome infirmities in their nature. To them he should hold his fair and charitable mir-

# 124 DIALOGUES OF THE DEAD.

rour; which would bring to their fight their hidden excellences, and put them in a temper fit for Elysium.—Adieu: continue to esteem and love each other as you did in the other world, though you were of opposite parties, and (what is still more wonderful) rival wits. This alone is sufficient to entitle you both to Elysium.

# [ 125 ]

# DIALOGUE V.

ULYSSES—CIRCE. In CIRCE'S Island\*.

#### CIRCE.

Y OU will go then, Ulysses; but tell me without reserve—what carries you from me?

#### ULYSSES.

Pardon, goddefs, the weakness of human nature. My heart will sigh for my country. It is an attachment which all my admiration of you cannot entirely overcome.

#### CIRCE.

This is not all. I perceive you are afraid to declare your whole mind. But what, Ulyfes, do you fear? my terrors are gone. The proudest goddess on earth, when she has favoured a mortal as I have favoured you, has laid her divinity and power at his feet.

# ULYSSES.

It may be so, while there still remains in her heart the tenderness of love, or in her mind the fear of shame. But you, Circe, are above those vulgar sensations.

<sup>\*</sup> N. B. This cannot be properly called a Dialogue of the Dead. But we have one of the same kind among Cambray's Dialogues, between Ulysses and his companion Grillus, when turned into a boar by the enchantments of Circe; and two or three others, that are supposed to have past between living persons.

indicated the interior cautions it belongs to too municharacters and therefore portromove all diffidence from you, I fwear by Styx, I will do no manner of harm, either to you or moor friends, for any thing which you fay, however offensive it may be to my love or my pride; but will fend you away from my island with all marks of my friendship. Tell me now truely, what pleasures you hope to enjoy in the barren rock of Ithaca, which can compensate for those you leave in this paradife, exempt from all cares, and overslowing with all delights?

### ULYSSES. ..

The pleasures of virtue; the supreme happiness of doing good. Here I do nothing. My mind is in a palfy: all its faculties are benumbed. I long to return into action, that I may worthily employ those talents, which I have cultivated from the earliest days of my youth. Toils and cares fright not me. They are the exercise of my soul; they keep it in health and in vigour. Give me again the fields of Troy, rather than these vacant groves. There I could reap the bright harvest of glory; here I am hid, like a coward, from the eyes of mankind, and begin to appear contemptible in my own. The image of my former felf haunts and feems to upbraid me, wherefoever I.go. I meet it under the gloom of every shade: it even intrudes itself into your prefence, and chides me from your arms. O goddess.

dess, unless you have power to lay that spirit, unless you can make me forget myself; Lichn-non be happy here, I shall every day be more wretched. The lay be more wretched. The lay be not so that the lay is the control of the lay of the lay of the control of the lay of the la

May not a wife and good man, who has spent all his youth in active life and honourable danger, when he begins to decline, be permitted to retire, and enjoy the rest of his days in quiet and pleasure?

Hab Abble A. ULYSSES.

No retreat can be honourable to a wife and good man, but in company with the Muses. Here I am deprived of that facred society. The Muses will not inhabit the abodes of voluptuousness and sensual pleasure. How can I study, or think, while such a number of beasts (and the worst beasts are men turned into beasts) are howling, or roaring, or grunting, all about me?

que to avel fue circe.

There may be something in this: but this, I know, is not all. You suppress the strongest reason that draws you to Ithaca. There is another image, besides that of your former self, which appears to you in this island; which follows you in your walks; which more particularly interposes itself between you and me, and chides you from my arms. It is Penelope, Ulysses; I know it is.—Don't presend to deny it. You sigh for Penelope in my bosom itself.—And yet she is not an immortal.

mortal.—She is not, as I am, endowed by nature with the gift of unfading youth. Several years have past since hers has been faded. I might say without vanity, that in her best days she was never so handsome as I. But what is she now?

#### ULYSSES.

You have told me yourself, in a former conversation, when I enquired of you about her, that she is faithful to my bed, and as fond of me now, after twenty years absence, as at the time when I lest her to go to Troy. I lest her in the bloom of youth and beauty. How much must her constancy have been tried since that time! how meritorious is her sidelity! Shall I reward her with salsehood! shall I forget my Penelope, who cannot forget me; who has no pleasure so dear to her as the remembrance of me?

#### CIRCE.

Her love is preserved by the continual hope of your speedy return. Take that hope from her. Let your companions return; and let her know that you have fixed your abode with me, that you have fixed it for ever. Let her know that she is free to dispose as the pleases of her heart and her hand. Send my picture to her; bid her compare it with her own face.—If all this does not cure her of the remains of her passion, if you don't hear of her marrying Eurymachus in a twelve-month, I understand nothing of womankind.

## "ULYSSES.

O cruel goddess! Why will you force me to tell you truths I defire to conceal? If, by fuch unmerited, such barbarous usage, I could lofe her heart, it would break mine. How should I be able to endure the torment of thinking that I had wronged fuch a wife? what could make me amends for her being no longer mine, so for her being another's? Don't frown, Circe; I must own (since you will have me speak) I must own you could not.—With all your pride of immortal beauzy, with all your magical charms to affift those of nature, you are not so powerful a charmer as she. You feel defire, and you give it: but you have never felt love, nor can you inspire it. How can I love one who would have degraded me into a beast? Penelope raised me into a hero. Her love ennobled, invigorated, exalted my mind. She bad me go to the fiege of Troy, though the parting with me was worse than death to herself. She bad me expose myself there to all the perils of war among the foremost heroes of Greece, though her poor heart funk and trembled at every thought of those perils, and would have given all its own blood to fave a drop of mine. Then there was such a conformity in all our inclinations! When Minerva was teaching me the lessons of wisdom, she delighted to be present; she heard, she retained, she gave them back to me, foftened and sweetened with the peculiar graces of her own mind. When Vol. II. K

we unbent our thoughts with the charms of poetry, when we read together the poems of Orpheus, Musæus, and Linus, with what taste did she discern every excellence in them! My feelings were dull, compared to hers. She feemed herself to be the Muse who had inspired those verses, and had tuned their lyres to infuse into the hearts of mankind the love of wisdom and virtue, and the fear of the How beneficent was she, how tender to my people! what care did she take to instruct them in all the finer arts; to relieve the necessities of the fick and aged: to superintend the education of children; to do my subjects every good office of kind intercession; to lay before me their wants, to mediate for those who were objects of mercy, to fue for those who deserved the favours of the crown!—And shall I banish myself for ever from such a comfort? shall I give up her fociety for the brutal joys of a fenfual life, keeping indeed the form of a man, but having lost the human foul, or at least all its noble and godlike powers? Oh! Circe, it is impoffible; I cannot bear the thought.

#### CIRCE.

Be gone—don't imagine that I ask you to stay a moment longer. The daughter of the sun is not so meanspirited, as to solicit a mortal to share her happiness with her. It is a happiness which I find you cannot enjoy. I pity and despise you. All you have said teems to me a jargon of sentiments fitter for a

muy woman than a great man. Go, read, and spin too, if you please, with your wife. I forbid you to remain another day in my island. You shall have a fair wind to carry vou from it. After that, may every florm, that Neptune can raife, purfue and overwhelm you!—Be gone, I fay; quit my fight.

ULYSSES.

Great goddess, Jobey-but remember your oath.

# DIALOGUE VI.

# MERCURY—An English Duellist— A North-American Savage.

THE DUELLIST.

MERCURY, Charon's boat is on the other fide of the water. Allow me, before it returns, to have some conversation with the North-American Savage, whom you brought hither with me. I never before saw one of that species. He looks very grimly.—Pray, sir, what is your name? I understand you speak English.

#### SAVAGE.

Yes, I learnt it in my childhood, having been bred for some years among the English of New York. But, before I was a man, I returned to my valiant countrymen, the Mohawks; and having been villainously cheated by one of yours in the fale of fome rum, I never cared to have any thing to do with them afterwards. Yet I took up the hatchet for them with the rest of my tribe in the late war against France, and was killed while I was out upon a fealping party. But I died very well fatisfied: for my brethren were victorious; and, before I was shot, I had gloriously scalped seven men, and five women and children. . former war I had performed still greater exploits. My name is the Bloody Bear: it was given me to express my fierceness and valour.

#### DUELLIST,

Bloody Bear, I respect you, and am much your humble servant. My name is Tom Pushwell, very well known at Arthur's. I am a gentleman by my birth, and by prosession a gamester and man of honour. I have killed men in fair sighting, in honourable single combat; but don't understand cutting the throats of women and children.

#### SAVAGE.

Sir, that is our way of making war. Every nation has its customs. But, by the grimness of your countenance, and that hole in your breast, I presume you were killed, as I was, in some scalping party. How happened it that your enemy did not take off your scalp?

#### DUELLIST.

Sir, I was killed in a duel. A friend of mine had lent me a fum of money. After two or three years, being in great want himfelf, he asked me to pay him. I thought his demand, which was fomewhat peremptory, an affront to my honour; and fent him a challenge. We met in Hyde Park. fellow could not fence: I was absolutely the adroitest swordsman in England. So I gave him three or four wounds; but at last heran upon me with fuch impetuofity, that he put me out of my play, and I could not prevent him from whipping me through the lungs. I died the next day, as a man of honour should, without any fniveling figns of contrition or repentance: and he will follow me foon;

## 134 DIALOGUES OF THE DEAD.

for his surgeon has declared his wounds to be mortal. It is said that his wife is dead of grief, and that his family of seven children will be undone by his death. So I am well revenged; and that is a comfort. For my part, I had no wife—I always hated marriage: my whore will take good care of herself, and my children are provided for at the Foundling-hospital.

#### SAVAGE.

Mercury, I won't go in a boat with that fellow. He has murdered his countryman; he has murdered his friend: I fay positively, I won't go in a boat with that fellow. I will swim over the river: I can swim like a duck.

#### MERCURY.

Swim over the Styx! it must not be done; it is against the laws of Pluto's empire. You must go in the boat, and be quiet.

#### SAVAGE.

Don't tell me of laws. I am a Savage: I value no laws. Talk of laws to the Englishman: there are laws in his country, and yet you fee he did not regard them; for they could never allow him to kill his fellow-subject, in time of peace, because he asked him to pay a debt. I know indeed that the English are a barbarous nation; but they cannot possibly be so brutal as to make such things lawful.

#### MERCURY.

You reason well against him. But how comes it that you are so offended with murder;

der; you, who have frequently massacred women in their sleep, and children in the cradle?

#### SAVAGE.

I killed none but my enemies: I never killed my own countrymen; I never killed my friend.—Here, take my blanket, and let it come over in the boat; but see that the murderer does not sit upon it, or touch it. If he does, I will burn it instantly in the fire I see yonder. Farewell.—I am determined to swim over the water.

#### MERCURY.

By this touch of my wand, I deprive thee of all thy strength.—Swim now if thou canst.

#### SAVAGE.

This is a potent enchanter.—Restore me my strength, and I promise to obey thee.

#### MERCURY.

I restore it; but be orderly, and do as I bid you: otherwise worse will befall you.

#### DUELLIST.

Mercury, leave him to me. I'll tutor him for you. Sirrah Savage, dost thou pretend to be ashamed of my company? dost thou know that I have kept the best company in England?

#### SAVAGE.

I know thou art a scoundrel.—Not pay thy debts! kill thy friend who lent thee money for asking thee for it! Get out of my sight. I will drive thee into Styx.

## 136 DIALOGUES OF THE DEAD.

MERCURY.

Stop I command thee. No violence.— Talk to him calmly.

SAVAGE.

I must obey thee.—Well, sir, let me know what merit you had, to introduce you into good company? what could you do?

DUELLIST.

Sir, I gamed, as I told you.—Besides, I kept a good table. I cat as well as any man either in England or France.

SAVAGE.

Eat! did you ever eat the liver of a Frenchman, or his leg, or his shoulder! There is fine eating! I have eat twenty. My table was always well served. My wife was esteemed the best cook for the dressing of man's slesh in all North-America. You will not pretend to compare your eating with mine?

DUELLIST.

I danced very finely.

SAVAGE.

I'll dance with thee for thy cars.—I can dance all day long. I can dance the wardance with more spirit than any man of my nation. Let us see thee begin it. How thou standest like a post! Has Mercury struck thee with his enseebling rod? or art thou ashamed to let us see how aukward thou art? If he would permit me, I would teach thee to dance in a way that thou hast never yet learnt. But what else canst thou do; thou bragging rascal?

#### DUELLIST.

O heavens! must I bear this! What can I do with this fellow? I have neither sword nor pistol. And his shade seems to be twice as strong as mine.

#### MERCURY.

You must answer his questions. It was your own desire to have a conversation with him. He is not well bred; but he will tell you some truths, which you must necessarily hear when you come before Rhadamanthus. He asked you what you could do besides eating and dancing.

#### DUELLIST.

I fang very agreeably.

#### SAVAGE.

Let me hear you fing your death fong, or the war whoop. I challenge you to fing.—Come, begin.—The fellow is mute.—Mercury, this is a liar—He has told us nothing but lies. Let me pull out his tongue.

#### DUELLIST.

The lie given me!—and alas! I dare not refent it. What an indelible difgrace to the family of the Pushwells! This indeed is damnation.

#### MERCURY.

Here, Charon, take these two Savages to your care. How far the barbarism of the Mohawk will excuse his horrid acts, I leave Minos to judge. But what can be said for the other, for the Englishman?—The custom of duelling? A bad excuse at the best! but here

## 138 DIADOGUES OF THE DEAD.

it cannot avail. The spirit that urged him to draw his sword against his friend is not that of honour; it is the spirit of the Furies, and to them he must go.

#### SAVAGE.

If he is to be punished for his wickedness, turn him over to me. I perfectly understand the art of tormenting. Sirrah, I begin my work with this kick on your breech.

#### DUELLIST.

O my honour, my honour, to what infamy art thou fallen!

## [ 439 ]

## DIALOGUE VII.

# PLINY THE ELDER—PLINY THE YOUNGER.

## PLINY THE ELDER.

THE account that you give me, nephew, V.C. Plinii of your behaviour, amid the terrors and ep. 20. perils that accompanied the first eruption of Vesuvius, does not please me much. There was more of vanity in it than of true magnanimity. Nothing is great that is unnatural and affected. When the earth was shaking beneath you; when the whole heaven was darkened with fulphureous clouds; when all nature feemed falling into its final destruction; to be reading Livy, and making extracts, was an abfurd affectation. To meet danger with courage, is manly; but to be infenfible of it, is brutal stupidity; and to pretend insensibility where it cannot be supposed, is ridiculous falseness. When you afterwards refused to leave your aged mother, and fave yourfelf without her, you indeed acted nobly. It was also becoming a Roman, to keep up her spirits, amidst all the horrors of that tremendous icene, by shewing yourself undifmayed. But the real merit and glory of this part of your behaviour is funk by the other, which gives an air of oftentation and vanity to the whole.

#### PLINY THE YOUNGER.

That vulgar minds should consider my attention to my studies in such a conjuncture as unnatural and affected, I should not much wonder. But that you would blame it as such, I did not apprehend; you, whom no business could separate from the Muses; you, who approached nearer to the siery storm, and died by the suffocating heat of the vapour.

#### PLINY THE ELDER.

V. Epist. 16. l. vi.

I died in doing my duty. Let me recall to your remembrance all the particulars; and then you shall judge yourself on the difference of your behaviour and mine. præfect of the Roman fleet which then lay at Misenum. On the first account I received of the very unufual cloud that appeared in the air, I ordered a veffel to carry me out to some distance from the shore, that I might the better observe the phanomenon, and endeavour to discover its nature and cause. I did as a philosopher; and it was a curiofity proper and natural to an inquisitive mind. offered to take you with me, and furely you should have gone; for Livy might have been read at any other time, and fuch spectacles are not frequent. When I came out from my house, I found all the inhabitants of Misenum stying to the sea. That I might affift them, and all others who dwelt on the coast, I immediately commanded the whole fleet to put out, and failed with it all round

the bay of Naples, steering particularly to those parts of the shore where the danger was greatest, and whence the affrighted people were endeavouring to escape with the most trepidation. Thus I happily preserved fome thousands of lives; noting at the same time, with an unshaken composure and freedom of mind, the feveral phænomena of the erupution. Toward night, as we approached to the foot of Mount Vesuvius, our gallies were covered with ashes, the showers of which grew continually hotter and hotter; then pumice stones, and burnt and broken pyrites, began to fall on our heads; and we were stopt by the obstacles which the ruins of the vulcano had fuddenly formed, by falling into the sea, and almost filling it up, on that part of the coast. I then commanded my pilot to steer to the villa of my friend Pomponianus, which, you know, was fituated in the inmost recess of the bay. The wind was very favourable to carry me thither, but would not allow him to put off from the shore, as he was defirous to do. We were therefore constrained to pass the night in his house. The family watched, and I slept; till the heaps of pumice stones, which incessantly fell from the clouds that had by this time been impelled to that fide of the bay, rose so high in the area of the apartment I lay in, that, if I had staid any longer, I could not have got out; and the earthquakes were so violent, as to threaten every moment the

the fall of the house. We therefore thought it more fafe to go into the open air, guarding our heads, as well as we were able, with pillows tied upon them. The wind continuing contrary, and the fea very rough, we all remained on the shore, till the descent of a fulphureous and fiery vapour fuddenly opprefied my weak lungs, and put an end to my life. In all this, I hope that I acted as the duty of my station required, and with true magnanimity. But on this occasion, and in many other parts of your conduct, I must fay, my dear nephew, there was a mixture of vanity blended with your virtue, which impaired and difgraced it. Without that, you would have been one of the worthiest men whom Rome has ever produced: for none excelled you in fincere integrity of heart and greatness of fentiments. Why would you lose the substance of glory, by seeking the shadow?—Your eloquence had, I think, the fame fault as your manners; it was generally too affected. You professed to make Cicero your guide and pattern. But when one reads his panegyrick upon Julius Cæsar, in his oration for Marcellus, and yours upon Trajan; the first seems the genuine language of truth and nature, raised and dignified with all the majesty of the most sublime oratory: the latter appears the harangue of a florid rhetorician, more desirous to shine, and to set off his own wit, than to extol the great man whose virtues he was praising.

#### PLINY THE YOUNGER.

I will not question your judgement either of my life or my writings. They might both have been better, if I had not been too folicitous to render them perfect. It is perhaps some excuse for the affectation of my style, that it was the fashion of the age in which I wrote. Even the eloquence of Tacitus, however nervous and fublime, was not unaffected. Mine indeed was more diffuse, and the ornaments of it were more tawdry; but his laboured concidencis, the constant glow of his diction, and pointed brilliancy of his fentences, were no less unnatural. principal cause of this I suppose to have been, that, as we despaired of excelling the two great masters of oratory, Cicero and Livy, in their own manner, we took up another; which to many appeared more thining, and gave our compositions a more original air. But it is mortifying to me to fay much on this subject. Permit me therefore to resume the contemplation of that on which our converfation turned before. What a direful calamity was the eruption of Vesuvius, which you have been describing! Don't you remember the beauty of that fine coast, and of the mountain itself, before it was torn with the violence of those internal fires, that forced their way through its furface? The foot of it was covered with corn fields and rich meadows, interspersed with splendid villas and magnificent towns: the fides of it were cloathed with the

## 144 DIALOGUES OF THE DEAD.

best vines in Italy. How quick, how unexpected, how terrible, was the change! All was at once overwhelmed with ashes, cinders, broken rocks, and fiery torrents, presenting to the eye the most dismal scene of horror and desolation!

## PLINY THE ELDER.

You paint it very truly.—But has it never occurred to your philosophical mind, that this change is a striking emblem of that which must happen, by the natural course of things, to every rich, luxurious state! While the inhabitants of it are funk in voluptuousness, while all is similing around them, and they imagine that no evil, no danger, is night; the latent seeds of destruction are sermenting within; till, breaking out on a sudden, they lay waste all their opulence, all their boasted delights; and leave them a sad monument of the satal effects of internal tempests and convulsions.

## [ 145 ]

## DIALOGUE VIII.

## FERNANDO CORTEZ-WILLIAM PENN.

#### CORTEZ.

Is it possible, William Penn, that you should feriously compare your glory with mine! the planter of a small colony in North-America presume to vie with the conqueror of the great Mexican empire!

#### PENN.

Friend, I pretend to no glory—the LORD preserve me from it!—All glory is his;—but this I say, that I was his instrument in a more glorious work than that performed by thee: incomparably more glorious.

#### CORTEZ.

Dost thou not know, William Penn, that; with less than six hundred Spanish soot, eighteen horse, and a few small pieces of cannon, I sought and deseated innumerable armies of very brave men; dethroned an emperor, who had been raised to the throne by his valour, and excelled all his countrymen in the science of war, as much as they excelled all the rest of the West India nations? that I made him my prisoner, in his own capital; and, after he had been deposed and slain by his subjects, vanquished and took Guatimozin, his successor, and accomplished my conquest of the whole empire of Mexico, Vol. II.

## 146 DIALOGUES OF THE DEAD.

which I loyally annexed to the Spanish crown? Dost thou not know, that, in doing these wonderful acts, I shewed as much courage as Alexander the Great, as much prudence as Cælar? that, by my policy, I ranged under my banners the powerful commonwealth of Tlascala, and brought them to affist me in subduing the Mexicans, though with the loss of their own beloved independence? and that, to confummate my glory, when the governor of Cuba, Velasquez, would have taken my command from me, and facrificed me to his envy and jealoufy, I drew from him all his forces, and joined them to my own, shewing inyself as superior to all other Spaniards as I was to the Indians?

#### PENN.

I know very well that thou wast as sierce as a lion, and as subtle as a serpent. The devil, perhaps, may place thee as high in his black list of beroes as Alexander or Casar. It is not my business to interfere with him in settling thy rank. But hark thee, friend Cortez—What right hadst thou, or had the king of Spain himself, to the Mexican empire? Answer me that, if thou canst.

CORTEZ.

The pope gave it to my master.

PENN.

The devil offered to give our LORD all the kingdoms of the earth; and I suppose the pope, as his vices, gave thy master this; in return, for which, he fell down and worshiped

him, like an idolater as he was. But suppose the high priest of Mexico had taken it into his head to give Spain to Motezuma, would his grant have been good?

#### CORTEZ.

These are questions of casuistry, which it is not the business of a soldier to decide. We leave that to gownsmen. But pray, Mr. Penn, what right had you to the province you settled?

#### PENN.

An honest right of fair purchase. We gave the native savages some things they wanted, and they in return gave us lands they did not want. All was amicably agreed on, not a drop of blood shed to stain our acquisition.

#### CORTEZ.

I am afraid there was a little fraud in the purchase. Thy followers, William Penn, are said to think cheating in a quiet and sober way no mortal sin.

## PENN.

The faints are always columniated by the ungodly. But it was a fight which an angel might contemplate with delight, to behold the colony I fettled! to see us living with the Indians like innocent lambs, and taming the ferocity of their barbarous manners by the gentleness of ours! to see the whole country, which before was an uncultivated wilderness, rendered as fertile and fair as the garden of Gob! O Fernando Cortez! didit thou leave the great empire of Mexico

## 248 DIALOGUES OF THE DEAD.

in that state? No, thou hadst turned those delightful and populous regions into a desert, a desert slooded with blood. Dost thou not remember that most infernal scene, when the noble emperor Guatimozin was stretched out by thy soldiers upon hot burning coals, to make him discover into what part of the lake of Mexico he had thrown the royal treasures? are not his groans ever sounding in the ears of thy conscience? do not they rend thy hard heart, and strike thee with more horror than the yells of the Furies?

#### CORTEZ.

Alas! I was not present when that dire act was done. Had I been there, I would have forbidden it. My nature was mild.

#### PENN.

Thou wast the captain of that band of robbers who did this horrid deed. The advantage they had drawn from thy counsels and conduct enabled them to commit it: and thy skill saved them afterward from the vengeance that was due to enormous a crime. The enraged Mexicans would have properly punished them for it, if they had not had thee for their general, thou lieutenant of Satan!

### CORTEZ.

The faints I find can rail, William Penn. But how do you hope to preferve this admirable colony which you have fettled? Your people, you tell me, live like innocent lambs. Are there no wolves in North America, to devour those lambs? But, if the Aemricans thould

should continue in perpetual peace with all your successors there, the French will not. Are the inhabitants of Pennsylvania to make war against them with prayers and preaching? If so, that garden of God, which you say you have planted, will undoubtedly be their prey; and they will take from you your property, your laws, and your religion.

#### PENN.

The LORD's will be done! The LORD will defend us against the rage of our enemies, if it be his good pleasure.

#### CORTEZ,

Is this the wisdom of a great legislator? I have heard fome of your countrymen compare you to Solon! Did Solon, think you, give laws to a people, and leave those laws and that people at the mercy of every invader? The first business of legislature is, to provide a military strength that may defend the whole fystem. If a house be built in a land of robbers, without a gate to shut, or a bolt or bar to fecure it, what avails it how well-proportioned, or how commodious, the architecture of it may be? Is it richly furnished within? the more it will tempt the hands of violence and of rapine to feize its wealth. The world, William Penn, is all a land of robbers. Any state or commonwealth erected therein must be well fenced and fecured by good military institutions; or, the happier it is in all other respects, the greater will be its danger, the more

more speedy its destruction. Perhaps the neighbouring English colonies may for a while protect yours: but that precarious fecurity cannot always preserve you. Your plan of government must be changed, or your colony will be loft. What I have faid is also applicable to Great Britain itself. If an encrease of its wealth be not accompanied with an encrease of its force, that wealth will become the prey of some of the neighbouring nations, in which the martial spirit is more prevalent than the commercial. And whatever praise may be due to its civil institutions, if they are not guarded by a wife fystem of military policy, they will be found of no value, being unable to prevent their own dissolution.

### PENN.

These are suggestions of human wisdom. The doctrines I held were inspired; they came from above.

#### CORTEZ.

It is blasphemy to say, that any folly could come from the Fountain of Wisdom. Whatever is inconsistent with the great laws of nature, and with the necessary state of human society, cannot possibly have been inspired by God. Self-defence is as necessary to nations as to men. And shall particulars have a right which nations have not? True religion, William Penn, is the perfection of reason. Fanaticism is the disgrace, the destruction, of reason.

#### PENN.

Though what thou fayest should be true. it does not come well from thy mouth. Papist talk of reason! Go to the Inquisition, and tell them of reason, and the great laws of nature. They will broil thee, as thy foldiers broiled the unhappy Guatimozin. Why dost thou turn pale? Is it the name of the Inquifition, or the name of Guatimozin, that troubles and affrights thee? O wretched man! who madest thyself a voluntary instrument to carry into a new-discovered world that hellish tribunal! Tremble and shake. when thou thinkest, that every murder the inquisitors have committed, every torture they have inflicted, on the innocent Indians, is originally owing to thee. Thou must answer to God for all their inhumanity, for all their injustice. What wouldft thou give, to part with the renown of thy conquests, and to have a conscience as pure and undisturbed as mine?

#### CORTEZ.

I feel the force of thy words. They pierce me like daggers. I can never, never be happy, while I retain any memory of the ills I have caufed .- Yet I thought I did right. I thought I laboured to advance the glory of Gop, and propagate in the remotest parts of the earth his holy Religion. He will be merciful to well-defigning and pious error. Thou also wilt have need of

## DIALOGUES OF THE DEAD,

152

that gracious indulgence; though not, I own, fo much as I.

#### PENN.

Ask thy heart, whether ambition were not thy real motive, and zeal the pretence?

#### CORTEZ.

Ask thine, whether thy zeal had no worldly views, and whether thou didst believe all the nonsense of the sect, at the head of which thou wast pleased to become a legislator. Adieu!—Self-examination requires retirement.

## DIALOGUE IX.

MARCUS PORTIUS CATO — MESSALLA CORVINUS.

#### CATO.

What some of our countrymen tell me should be true? Is it possible that you could live the courtier of Octavius, that you could accept of employments and honours from him, from the tyrant of your country; you, the brave, the noble-minded, the virtuous Messalla; you, whom, I remember, my son-in-law Brutus has frequently extolled, as the most promising youth in Rome, tutored by philosophy, trained up in arms, scorning all those soft, esseminate pleasures, that reconcile men to an easy and indolent servitude, sit for all the roughest tasks of honour and virtue, sit to live or to die a freeman?

#### MESSALLA

Marcus Cato, I revere both your life and your death; but the last, permit me to tell you, did no good to your country; and the former would have done more, if you could have mitigated a little the sternness of your virtue, I will not say of your pride. For my own part, I adhered with constant integrity and unwearied zeal to the republick, while the republick existed. I sought for her at Philippi,

Philippi, under the only commander, who, if he had conquered, would have conquered for her, not for himself. When he was dead, I saw that nothing remained to my country but the choice of a master. I chose the best.

CATO.

The best !—What! a man who had broken all laws, who had violated all trufts, who had led the armies of the commonwealth against Antony, and then joined with him and that fottish traitor Lepidus, to set up a Triumvirate more execrable by far than either of the former; who shed the best blood in Rome by an inhuman profeription; murdered even his own guardian; murdered Cicero, to whose confidence, too improvidently given, he owed all his power! Was this the master you chose? could you bring your tongue to give him the name of Augustus? could you stoop to beg consulships and triumphs from him? O shame to virtue! O degeneracy of Rome! To what infamy are her fons, her nobleft fons, fallen! The thought of it pains me more than the wound that I died of: it stabs my foul.

#### MESSALLA.

Moderate, Cato, the vehemence of your indignation. There has always been too much passion mixed with your virtue. The enthusiasm you are possessed with is a noble one; but it disturbs your judgement. Hear me with patience, and with the tranquility that becomes a philosopher. It is true, that Octavius

Octavius had done all you have faid: but it is no less true, that in our circumstances he was the best master Rome could chuse. mind was fitted by nature for empire. understanding was clear and strong. His passions were cool, and under the absolute command of his reason. His name gave him an authority over the troops and the people, which no other Roman could possess in an equal degree. He used that authority to reftrain the excesses of both, which it was no longer in the power of the senate to repress, nor of any other general or magistrate in the state. He restored discipline in our armies, the first means of salvation, without which no legal government could have been formed or supported. He avoided all odious and invidious names. He maintained and respected those which time and long habits had endeared to the Roman people. He permitted a generous liberty of speech. He treated the nobles of Pompey's party as well as those of his father's; if they did not themselves, for factious purposes, keep up the distinction. He formed a plan of government, moderate, decent, respectable, which left the senate its majesty, and some of its power. He restored vigour and spirit to the laws; he made new and good ones for the reformation of manners; he enforced their execution; he governed the empire with lenity, justice, and glory: he humbled the pride of the Parthians; he broke the fierceness of the barbarous nations:

he gave to his country, exhausted and languishing with the great loss of blood which she had sustained in the course of so many civil wars, the blessing of peace; a blessing which was become so necessary for her, that without it she could enjoy no other. In doing these things, I acknowledge, he had my assistance. I am prouder of it, and I think I can justify myself more effectually to my country, than if I had died by my own hand at Philippi. Believe me, Cato, it is better to do some good, than to project a great deal. A little practical virtue is of more use to society than the most sublime theory, or the best principles of government ill applied.

#### CATO.

Yet I must think it was beneath the character of Messalla to join in supporting a government, which, though coloured and mitigated, was still a tyranny. Had you not better have gone into a voluntary exile, where you would not have seen the face of the tyrant, and where you might have quietly practised those private virtues, which are all that the gods require from good men in certain situations?

#### MESSALLA.

No:—I did much more good by continuing at Rome. Had Augustus required of me any thing base, any thing service, I would have gone into exile, I would have died, rather than do it.—But he respected my virtue, he respected my dignity: he treated me as well as Agrippa or as Mæcenas; with this distinction

tion alone, that he never employed my fword but against foreign nations, or the old enemies of the republick.

#### CATO.

It must, I own, have been a pleasure to be employed against Antony, that monster of vice, who plotted the ruin of liberty, and the raising of himself to sovereign power, amid the riot of Bacchanals, and in the embraces of harlots: who, when he had attained to that power, delivered it up to a lascivious queen, and would have made an Ægyptian strumpet the mistress of Rome, if the battle of Actium had not saved us from that last of missortunes.

#### MESSALLA.

In that battle I had a confiderable share. So I had in encouraging the liberal arts and sciences, which Augustus protected. Under his judicious patronage, the Muses made Rome their capital seat. It would have pleased you to have known Virgil, Horace, Tibullus, Ovid, Livy, and many more, whose names will be illustrious to all generations.

#### CATO.

I understand you, Messalla. Your Augustus and you, after the ruin of our liberty, made Rome a Greek city, an academy of fine wits, another Athens under the government of Demetrius Phalareus. I would much rather have seen her under Fabricius and Curius, and her other honest old consuls, who could not read.

# 158 DIALOGUES OF THE DEAD.

#### MESSALLA.

Yet to these writers she will owe as much of her glory as she did to those heroes. I could fay more, a great deal more, on the happiness of the mild dominion of Augustus. I might even add, that the vast extent of the empire, the factions of the nobility, and the corruption of the people, which no laws under the ordinary magistrates of the state were able to restrain, seemed necessary to require some change in the government: that Cato himfelf, had he remained upon earth, could have done us no good, unless he would have yielded to become our prince. But I see you confider me as a deferter from the republick, and an apologist for a tyrant. I therefore leave you to the company of those ancient Romans. for whose society you were always much fitter than for that of your contemporaries. Cato should have lived with Fabricius and Curius. not with Pompey and Cæsar.

# t 139 ]

## DIALOGUE X.

Christina, Queen of Sweden— Chancellor Oxenstiean.

#### CHRISTINA.

YOU feem to avoid me, Oxenstiern; and, now we are met, you don't pay me the reverence that is due to your queen! Have you forgotten that I was your sovereign?

## OXENSTIERN.

I am not your subject here, madam; but you have forgotten, that you yourself broke that bond, and freed me from my allegiance, many years before you died, by abdicating the crown, against my advice and the inclination of your people. Reverence here is paid only to virtue.

## CHRISTINA.

I see you would mortify me, if it were in your power, for acting against your advice. But my fame does not depend upon your judgement. All Europe admired the greatness of my mind in resigning a crown, to dedicate myself entirely to the love of the sciences and the fine arts: things of which you had no taste in barbarous Sweden, the realm of Goths and Vandals.

#### OXENSTIERN.

There is hardly any mind too great for a crown; but there are many too little. Are you fure, madam, it was magnanimity, that caused you to fly from the government of a kingdom, which your ancestors, and particularly your heroic father Gustavus, had ruled with so much glory?

#### CHRISTINA.

Am I fure of it? Yes:—and, to confirm my own judgement, I have that of many learned men and beaux esprits of all countries, who have celebrated my action as the perfection of heroism.

#### OXENSTIERN.

Those beaux esprits judged according to their predominant passion. I have heard young ladies express their admiration of Mark Antony, for heroically leaving his fleet at the battle of Actium, to follow his mistress. Your passion for literature had the same effect upon you. But why did not you indulge it in a manner more becoming your birth and rank? why did not you bring the Muses to Sweden, instead of deserting that kingdom to seek them in Rome? For a prince to encourage and protect arts and sciences, and more especially to instruct an illiterate people, and inspire them with knowledge, politeness, and fine tafte, is indeed an act of true greatness.

#### CHRISTINA.

The Swedes were too gross to be refined by any culture which I could have given to their dull, their half-frozen souls. Wit and genius require the influence of a more southern climate.

#### OXENSTIERN.

The Swedes too gross! No, madam; not even the Russians are too gross to be refined, if they had a prince to instruct them.

#### CHRISTINA.

It was too tedious a work for the vivacity of my temper, to polish bears into men: I should have died of the spleen before I had made any proficiency in it. My defire was, to shine among those who were qualified to judge of my talents. At Paris, at Rome, I had the glory of shewing the French and Italian wits, that the North could produce one not inferior to them. They beheld me with wonder. The homage I had received in my palace at Stockholm was paid to my dignity: that which I drew from the French and Roman academies was paid to my talents. How much more glorious, how much more delightful to an elegant and rational mind, was the latter than the former! Could you once have felt the joy, the transport of my heart, when I saw the greatest authors, and all the celebrated artists, in the most fearned and civilized countries of Europe, bringing their works to me, and submitting the merit of them to my decisions; when I saw the philosophers, Vol. II. M

## 162 DIALOGUES OF THE DEAD.

the rhetoricians, the poets, making my judgement the standard of their reputation; you would not wonder that I preferred the empire of wit to any other empire.

## OXENSTIERN.

O great Gustavus! my ever honoured, my adored master! O greatest of kings, greatest in valour, in virtue, in wisdom, with what indignation must thy soul, enthroned in heaven, have looked down on thy unworthy, thy degenerate daughter! with what shame must thou have seen her rambling about from court to court, deprived of her royal dignity, debased into a pedant, a witling, a finatterer in sculpture and painting, reduced to beg or buy flattery from each needy rhetorician, or hireling poet? I weep to think on this stain, this dishonourable stain, to thy illustrious blood! And yet-would to GoD! would to Goo! this were all the pollution it has fuffered!

## CHRISTINA.

Darest thou, Oxenstiern, impute any blemish to my honour?

## OXENSTIERN.

Madam, the world will scarcely respect the frailties of queens when they are on their thrones; much less when they have voluntarily degraded themselves to the level of the vulgar. And if scandalous tongues have unjustly aspersed their same, the way to clear it is not by an assagination.

#### CHRISTINA.

'Oh! that I were alive again, and restored to my throne, that I might punish the infolence of this hoary traitor!—But, fee! he leaves me, he turns his back upon me with cool contempt!—Alas! do not I deserve this fcorn? In spite of myself, I must confess that I do.—O vanity, how short-lived are the pleasures thou bestowest! I was thy votary; thou wast the god for whom I changed my religion. For thee I forfook my country and my throne. What compensation have I gained for all these sacrifices, so lavishly, so imprudently made? Some puffs of incense from authors, who thought their flattery due to the rank I had held, or hoped to advance themselves by my recommendation, or, at best, over-rated my passion for literature, and praised me, to raise the value of those talents with which they were endowed. But in the esteem of wife men I stand very low; and their esteem alone is the true measure of glory. Nothing, I perceive, can give the mind a lasting joy, but the consciousness of having performed our duty in that station which it has pleased the Divine Providence to affign to us. The glory of virtue is folid and eternal: all other will fade away, like a thin vapoury cloud, on which the cafual glance of some faint beams of light has superficially imprinted their weak and transient colours.

# DIALOGUE XI.

TITUS VESPASIANUS—PUBLIUS CORNELIUS SCIPIO AFRICANUS.

#### TITUS.

NO, Scipio; I cannot give place to you in this.—In other respects I acknowledge myself your inferior, though I was emperor of Rome, and you only her conful. I think your triumph over Carthage more glorious than mine over Judza: but in that I gained over love, I must esteem myself superior to you, though your generosity with regard to the fair Celtiberian, your captive, has been celebrated fo highly.

## SCIPIO.

Fame has been then unjust to your merite for little is faid of the continence of Titus. but mine has been the favourite topick of eloquence in every age and country.

## TITUS.

It has: - and in particular your great hiftorian Livy has poured forth all the otnaments of his admirable rhetorick to embellish and dignify that part of your flory. I had a great historian too, Cornelius Tacitus: bet either from the brevity which he affected in writing, or from the severity of his nature, which, never having felt the passion of love, thought

thought the subduing of it too easy a victory to deserve great encomiums, he has bestowed but three lines upon my parting with Berenicé, which cost me more pain, and greater efforts of mind, than the conquest of Jerusalem.

#### SCIPIO.

I wish to hear from yourself the history of that parting, and what could make it so hard and painful to you.

#### TITUS.

While I ferved in Palestine under the auspices of my father Vespasian, I became acquainted with Berenicé, sister to king Agrippa, and who was herself a queen in one of those Eastern countries. She was the most beautiful woman in Asia; but she had graces more irresistible still than her beauty. She had all the infinuation and wit of Cleopatra, without her coquetry. I loved her, and was beloved: she loved my person, not my greatness. Her tenderness, her sidelity, so instanced my passion for her, that I gave her a promise of marriage.

## SCIPIO.

What do I hear? A Roman senator promise to marry a queen!

## TITUS.

L'expected, Scipio, that your ears would be offended with the found of such a match. But consider that Rome was very different in my, time from Rome in yours. The serocious pride of our ancient republican so-

M 3 nator

166

plaifance, of a court. Berenieé made no doubt; and I flattered myself, that it would not be inflexible in this point alone. But we thought it necessary to defer the completion of our wishes till the death of my father. On that event, the Roman empire and (what I knew she valued more) my band became due to her, according to my engagements.

#### SCIPIQ.

Oh Rome, how art thou fallen! Accursed be the memory of Octavius Cæsar, who, by oppressing its liberty, so lowered the majesty of the republick, that a brave and virtuous Roman, in whom was vested all the power of that mighty state, could entertain such a thought! But did you find the senate and people so servile, so lost to all sense of their honour and dignity, as to affront the great genius of imperial Rome, and the eyes of her tutelary gods, the eyes of Jupiter Capitolinus, with the sight of a queen, an Asiatick queen, on the throne of the Cæsars?

TITUS.

I did not.—They judged of it as you, Scipio, judge; they deterted, they distained it. In vain did I urge to some particular friends, who represented to me the sense of the senate and people, that a Messalina, a Poppæa, were a much greater dishonour to the throne of the Cæsars than a virtuous

foreign princess \*. Their prejudices were unconquerable; I saw it would be impossible for me to remove them. But I might have vied my authority to filence their murmurs. A liberal donative to the foldiers, by whom I was fondly beloved, would have fecured their fidelity, and confequently would have forced the senate and people to yield to my inclination. Berenicé knew this, and with tears implored me not to facrifice her happiness and my own to an unjust prepossession. Shall I own it to you, Publius? My heart not only pitied her, but acknowledged the truth and folidity of her reasons. Yet so much did I abhor the idea of tyranny, fo much respect did I pay to the sentiments of my subjects, that I determined to separate myfelf from her for ever, rather than force either the laws or the prejudices of Rome to fubmit to my will.

## SCIPIO.

Give me thy hand, noble Titus. Thou wast worthy of the empire; and Scipio Africanus honours thy virtue.

## TITUS.

My virtue can have no greater reward from the approbation of man. But, O Scipio, think what anguish my heart must have telt, when I took that resolution, and when I communicated it to my dear, my unhappy

The character of Berenicé in this dialogue is conformable to the idea given of her by Racine, not by Josephus.

Berenicé. You saw the struggle of Masinissay when you forced him to give up his beloved Sophonifba. Mine was a harder conflict. She had abandoned him, to marry the king of Numidia. He knew that her ruling passion was ambition, not love. He could not rationally esteem her, when she quitted a husband, whom she had ruined, who had lost his crown and his liberty in the cause of her country and for her take, to give her person to him, the capital foe of that unfortunate husband. He must, in spite of his passion, have thought her a persidious, detestable woman. But I esteemed Berenicé: she deserved my esteem. I was certain she would not have accepted the empire from any other hand: and had I been a private man, she would have raised me to her throne. Yet I had the fortitude, I ought, perhaps, to fay, the hardness of heart, to bid her depart from my fight; depart for ever! What, O Publius, was your conquest over yourself, in giving back to her betrothed lover the Celtiberian captive, compared to this? Indeed that was no conquest. I will not fo dishonour the virtue of Scipio, as to think he could feel any struggle with himself on that account A woman engaged another, engaged by affection as well as vows, let her have been ever fo beautiful, could raise in your heart no sentiments but compassion and friendship. To have violated her, would have been an act of brutality, which which none but another Tarquin could have committed. To have detained her from her husband, would have been cruel. But where love is mutual, where the object beloved suffers more in the separation than you do yourself, to part with ber is indeed a struggle! It is the hardest facrifice a good heart can make to its duty.

SCIPIO.

I acknowledge that it is, and yield you the palm. But I will own to you, Titus, I never knew much of the tenderness you deferibe. Hannibal, Carthage, Rome, the saving of my country, the subduing of its rival—these filled my thoughts, and less no room there for those effeminate passions. I do not blame your sensibility: but, when I went to the capitol to talk with for E, I never consulted him about love affairs.

If my foul had been possessed by ambition alone, I might possibly have been a greater man than I was; but I should not have been a more virtuous, nor have gained the title I preserved to that of Conqueror of Judgea and emperor of Rome, in being called the Delight of Humankind.

# DIALOGUE XII.

# Henry Duke of Guise-Machiavel.

## GUISE.

AVAUNT, thou fiend!—I abhor thy fight—I look upon thee as the original cause of my death, and of all the calamities brought upon the French nation, in my sather's time and my own.

# MACHIAVEL.

I the cause of your death! You surprize me.

Yes:—Your pernicious maxims of policy, imported from Florence with Catharine of Medicis your wicked disciple, produced in France such a government, such dissimulation, such persidy, such violent, ruthless counsels, as threw that whole kingdom into the utmost consusion, and ended my life, even in the palace of my sovereign, by the swords of assassing.

# MACHIAVEL.

Whoever may have a right to complain of my policy, you, Sir, have not. You owed your greatness to it; and your deviating from it was the real cruse of your death. If it had not been for the affassination of admiral Coligni and the massacre of the Huguenots, the strength and power which the conduct of to able a chief would have given to that party, after the death of your father, its most dangerous

dangerous enemy, would have been fatal to your house: nor could you, even with all the advantage you drew from that great stroke of royal policy, have acquired the authority you afterwards rose to in the kingdom of France, but by pursuing my maxims; by availing yourself of the specious name of religion, to serve the secret purposes of your ambition; and by fuffering no restraint of fear or conscience, not even the guilt of exciting a civil war, to check the necessary progress of your well-concerted designs. But on the day of the barricades you most imprudently let the king escape out of Paris, when you might have flain or deposed him. This was directly against the great rule of my politicks, not to stop short in rebellion or treason till the work be fully compleated. And you were justly censured for it by Pope Sixtus Quintus, a more confummate politician, who faid, you ought to have known, that when a subject draws his sword against his king, he should throw away the scabbard. You likewife deviated from my counfels, by putting yourself in the power of a sovereign you had to much offended. Why would you, against all the cautions I had given, expose your life in a royal castle to the mercy of that prince? You trusted to his fear; but fear, insulted and desperate, is often cruel. Impute therefore your death, not to any fault in my maxims; but to your own follyin not having sufficiently observed them.

GUISE.

If neither I nor that prince had ever prace tifed your maxims in any part of our conducte he would have reigned many years with honour and peace, and I should have risen by my courage and talents to as high a pitch of greatness as it consisted with the duty of a subject to desire. But your instructions led us on into those crooked paths, out of which there was no retreat without great danger, nor a possibility of advancing without being detested by all mankind; and whoever is fo has every thing to fear from that deteflation. I will give you a proof of this, in the fate of a prince, who ought to have been your hero, instead of Cæsar Borgia, because he was incomparably a greater man, and, of all who ever lived, feems to have acted most steadily See Mar according to the rules laid down by you; I mean Richard III, king of England. He stopped at no crime that could be profitable to him: he was a diffembler, a hypocrite, a murderer in cool blood: after the death of his brother, he gained the crown, by cutting off, without pity, all who flood in his way. He trufted no man any further than helped his own purposes, and confisted with his own fafety. He liberally rewarded all fervices done him; but would not let the remembrance of them atone for offences, or fave any man from destruction who obstructed his views. Nevertheless, though his nature thrunk from no wickedness which could serve

Prince.

his ambition, he possessed and exercised all those virtues which you recommend to the practice of your prince. He was bold and prudent in war, just and strict in the general administration of his goernment, and particularly careful, by a vigourous execution of the laws, to protect the people against injuries or oppressions from the great. In all his actions and words there conftantly appeared the highest concern for the honour of the nation. He was neither greedy of wealth that belonged to other men, nor profuse of his own: but knew how to give, and where to fave. He professed a most edifying sense of religion, pretended great zeal for the reformation of manners, and was really an example of fobriety, chastity, and temperance, in the whole course of his life. Nor did he shed any blood, but of those who were fuch obstacles in his way to dominion as could not possibly be removed by any other means. This was a prince after your heart: yet, mark his end. The horrour his crimes had excited in the minds of his fubjects, and the detestation it produced, were so pernicious to him, that they enabled an exile, who had no right to the crown, and whose abilities were much inferior to his, to invade his realm, and destroy him.

## MACHIAVEL.

This example, I own, may seem to be of some weight against the truth of my system. But at the same time it demonstrates, that there

174

there was nothing so new in the doctrines I published, as to make it reasonable to charge me with the disorders and mischiefs, which, since my time, any kingdom may have happened to suffer from the ambition of a subject, or the tyranny of a prince. Human nature wants no teaching, to render it wicked. In courts more especially there has been, from the first institution of monarchies, a policy practised, not less repugnant than mine to the narrow and vulgar laws of humanity and religion. Why should I be singled out as worse than other statesmen?

#### GUISE.

There have been, it must be owned, in all ages and all states, many wicked politicians. But thou art the first that ever taught the science of tyranny, reduced it to rules, and instructed his disciples how to acquire and secure it, by treachery, perjuries, assassinations, proscriptions; and with a particular caution, not to be stopped in the progress of their crimes by any check of the conscience, or feeling of the heart; but to push them as far as they shall judge to be necessary to their greatness and safety. It is this which has given thee a pre-eminence in guilt over all other statesmen.

# MACHIAVEL.

If you had read my book with candour, you would have perceived that I did not defire to render men either tyrants or rebels; but only shewed, if they were so, what conduct,

in fuch circumstances, it would be rational and expedient for them to observe.

### G UISE.

When you were a minister of state in Florence, if any chemist, or physician, had published a treatise, to instruct his countrymen in the art of poisoning, and how to do it with the most certain destruction to others and fecurity to themselves; would you have allowed him to plead in his justification, that he did not defire men to poison their neighbours; but, if they would use such evil means of mending their fortunes, there could furely be no harm in letting them know what were the most effectual poisons, and by what methods they might give them without being discovered? Would you have thought it a fufficient apology for him, that he had dropped in his preface, or here and there in his book, a fober exhortation against the committing of murder? Without all doubt, as a magistrate concerned for the safety of the people of Florence, you would have punished the wretch with the utmost severity, and taken great care to destroy every copy of so pernicious a book. Yet your own admired work contains a more baneful and more infernal art. It poilous state, and kingdoms, and fpreads its malignity, like a general pestilence, over the whole world.

#### MACHIAVEL.

You must acknowledge at least, that my discourses on Livy are full of wise and virtuous maxims and precepts of government.

GUISE.

This, I think, rather aggravates than alleviates your guilt. How could you study and comment upon Livy with so acute and profound an understanding, and afterwards write a book so absoutely repugnant to all the lessons of policy taught by that sage and moral historian? how could you, who had seen the picture of virtue so amiably drawn by his hand, and who seemed yourself to be sensible of all its charms, sall in love with a sury, and set up her dreadful image as an object of worship to princes?

# MACHIAVEL.

I was seduced by vanity.—My heart was formed to love virtue. But I wanted to be thought a greater genius in politicks than Aristotle or Plato. Vanity, sir, is a passion as strong in authors as ambition in princes; or rather it is the same passion exerting itself differently. I was a duke of Guise in the republick of letters.

## GUISE.

The bad influences of your guilt have reached further than mine, and been more lasting. But, heaven be praised, your credit is at present much declining in Europe. I have been told by some shades who are lately arrived here, that the ablest statesman of his time, a king, with whose same the world is filled.

# + BIALIOG GEXXII.

filled, has answered your book, and confured all the principles of it, with a noble fcorn and abhorrence. I am also assured, that in England there is a great and good king, whole whole life has been a continued opposition to your evil Went; who has hated all cruelty, all fraud, all falseness; whose word has been facred, whose honour inviolate; who has made the laws of his kingdom the rules of his government, and good taith and a regard for the liberty of mankind the principles of his conduct with respect to foreign powers; who reigns more absolutely now in the hearts of his people, and does greater things by the confidence they place in him, and by the efforts they make from the generous zeal of affection, than any monarch ever did, or ever will do, by all the arts of iniquity which you recommended.

# DIALOGUE XIII.

Virgil—Horace—Mercury—Scaliger
The Elder.

#### VIRGIL.

M Y dear Horace, your company is my greatest delight, even in the Elysian fields. No wonder it was fo when we lived: rogether in Rome. Never had man forgenteel, fo agreeable, fo easy a wit, or a temper fo pliant to the inclinations of others in the intercourse of society. And then such integrity, fuch fidelity, fuch generofity in your nature! a foul fo free from all envy, fo benevolent, fo fincere, fo placable in its anger, for warm and constant in its affections! You were as necessary to Mæcenas, as he to Augustus. Your conversation sweetened to him all the cares of his ministry; your gaiety cheared his drooping spirits; and your counfels affifted him when he wanted advice. For you were capable, my dear Horace, of counseling statesmen. Your fagacity, your discretion, your secrefy, your clear judgement in all affairs, recommended you to the confidence, not of Mæcenas alone, but of Augustus himself; which you nobly made use of, to serve your old friends of the republican party, and to confirm both the minister and the prince in their love of mild and moderate measures:

measures; yet with a severerestraint of licentiousness, the most dangerous enemy to the whole commonwealth under any form of government.

#### HORACE.

To be fo praifed by Virgil, would have put me in Elyfium while I was alive.—But I know your modesty will not suffer me, in return for these encomiums, to speak of your character. Supposing it as perfect as your poems, you would think, as you did of them, that it wanted correction.

#### VIRGIL.

Don't talk of my modesty.—How much greater was yours, when you disclaimed the name of a poet, you whose odes are so noble, so harmonious, so sublime!

## HORACE.

I felt myself too inferior to the dignity of that name.

#### VIRGIL.

I think you did like Augustus, when he refused to accept the title of king, but kept all the power with which it was ever attended. Even in your epistles and fatires, where the poet was concealed as much as he could be, you may properly be compared to a prince in disguise, or in his hours of familiarity with his intimate friends: the pomp and majesty were dropped, but the greatness remained.

#### HORACE.

Well:—I will not contradict you; and (to fay the truth) I should do it with no very

N 2 good

good grace, because in some of my odes I have not spoken so modestly of my own poetry as in my epistles. But, to make you know your pre-eminence over me and all writers of Latin verse, I will carry you to Quintilian, the best of all Roman criticks, who will tell you in what rank you ought to be placed.

#### VIRGIL.

I fear his judgement of me was biaffed by your commendation.—But who is this shade that Mercury is conducting? I never saw one that stalked with so much pride, or had such ridiculous arrogance expressed in his looks!

# HORACE.

They come towards us:—Hail, Mercury! What is this stranger with you!

# MERCURY.

His name is Julius Cæsar Scaliger, and he is by profession a critick.

# HORACE.

Julius Cæsar Scaliger! He was, I presume, a distator in criticism.

## MERCURY.

Yes, and he has exercised his sovereign power over you.

# HORAĆE.

I will not prefume to oppose it. I had enough of following Brutus at Philippi.

#### MERCURY.

Talk to him a little:—He'll amuse you. I brought him to you on purpose.

#### HORACE.

Virgil, do you accost him:—I cannot do it with proper gravity: I shall laugh in his face.

# VIRGIL.

Sir, may I ask for what reason you cast your eyes so superciliously upon Horace and me? I don't remember that Augustus ever looked down upon us with such an air of superiority, when we were his subjects.

#### SCALIGER.

He was only a fovereign over your bodies, and owed his power to violence and usurpation. But I have from nature an absolute dominion over the wit of all authors, who are subjected to me as the greatest of criticks or bypercriticks,

# VIRGIL.

Your jurisdiction, great sir, is very extensive:—and what judgements have you been pleased to pass upon us?

# SCALIGER.

Is it possible you should be ignorant of my decrees? I have placed you, Virgil, above Homer; whom I have shewn to be—

## VIRGIL.

Hold, fir—no blasphemy against my master.

## HORACE.

But what have you faid of me?

#### HORACE.

A short way indeed to universal same! And I suppose you were very peremptory in your decisions.

#### SCALIGER.

Peremptory! ay.—If any man dared to contradict my opinions, I called him a dunce, a rascal, a villain, and frightened him out of his wits.

#### VIRGIL.

But what faid others to this method of disputation?

#### SCALIGER.

They generally believed me, because of the considence of my affertions; and thought I could not be so insolent, or so angry, if I were not absolutely sure of being in the right. Besides, in my controversies, I had a great help from the language in which I wrote: for one can scold and call names with a much better grace in Latin than in French, or any tame, modern tongue.

# HORACE.

Have not I heard, that you pretended to derive your descent from the princes of Vertona?

## SCALIGER.

Pretended! do you presume to deny it?

#### HORACE.

Not I indeed:—Genealogy is not my science. If you should claim to descend in a direct line from king Midas, I would not dispute it.

### SCALIGER.

I have said, that I had rather have written the little Dialogue between you and Lydia, than have been made king of Arragon.

#### HORACE.

If we were in the other world, you should give me the kingdom, and take both the ode and the lady in return. But did you always pronounce so favourably for us?

#### SCALIGER.

Send for my works, and read them.—Mercury will bring them to you with the first learned ghost that arrives here from Europe. There is instruction for you in them: I tell you of your faults.—But it was my whim to commend that little ode; and I never do things by halves. When I give praise, I give it liberally, to shew my royal bounty. But I generally blame, to exert all the vigour of my censorian power, and keep my subjects in awe.

# HORACE.

You did not confine your fovereignty to poets; you exercised it, no doubt, over all other writers.

# SCALIGER.

I was a poet, a philosopher, a statesman, an orator, an historian, a divine; without doing the drudgery of any of these, but only censuring those who did, and shewing thereby the superiority of my genius over them all.

#### VIRGIL.

I wonder, Scaliger, that you stooped to so low an ambition. Was it not greater to reign over all Mount Parnassus than over a petty state in Italy?

#### SCALIGER.

You say well.—I was too condescending to the prejudices of vulgar opinion. The ignorant multitude imagine that a prince is a greater man than a critick. Their folly made me desire to claim kindred with the Scalas of Verona.

#### HORACE.

Pray, Mercury, how do you intend to difpose of this august person? You cannot think it proper to let him remain with us.—He must be placed with the demigods; he must go to Olympus.

## MERCURY,

Be not afraid.—He shall not trouble you long. I brought him hither, to divert you with the fight of an animal you never had seen, and myself with your surprize. He is the chief of all the modern criticks, the most renowned captain of that numerous and dreadful band. Whatever you may think of him, I can seriously assure you, that, before he went mad, he had good parts and great learning. But I will now explain to you the original cause of the absurdities he has uttered. His mind was formed in such a manner, that, like some perspective glasses, it either diminished or magnified all objects too much; but above

above all others it magnified the good man to himself. This made him so proud, that it turned his brain. Now I have had my sport with him, I think it will be charity to restore him to his senses; or rather to bestow, what nature denied him, a sound judgement. Come hither, Scaliger. —By this touch of my caduceus, I give thee power to see things as they are, and among others thyself.—Look, gentlemen, how his countenance is fallen in a moment! Hear what he says:—He is talking to himself.

## SCALIGER.

Bless me! with what persons have I been discoursing! with Virgil and Horace! How could I venture to open my lips in their prefence? Good Mercury, I beseech you, let me retire from a company for which I am very unsit. Let me go and hide my head in the deepest shade of that grove which I see in the valley. After I have performed a penance there, I will crawl on my knees to the seet of those illustrious shades, and beg them to see me burn my impertinent books of criticism, in the siery billows of Phlegethon, with my own hands.

# MERCURY.

They will both receive thee into favour. This mortification of truly knowing thyself is a sufficient atonement for thy former pre-sumption.

# DIALOGUE XIV,

# BOILEAU-POPE

## BOILEAU.

R. Pope, you have done me great honour. I am told, that you made me your model in poetry, and walked on Parnassus in the same paths which I had trod.

## POPE.

We both followed Horace: but in our manner of imitation, and in the turn of our natural genius, there was, I believe, much refemblance. We both were too irritable, and too easily hurt by offences even from the lowest of men. The keen edge of our wit was frequently turned against those whom it was more a shame to contend with than an honour to vanquish.

## BOILEAU.

Yes:—But in general we were the champions of good morals, good fense, and good learning. If our love of these were sometimes heated into anger against those who offended them no less than us, is that anger to be blamed?

### POPE.

It would have been nobler, if we had not been parties in the quarrel. Our enemies obferve, that neither our censure nor our praise was always impartial.

### BOILEAU.

It might perhaps have been better, if in some instances we had not praised or blamed so much. But in panegyrick and satire moderation is insipid.

#### POPE.

Moderation is a cold unpoetical virtue. Mere historical truth is better written in prose. And therefore I think you did judiciously, when you threw into the fire your history of Louis le Grand, and trusted his same to your poems.

## BOILE AU.

When those poems were published, that monarch was the idol of the French nation. If you and I had not known, in our occasional compositions, how to speak to the passions as well as to the sober reason of mankind, we should not have acquired that despotick authority in the empire of wit, which made us so formidable to all the inserior tribe of poets in England and France. Beside, sharp satyrists want great patrons.

## POPE.

All the praise which my friends received from me was unbought. In this, at least, I may boast a superiority over the pensioned Boileau.

# BOILEAU.

A pension in France was an honourable distinction. Had you been a Frenchman, you would have ambitiously sought it; had I been an Englishman, I should have proudly declined

clined it. If our merit in other respects be not unequal, this difference will not set me much below you in the temple of virtue or of same.

#### POPE.

It is not for me to draw a comparison between our works. But, if I may believe the best criticks who have talked to me on the subject, my Rape of the Lock is not inferior to your Lutrin; and my Art of Criticism may well be compared with your Art of Poetry: my Ethic Epistles are esteemed at least equal to yours, and my Satires much better.

# BOILEAU.

Hold, Mr. Pope.—If there be really fuch a sympathy in our natures as you supposed, there may be reason to fear, that, if we go on in this manner comparing our works, we shall not part in good friendship.

### POPE.

No, no:—the mild air of the Elysian fields has mitigated my temper, as I presume it has yours. But in truth our reputations are nearly on a level. Our writings are admired almost equally (as I hear) for energy and justness of thought. We both of us carried the beauty of our diction, and the harmony of our numbers, to the highest perfection that our languages would admit. Our poems were polished to the utmost degree of correctness; yet without losing their fire, or the agreeable appearance of freedom and ease. We harrowed much from the ancients, though

vou. I believe, more than I: but our imitations (to use an expression of your own) see Boilhad still an original air.

### BOILEAU.

I will confess, Sir, (to shew you that the Elyfian climate has had its effects upon me) I will fairly confess, without the least illhumour, that, in your Eloisa to Abelard, your Verses to the Memory of an unfortunate Lady, and some others you wrote in your youth, there is more fire of poetry than in any of mine. You excelled in the pathetick, which I never approached. I will also allow. that you hit the manner of Horace, and the My delicacy of his wit, more exactly than I. or than any other man who has written fince his time. Nor could I, nor did even Lucretius himself, make philosophy so poetical, and embellish it with such charms as you have given to that of Plato, or (to speak more properly) of some of his modern disciples, in your celebrated Essay on Man.

POPE.

What do you think of my Homer? BOILEAU.

Your Homer is the most spirited, the most poetical, the most elegant, and the most pleasing translation, that ever was made of any ancient poem; though not fo much in the manner of the original, or so exactly agreeable to the fense in all places, as might perhaps be defired. But when I confider the years you fpent in this work, and how many

excellent

excellent original poems you might with less difficulty have produced in that time, I cannot but regret that your talents were thus employed. A great poet, fo tied down to a tedious translation, is a Columbus chained to an oar. What new regions of fancy, full of treasures yet untouched, might you have explored, if you had been at liberty boldly to expand your fails, and steer your own course, under the conduct and direction of your own genius !-But I am still more angry with you for your edition of Shakespear. The office of an editor was below you, and your mind was unfit for the drudgery it requires. Would any body think of employing a Raphael to clean an old picture?

# POPE.

The principal cause of my undertaking that task was zeal for the honour of Shake-spear: and, if you knew all his beauties as well as I, you would not wonder at this zeal. No other author had ever so copious, so bold, so creative an imagination, with so perfect a knowledge of the passions, the humours, and sentiments of mankind. He painted all characters, from kings down to peasants, with equal truth and equal force. If human nature were destroyed, and no monument were left of it except his works, other beings might know what man was from those writings.

## BOILEAU.

You say he painted all characters, from kings down to peasants, with equal truth and equal force. I cannot deny that he did so: but I wish he had not jumbled those characters together, in the composition of his pictures, as he has frequently done.

### POPE.

The strange mixture of tragedy, comedy, and farce, in the same play, nay sometimes in the same scene, I acknowledge to be quite inexcusable. But this was the taste of the times when Shakespear wrote.

## BOILEAU.

A great genius ought to guide, not fervilely follow, the taste of his contemporaries.

# POPE.

Confider from how thick a darkness of barbarism the genius of Shakespear broke forth! What were the English, and what (let me ask you) were the French dramatic performances, in the age when he flourished? The advances he made towards the highest perfection both of tragedy and comedy are amazing! In the principal points, in the power of exciting terror and pity, or raising laughter in an audience, none yet has excelled him, and very few have equalled.

# BOILEAU.

Do you think that he was equal in comedy to Moliere?

## POPE.

delicate strokes of satire, and what is called genteel comedy, he was greatly inserior to that admirable writer. There is nothing in him to compare with the Misanthrope, the Ecole des Femmes, or Tartusse.

# BOILEAU.

This, Mr. Pope, is a great deal for an Englishman to acknowledge. A veneration for Shakespear seems to be a part of your national religion, and the only part in which even your men of sense are fanaticks.

## POPE.

He who can read Shakespear, and be cool enough for all the accuracy of sober criticism, has more of reason than taste.

# BOILEAU.

I join with you in admiring him as a prodigy of genius, though I find the most shocking absurdities in his plays; absurdities which no critick of my nation can pardon.

#### POPE.

We will be fatisfied with your feeling the excellence of his beauties. But you would admire him still more, if you could fee the chief characters in all his best tragedies represented by an actor, who appeared on the stage a little before I left the world. He has shewn the English nation more excellences in Shakespear, than the quickest wits could differn, and has imprinted them on the

heart with a livelier feeling than the most fensible natures had ever experienced without his help.

# BOILE AU.

The variety, spirit, and force, of Mr. Garrick's action have been much praised to me by many of his countrymen, whose shades I converse with, and who agree in speaking of him as we do of Baron, our most natural and most admired actor. I have also heard of another, who has now quitted the stage, but who had filled, with great dignity, force, and elevation, some tragick parts; and excelled so much in the comick, that none ever has deserved a higher applause.

#### POPE.

Mr. Quin was indeed a most perfect comedian. In the part of Falfaff particularly, wherein the utmost force of Shakespear's bumour appears, he attained to such perfection, that he was not an actor; he was the man described by Shakespear; he was Falfaff himself! When I saw him do it, the pleasantry of the fat knight appeared to me so bewitching, all his vices were so mirthful, that I could not much wonder at his having seduced a young prince even to rab in his company.

# BOILEAU.

That character is not well understood by the French. They suppose it belongs, not to comedy, but to farce: whereas the English see in it the finest and highest strokes of wit and humour. Perhaps these different judgements may be accounted for, in some meavor. II.

fure, by the diversity of manners in different countries. But don't you allow, Mr. Pope, that our writers, both of tragedy and comedy, are, upon the whole, more perfect masters of their art than yours? If you deny it, I will appeal to the Athenians, the only judges qualified to decide the dispute. I will refer it to Euripides, Sophocles, and Menander.

## POPE.

I am afraid of those judges: for I see them continually walking hand in hand, and engaged in the most friendly conversation, with Corneille, Racine, and Moliere. Our dramatick writers seem, in general, not so fond of their company: they sometimes shove rudely by them, and give themselves airs of superiority. They slight their reprimands, and laugh at their precepts. In short, they will be tried by their country alone; and that judicature is partial.

# BOILEAU.

I will press this question no further,—But let me ask you, to which of our rival tragedians, Racine and Corneille, do you give the preserence?

## POPE.

The sublimest plays of Corneille are, in my judgement, equalled by the Athalia of Racine; and the tender passions are certainly touched by that elegant and most pathetick writer with a much finer hand. I need not add, that he is infinitely more correct than Corneille, and more harmonious and noble

In his verification. Corneille formed himself to the was Virgil. How much better a taste had the former than the latter in chasing his model!

#### BOILE AU.

My friendship with Racine, and my partiality for his writings, make me hear with great pleasure the preserence given to him above Corneille by so judicious a critick.

#### POPE.

That he excelled his competitor in the particulars I have mentioned, cannot I think be denied. But yet the spirit and the majesty of ancient Rome were never so well expressed as by Corneille. Nor has any other French dramatick writer, in the general character of his works, shewn such a masculine strength and greatness of thought. Racine is the fwan described by ancient poets, which rifes to the clouds on downy wings, and fings a fweet, but a gentle and plaintive note. Corneille is the eagle, which foars to the skies on bold and founding pinions, and fears not to perch on the sceptre of Jupiter, or to bear in his pounces the lightning of the god.

# BOILE AU.

I am glad to find, Mr. Pope, that, in praising Corneille, your un into poetry; which is not the language of foher criticism, though fometimes used by Longinus.

## POPE.

I caught the fire from the idea of Corneille.

196

#### BOILE AU.

He has bright flashes; yet I think that in bis thunder there is often more noise than fire Don't you find him too declamatory, too turgid, too unnatural, even in his best tragedies?

I own I do Yet the greatness and elevation of his sentiments, and the nervous vigour of his sense, atone, in my opinion, for all his faults. But let me now, in my turn, defire your opinion of our epick poet, Milton.

## BOILE AU.

Longinus perhaps would prefer him to aff other writers: for he surpasses even Homer in the sublime. But other criticks, who require variety, and agreeableness, and a correct regularity of thought and judgement, in an epick poem, who can endure no absurdities, no extravagant sictions, would place him far below Virgil.

## POPE.

His genius was indeed fo vast and sublime, that his poem seems beyond the limits of criticism: as his subject is beyond the limits of nature. The bright and excessive blaze of poetical sire, which shines in so many parts of the Paradise Lost, will hardly permit the dazzled eye to see its faults.

# BOILEAU

The take of your countrymen is much changed fince the days of Charles II, when Dryden was thought a greater poet than Mitton!

#### POPE.

The politicks of Milton at that time brought his poetry into difgrace: for it is a rule with the English; they see no good in a man whose politicks they dislike. But, as their notions of government are apt to change. men of parts, whom they have flighted, become their fayourite authors; and others, who have possess their warmest admiration. are in their turn under-valued. This revolution of favour was experienced by Dryden as well as Milton. He lived to see his writings, together with his politicks, quite out of fashion. But even in the days of his highest prosperity, when the generality of the people admired his Almanzor, and thought his Indian Emperor the perfection of tragedy, the duke of Buckingham and lord Rochester, the two wittiest noblemen our country has produced, attacked his fame. and turned the rants of his heroes, the jargon of his spirits, and the absurdity of his plots, into just ridicule.

## BOILEAU.

You have made him good amends, by the praise you have given him in some of your writings.

# POPE.

I owed him that praise, as my master in the art of verification. Yet I subscribe to the confures which have been passed by other writers on many of his works. They are good criticks; but he is still a great poet. You, Sir, I am sure, must particularly ad-

100

mire him as an excellent fatirist. His Abfallom and Achitophel is a master-piece in that way of writing; and his Mac Flecno is; I think, inscrior to it in nothing but the meanners of the subject.

### BOILE AU.

Dunciad from the latter of those very ingenious satires?

#### POPE.

I did—but my work is more extensive than liis, and my imagination has taken in it a greater scope.

#### BOILEAU.

Some criticks may doubt whether the length of your poem were so properly suited to the meanness of the subject as the brevity of his. Three cantos to expose a dunce crowned with latire!! I have not given above three lines to the author of the Pucelle.

# POPE.

My intention was, to expose, not one author alone, but all the dulness and faile taste of the English nation in my times. Could such a deligh be contracted into a narrower compass?

BOILEAU.

We will not dispute on this point, nor whether the hero of your Dunciad were really a dunce. But has not Dryden been

accused of immorality and prophaneness in some of his wittings?

POPE.

Property to fay; that all our best comick writers after

after Shakespear and Jonson, except Addison and Steele, are as liable as he to that heavy charge. Fletcher is shocking. Etheridge, Wycherley, Congreve, Vanbrugh, and Farquhar, have painted the manners of the times in which they wrote, with a masterly hand: but they are too often such manners, that a virtuous man, and much more a virtuous woman, must be greatly offended at the representation.

## BOILEAU.

In this respect, our stage is far preserable to yours. It is a school of morality. Vice is exposed to contempt and to hatred. No salse colours are laid on, to conceal its deformity; but those with which it paints itself are there taken off.

## POPE.

It is a wonderful thing, that in France the Comick Muse should be the gravest lady in the nation. Of late she is so grave, that one might almost mistake her for her sister Melpomené. Moliere made her indeed a good moral philosopher; but then she philosophized, like Democritus, with a merry laughing face. Now she weeps over vice, instead of shewing it to mankind, as I think the generally ought to do, in ridiculous lights.

## BOILEAU.

Her business is more with folly than with vice; and when she attacks the latter, it should be rather with ridicule than invective.

SOD

But sometimes the may be allowed to raise her voice, and change her usual smile into a sowin of just indignation.

#### POPE

I like her best when she smiles. But did you never reprove your witty friend La Fontaine, for the vicious levity that appears in many of his Tales? He was as guilty of the crime of debauching the Muses, as any of our comick poets.

#### BOILEAU.

I own he was; and bewail the profitution of his genius, as I should that of an innocent and beautiful country girl. He was all nature, all simplicity! yet in that simplicity there was a grace and unaffected vivacity, with a justness of thought and easy elegance of expression, that can hardly be found in any other writer. His manner is quite original, and peculiar to himself, though all the matter of his writings is borrowed from others.

## POPE.

In that manner he has been imitated by my friend Mr. Prior.

## BOILEAU.

He has, very successfully. Some of Prior's rales have the spirit of La Fontaine's, with more judgement; but not, I think, with such an amiable and graceful simplicity.

#### POPE.

Prior's harp had more strings than La Fontaine's. He was a sine poet in many different

# DHALOGSUBOKIV

And, though in some of his tales he imitated that author, his Alma was an original, and of singular beauty.

# HY BOILEAU.

There is a writer of beroick poetry, who lived before Milton, and whom some of your countrymen place in the highest class of your poets, though he is little known in France. I see him sometimes in company with Homer and Virgil, but oftener with Tasso, Ariosto, and Dante.

## POPE.

I understand you mean Spenser. There is a force and beauty in some of his images and descriptions, equal to any in those writers you have feen him converse with. But he had not the art of properly shading his pictures. He brings the minute and disagreeable parts too much into fight; and mingles too frequently vulgar and mean ideas with noble and fublime. Had he chosen a subject proper for epick poetry, he seems to have had a sufficient elevation and strength in his genius to make him a great epick poet: but the allegory, which is continued throughout the whole work, fatigues the mind, and cannot interest the heart to much as those poems, the chief actors in which are supposed to have neally existed. The Syrens and Circé in the Odyssey are allegorical persons; but Ulysses, the hero of the poems was a man renowned in Greece. which 100

302

which makes the account of his adventures affecting and delightful. To be now and then he Fairy-land, among imaginary beings, is a pleasing variety, and helps to distinguish the poet from the orator or historian: but to be always there, is irksome.

#### TATE OF THE ROLL EAU.

Is not Spenfer likewise blameable, for confounding the Christian with the Pagan theology, in some parts of his poem?

# POPE.

Yes; he had that fault in common with Dante, with Ariosto, and with Camoens.

#### BOILEAU.

Who is the poet that arrived foon after you in Elyfium, whom I faw Spenfer lead in and prefent to Virgil, as the author of a poem refembling the Georgicks? On his head was a garland of the feveral kinds of flowers that blow in each feafon, with evergreens intermixed.

#### POPE.

Your description points out Thomson. He painted nature exactly, and with great strength of pencil. His imagination was rich, extensive, and sublime: his diction bold and glowing, but sometimes obscure and affected. Nor did he always know when to stop, or what to reject.

#### BOILEAU.

I should suppose that he wrote tragenes upon the Greek models for he is often admitted into the grove of Euripides.

#### POPE.

He enjoys that distinction both as a trangedian and as a moralist. For, not only in his plays, but all his other works, there is the purest morality, animated by piety, and rendered more touching by the fine and delicate sentiments of a most tender and benevolent beart.

#### BOILEAU.

St. Evremond has brought me acquainted with Waller.—I was furprized to find in his writings a politeness and gallantry which the French suppose to be appropriated only to theirs. His genius was a composition, which is feldom to be met with, of the fublime and the agreeable. In his comparison between himself and Apollo as the lover of Daphné, and in that between Amoret and Sacharissa. there is a finesse and delicacy of wit, which the most elegant of our writers have never exceeded. Nor had Sarrazin or Voiture the art of praising more genteely the ladies whom they admired. But his epiftle to Cromwell, and his poem on the death of that extraordinary man, are written with a force and greatmels of manner, which give him a rank among the poets of the first class.

#### P O P E

Mr. Waller was unquestionably a very fine writer. His Muse was as well qualified as the Graces themselves to dress out a Venus; and he could even adorn the brows of a conqueror

queror with fragrant and beautiful wreaths. But he had fome puerile and low thoughts. which unaccountably mixed with the elegant and the noble, like school-boys or mob admitted into a palace. There was also an intemperance and a luxuriancy in his wit, which he did not enough restrain. He wrote little to the understanding, and less to the heart; but he frequently delights the imagination, and sometimes strikes it with flashes of the highest fublime. We had another poet of the age of Charles the First, extremely admired by all his contemporaries; in whose works there is still more affectation of wit, a greater redundancy of imagination, a worse taste, and less judgement: but he touched the heart more, and had finer feelings, than Waller. -I mean Cowley.

#### BOILE AU.

I have been often folicited to admire his writings by his learned friend Dr. Spratt. He feems to me a great wit, and a very amiable man, but not a good poet.

## POPE.

The spirit of poetry is strong in some of his odes; but in the art of poetry he is always extremely desicient.

#### BOILE AU.

I hear that of late his reputation is much lowered in the opinion of the English. Yet I cannot but think that, if a moderate portion of the superfluities of his wit were given by Apollo

Apollo to forme of their modern bards, who write common place morals in very smooth verse, without any absurdity, but without a lingle new thought, or one enlivening spark of imagination, it would be a great favour to them, and do them more service, than all the rules laid down in my Art of Poetry, and yours of Criticism.

POPE

I am much of your mind.—But I left in England fume poets, whom you, I know, will admire, not only for the harmony and correctness of style, but the spirit and genius you will find in their writings.

BOILEAU.

France too has produced some very excellent writers, since the time of my death.

— Of one particularly I hear wonders.

Fame to him is as kind as if he had been dead a thousand years. She brings his praises to me from all parts of Europe. — You know I speak of Voltaire.

POPE.

I do: the English nation yields to none in admiration of his extensive genius. Other writers excell in some one particular branch of wit or science; but when the king of Prussia drew Voltaire from Paris to Berlin, he had a whole Academy of Belles Lettres in him alone.

BOILEAU.

That prince himself has such talents for poetry as no other monarch, in any age or country,

205

country, has ever possessed. What are alteralishing compass must there be in his mind, what an heroick tranquillity and firmness in his heart, that he can in the evening compose an ode or epistle in the most elegant verse, and the next morning fight a battle with the conduct of Cæsar or Gustavus Adolphus!

#### POPE.

I envy Voltaire so noble a subject both for his verse and his prose. But, if that prince will write his own Commentaries, he will want no historian. I hope that, in writing them, he will not restrain his pen, as Cæsar has done, to a mere account of his wars; but let us see the politician, and the benignant protector of arts and sciences, as well as the warrior, in that picture of himself. Voltaire has shewn us, that the events of battles and sieges are not the most interesting parts of good history; but that all the improvements and embellishments of human society ought to be carefully and particularly recorded there.

#### BOILEAU.

The progress of arts and knowledge, and the great changes that have happened in the manners of mankind, are objects far more worthy of a reader's attention than the revolutions of fortune. And it is chiefly to Voltaire that we owe this instructive species of history.

#### POPE.

He has not only been the father of it among

among the moderns, but has carried it himfelf to its utmost perfection.

### BOILEAU.

be exact, who is so comprehensive?

### POPE.

A traveller round the world cannot inspect every region with such an accurate care, as exactly to describe each single part. If the outlines be well marked, and the observations on the principal points be judicious, it is all that can be required.

## AF BOILEAU.

I would however advise and exhort the French and English youth, to take a fuller survey of some particular provinces; and to remember, that although, in travels of this sort, a lively imagination is a very agreeable companion, it is not the best guide. To speak without a metaphor, the study of history, both sacred and profane, requires a critical and laborious investigation. The composer of a sett of lively and witty remarks on facts ill examined, or incorrectly delivered, is not an historian.

## POPE.

We cannot, I think, deny that name to the author of the Life of Charles the XIIth, king of Sweden.

### BOILEAU.

No, certainly.—I esteem it the very best history that this age has produced. As full

of spirit as the hero whose actions it relatesent is nevertheless most exact in all matters of importance. The style of it is elegant, perspicuous, unaffected; the disposition and method are excellent, the judgements given by the writer acute and just.

#### POPE.

Are you not pleased with that philosophical freedom of thought, which discovers itself in all the works of Voltaire, but more particularly in those of an historical nature?

#### BOILEAU.

If it were properly regulated, I should teckon it among their highest perfections. Superstition, and bigotry, and party spirit, are as great enemies to the truth and candour of history, as malice or adulation. To think freely; is therefore a most necessary quality in a perfect historian. But all liberty has its bounds; which, in some of his writings, Voltaire, I fear, has not observed. Would to heaven he would reflect, while it is yet in his power to correct what is faulty, that all his works will outlive him; that many nations will read them; and that the judgement pronounced here upon the writer him felf will be according to the scope and tendency of them, and to the extent of their, good or evil effects on the great fociety of mankind!

#### POPE.

other wits of your country, who give the son

to this age in all polite literature, had the fame serious thoughts you recommended to Voltaire. Witty writings, when directed to serve the good ends of virtue and religion, are like the lights hung out in a phares, to guide the mariners safe through dangerous seas: but the brightness of those that are impious or immoral shines only to betray, and to lead men to destruction.

#### BOILEAU.

Has England been free from all seductions of this nature?

#### POPE.

No.—But the French have the art of rendering vice and impiety more agreeable than the English.

#### BOILEAU.

I am not very proud of this superiority in the talents of my countrymen. But, as I am told that the good sense of the English is now admired in France, I hope it will soon convince both nations, that true wisdom is virtue, and true virtue is religion.

#### POPE.

I think it also to be wished, that a taste for the frivolous may not continue too prevalent among the French. There is a great difference between gathering flowers at the foot of Parnassus, and ascending the arduous heights of the mountain. The palms and laurels grow there; and, if any of your countrymen aspire to gain them, they must Vol. II.

no longer enervate all the vigour of their minds by this habit of trifling: I would have them be perpetual competitors with the English in manly wit and substantial learning. But let the competition be friendly. There is nothing which so contracts and debases the mind as national envy. True wit, like true virtue, naturally loves its own image, in whatever place it is found.

# [211]

# DIALOGUE XV.

# OCTAVIA-PORTIA-ARRIA.

# PORTIA.

HOW has it happened, Octavia, that Arria and I, who have a higher rank than you in the temple of Fame, should have a lower here in Elysium? We are told, that the virtues you exerted, as a wife, were greater than ours. Be so good as to explain to us what were those virtues. It is the privilege of this place, that one can bear superiority without mortification. The jealously of precedence died with the rest of our mortal frailties. Tell us then your own story. We will sit down under the snade of this myrtle grove, and listen to it with pleasure.

# OCTAVIA.

Noble ladies, the glory of our fex and of Rome, I will not refuse to comply with your desire, though it recalls to my mind some scenes which my heart would wish to forget. There can be only one reason why Minos should have given to my conjugal virtues a preference above yours; which is, that the trial assigned to them was harder.

#### ARRIA.

How! madam; harder than to die for your husband! We died for ours.

## OCTAVIA.

You did, for husbands who loved you, and were the most virtuous men of the ages they lived in; who trusted you with their lives, their fame, their honour. To outlive fuch husbands is, in my judgement, a harder effort of virtue, than to die for them, or with them. But Mark Antony, to whom my brother Octavius, for reasons of state, gave my hand, was indifferent ro me, and loved another. Yet he has told me himself, I was handsomer than his mistress Cleopatra. Younger I certainly was; and to men that is generally a charm sufficient to turn the scale in one's favour. I had been loved by Marcellus. Antony faid, he loved me, when he pledged to me his faith. Perhaps he did for a time: a new handsome woman might, from his natural inconstancy, make him forget an old attachment. He was but too amiable.—His very vices had charms beyond other mens virtues. Such vivacity! fuch fire! fuch a towering pride! He seemed made by nature to command; to govern the world; to govern it with such ease, that the business of it did not rob him of an hour of pleasure! Nevertheless, while his inclination for me acontinued, this haughty lord of mankind, who could hardly bring his high spirit to treat

treat my brother, his partner in empire, with the necessary respect, was to me as fubinissive, as obedient to every wish of my heart, as the humblest lover that ever fighed in the vales of Arcadia. Thus he feduced my affection from the manes of Marcellus, and fixed it on himself. He fixed it, ladies, (I own it with fome confusion) more fondly than it had ever been fixed on Marcellus. And when he had done so, he scorned me. he forfook me, he returned to Cleopatra. Think who I was:—the fifter of Cæfar, facrificed to a vile Egyptian queen, the harlot of Julius, the difgrace of her fex! Every outrage was added, that could incense me still more. He gave her, at fundry times, as publick marks of his love, many provinces of the empire of Rome in the East. He Sce Pluread her love-letters openly, in his tribunal of Antony. itself; even while he was hearing and judging the causes of kings. Nay he left his tribunal, and one of the best Roman orators pleading before him, to follow her litter, in which she happened to be passing by at that time. But, what was more grievous to me than all these demonstrations of his extravagant passion for that infamous woman, he V. Suctohad the affurance, in a letter to my brother, Augusto to call her his wife. Which of you, ladies, Cafare. could have patiently borne this treatment?

## ARRIA.

Not I, madam, in truth. Had I been in your place, the dagger with which I pierced my own boson, to shew my dear Pætus how easy it was to die—that dagger should I have plunged into Antony's heart, if piety to the gods, and a due respect to the purity of my own soul, had not stopped my hand. But, I verily believe, I should have killed myself; not, as I did, out of affection to my husband, but out of shame and indignation at the wrongs I endured.

### PORTIA.

I must own, Octavia, that to bear such usage, was harder to a woman than to swallow sire.

## OCTAVIA.

See Plutarich Life Yet I did bear it, madam, without even a of Antony complaint which could hurt or offend my hutband. Nay, more; at his return from his Parthian expedition, which his impatience to bear a long absence from Cleopatra had made unfortunate and inglorious, I went to meet him in Syria, and carried with me rich presents of cloaths and money for his troops, a great number of horses, and two thousand chosen soldiers equipped and armed like my brother's prætorian bands. He sent to stop me at Athens, because his mistress was then with him. I obeyed his orders: but I wrote to him, by one of his most faithful friends, a letter full of resignation, and such a ten-

derness for him as I imagined might have power to touch his heart. My envoy ferved me so well, he set my fidelity in so fair a light, and gave such reasons to Antony why he ought to fee and receive me with kindness, that Cleopatra was alarmed. All her arts were employed, to prevent him from feeing me, and to draw him again into Ægypt.—Those arts prevailed. He sent me Plutarch, back into Italy, and gave himself up more ubi supra. absolutely than ever to the witchcraft of that Circé. He added Africa to the states he had bestowed on her before: and declared Cæsario. her spurious son by Julius Cæsar, heir to all her dominions, except Phœnicia and Cil licia, which, with the Upper Syria, he gave to Ptolemy, his fecond fon by her; and at the fame time declared his eldest son by her, whom he had espoused to the princess of Media, heir to that kingdom, and king of Armenia, nay, and of the whole Parthian empire, which he meaned to conquer for him. The children I had brought him he entirely neglected, as if they had been bastards.—I wept. I lamented the wretched captivity he was in;—but I never reproached him. My brother, exasperated at so many indignities, commanded me to quit the house of my husband at Rome, and come into his.—I refused to obey him.—I remained in Antony's house. I persisted to take care of his children by Fulvia, the fame tender care as of my own. I gave my protection to all his friends P 4

at Rome. I implored my brother not to make my jealousy or my wrongs the cause of a civil war. But the injuries done to Rome by Antony's conduct could not possibly be forgiven. When he found he should draw the Roman arms on himself, he sent orders to me to leave his house. I did so; but carried with me all his children by Fulvia, except Antyllus, the eldest, who was then with him in Egypt. After his death and Cleopatra's, I took her children by him, and bred them up with my own.

### ARRIA.

Is it possible madam? the children of Cleopatra?

## OCTAVIA.

Yes, the children of my rival. I married her daughter to Juba, king of Mauritania, the most accomplished and the handsomest prince in the world.

## ARRIA.

Tell me, Octavia, did not your pride and referement entirely cure you of your passion for Antony, as soon as you saw him go back to Cleopatra? and was not your whole conduct afterward the effect of cool reason, undisturbed by the agitations of jealous and tortured love?

## CHUOCTAVIA.

You probe my heart very deeply. That I had fome help from refentment and the natural pride of my fex, I will not deny.

But I was not become indifferent to my husband. I loved the Antony who had been my lover, more than I was angry with the Antony who forfook me and loved another woman. Had he left Cleopatra, and returned to me again with all his former affection, I really believe I should have loved him as well as before.

## ARRIA.

If the merit of a wife be to be measured by her sufferings, your heart was unquestionably the most perfect model of conjugal virtue. The wound I gave mine was but a scratch in comparison to many you felt. Yet I don't know whether it would be any benefit to the world, that there should be in it many Octavias. Too good subjects are apt to make bad kings.

#### PORTIA.

True, Arria; the wives of Brutus and Cecinna Pætus may be allowed to have spirits a little rebellious. Octavia was educated in the court of her brother. Subjection and patience were much better taught there than in our houses, where the Roman liberty made its last abode: and though I will not dispute the judgement of Minos, I cannot help thinking that the affection of a wife to her husband is more or less respectable in proportion to the character of that husband. If I could have had for Antony

the same friendship as I had for Brutus, I should have despised myself.

DCTAVIA.

My fondness for Antony was ill placed; but my perseverance in the performance of all the duties of a wife, notwithstanding his ill usage, a perseverance made more difficult by the very excess of my love, appeared to Minos the highest and most meritorious effort of semale resolution, against the seductions of the most dangerous enemy to our virtue, offended pride.

# िन शाका जो

# DIALOGUE XVI.

LOUISE DE COLIGNI, Princess of ORANGE.

FRANCES WALSINGHAM, Counters of Effex and of Clanrickard; before, Lady SIDNEY

# PRINCESS OF ORANGE.

OUR destinies, madam, had a great and surprizing conformity. I was the daughter of admiral Coligni, you of fecretary Walfingham; two perfons who were the most confummate statesmen and ablest supports of the Protestant religion, in France and in England. I was married to Teligni, the finest gentleman of our party, the most admired for his valour, his virtue, and his learning; you to Sir Philip Sidney, who enjoyed the fame pre-eminence among the English. Both these husbands were cut off, in the flower of their youth and of glory, by violent deaths: and we both married again with still greater men; I with William See Der Prince of Orange, the founder of the Dutch Maurier Memoires commonwealth; you with Devereux earl of de Hol-Effex, the favourite of Elizabeth and of the p. 177, to whole English nation. But, alas! to com-Biographia pleat, the resemblance of our fates, we both faw Britannica, those second husbands, who had raised us so Essex.

high,

high, destroyed in the full meridian of their glory and greatness; mine by the pistol of an affassin; yours still more unhappily, by the axe, as a traitor.

# C. OF CLANRICKARD.

There was indeed in some principal events of our lives the conformity you observe. But your destiny, though it raised you higher than me, was more unhappy than mine. For my father lived honourably, and died in peaces: yours was assassinated in his old age. How, madam, did you support or recover your spirits under so many missortunes?

## PRINCESS OF ORANGE.

The prince of Orange left an infant fon to my care. The educating of him to be worthy of so illustrious a father, to be the heir of his virtue as well as of his greatness, and the affairs of the commonwealth, in which I interested myself for his sake, so filled my mind, that they in some measure took from me the fense of my grief; which nothing but fuch a great and important scene of business, such a necessary talk of private and publick duty, could have ever relieved. But let me enquire in my turn; how did your heart find a balm, to alleviate the anguish of the wounds it had suffered? what employed your widowed hours after the death of your Essex?

# C. OF CLANRICKARD.

Madam, I did not long continue a widow:
I married again.

PRINCESS OF ORANGE.

Married again! With what prince, what king, did you marry? The widow of Sir Philip Sidney and of my lord Effex could not descend from them to a subject of less illustrious fame; and where could you find one that was comparable to either?

# C. OF CLANRICKARD,

I did not feek for one, madam: the heroism of the former, and the ambition of the latter, had made me very unhappy. I desired a quiet life and the joys of wedded love, with an agreeable, virtuous, well-born, unambitious, unenterprizing husband. All this I found in the earl of Clanrickard: and, believe me, madam, I enjoyed more solid felicity in Ireland with him, than I ever had possessed with my two former husbands, in the pride of their glory, when England and all Europe resounded with their praise.

PRINCESS OF ORANGE.

Can it be possible, that the daughter of Walsingham, and the wife of Sidney and Essex, should have sentiments so inserior to the minds from which she sprang, and to which she was matched! Believe me, madam, there was no hour of the many years I lived after the death of the prince of Orange, in which I would have exchanged the pride and joy I continually had, in hearing his praise, and seeing the monuments of his glory in the free commonwealth his wisdom had founded, for any other delights the world

world could give. The cares that I shared with alvim while he remained upon earth wore a happiness to my mind, because they, exalted its powers. The remembrance of them was dear to me after I had loft him. I thought, his great foul, though removed to a higher sphere, would look down mine with some tenderness of affection, as its fellow-labourer in the heroick and divine work of delivering and freeing his country. But to be divorced from that foul! to be no longer his wife! to be the confort of an inferior, inglorious husband! I would much rather have died a thousand deaths, than that my heart should one moment have conceived fuch a thought.

SVE C. OF CLANRICK ARD.

Your highness must not judge of all hearts by your own. The ruling passion of that was apparently ambition. My inclinations were not so noble as yours, but better fuited, perhaps, to the nature of woman. loved Sir Philip Sidney, I loved the earl of Effex, rather as amiable men than as heroes and statesmen. They were so taken up with their wars and state-affairs, that my tenderness for them was too often neglected. earl of Clanrickard was constantly wholly mine. He was brave; but had not that spirit of chivalry, with which Sir Philip Sidney was absolutely possessed. He had, in a high degree, the esteem of Elizabeth, but did not appire to her love; nor did he wish

to be the rival of Carr or of Villiers in the affection of James. Such, madam, was the man on whom my last choice bestowed my hand, and whose kindness compensated for all my misfortunes! Providence has affigned to different tempers different comforts. To you it gave the education of a prince, the government of a state, the pride of being called the wife of a hero; to me a good living husband, quiet, opulence, nobility, and a fair reputation, though not in a degree so exalted as yours. If our whole fex were to chuse between your confolations and mine, your highness, I think, would find very few of your tafte. But I respect the sublimity of your ideas. Now that we have no bodies. they appear less unnatural than I should have thought them in the other world.

PRINCESS OF ORANGE.

Adieu, madam; our souls are of a different order, and were not made to sympathize or converse with each other.

# DIALOGUE XVII.

MARCUS BRUTUS - POMPONIUS ATTICUS,

#### BRUTUS.

X/ELL, Atticus, I find that, notwithstanding your friendship for Cicero and for me, you furvived us both many years, with the same chearful spirit you had always possessed, and, by prudently wedding your daughter to Agrippa, secured the favour of Octavius Cæsar, and even contracted a close alliance with him by your grand-daughter's marriage with Tiberius Nero.

# ATTICUS.

You know, Brutus, my philosophy was the Epicurean. I loved my friends, and I ferved them in their wants and diffreffes with great generofity; but I did not think myself obliged to die when they died, or not to make others as occasions should offer.

# BRUTUS.

You did, I acknowledge, ferve your friends. as far as you could, without bringing yourself, on their account, into any great danger or disturbance of mind: but that you loved them, I much doubt. If you loved Cicero, how could you love Antony? if you loved me, how could you love Octavius? if you harages

loved Octavius, how could you avoid taking part against Antony in their last civil war? Affection cannot be so strangely divided, and with so much equality, among men of such opposite characters, and who were such irrecoholleable enemies to each other.

#### ATTICUS.

From my earliest youth I possessed the fingular talent of ingratiating myself with the heads of different parties, and yet not engaging with any of them to far as to disturb my own quiet. My family was connected with the Marian party; and, though Pretired to Athens, that I might not be unwillingly involved in the troubles which that turbulent faction had begun to excite, yet, when young Marius was declared an enemy by the fenate, I fent him a fum of money, to fupport him in his exile. Nor did this hinder me from my making my court to well to Sylla, upon his coming to Athens, that I obtained from him the highest marks of his favour. Nevertheless, when he pressed me vide to go with him to Rome, I declined it, Cornel. Nepot. in being as unwilling to fight for him against Vita Atthe Marian party, as for them against him. vici, He admired my conduct; and, at his departure from Athens, ordered all the presents made to him during his abode in that city to be carried to me. I remind you of this, only to the that moderation in all contentions of this kind had been always my principle; and that in the instances you men-Vot. II. tioned

tioned I did not act from any levity or inconstancy in my nature, but from a regular consistent plan of conduct, which my reason convinced me was the wifest I could follow.

#### BRUTUS.

I remember indeed that you observed the fame neutrality between Pompey and Julius Cæsar.

# ATTICUS.

I did so—and, that I might be able to do it with dignity, and without the reproach of ingratitude, I never would accept any office or honour from either of those great men; nor from Cicero, though my sister had married his brother; nor from you, Marcus Brutus, whose friendship I thought the greatest honour of my life.

### BRUTUS.

Are there no obligations to a good heart. Pomponius, but honours and offices? or could you, by refusing to encumber your-felf with these, dislove all other ties? But, fetting aside any considerations of private affection or esteem, how were you able to reconcile your conduct with that which is the ruling principle in the heart of every virtuous man, and more especially a virtuous Roman, the love of the publick?

## ATTICUS.

The times I lived in were so bad, and the conflict of parties had so little to do in reality with the love of the publick, that I thought my virtue much safer and purer by avoiding, than mixing in the fray.

BRU-

## BRUTUS.

Poffibly, in the diffeute between Marius and Sylla, and even in that between Pompey and Cæfar, a virtuous man might fee fo much to blame on both fides, and fo much to fear, whichever faction should overcome the other, as to be justified in not engaging with either. But let me fay, without vanity, in the war which I waged against Antony and Octavius, you could have nothing to blame: for I know you approved the principle upon which I killed Julius Cæsar. Nor would you have had any thing to for if our arms had fucreeded; for you knew that my intentions were upright and pure; nor was it doubtful that Cassius was as much determined as I to restore the Republick. How could you then, with any fense of virtue in your heart, maintain an indifference and neutility between the deliverers and the tyrants of your country?

# ATTICUS.

My answer to this will necessarily require explanations, which my respect to the manes of Brutus makes me wish to avoid.

## BRUTUS.

In the other world, I loved truth, and was desirous that all might speak it with freedom: but here, even the tender ears of a tyrant are compelled to endure it. If I committed any faults, or erred in my judgement, the calamities I have suffered are a punishment for it.

O 2 Tell

Tell me then truly, and without fear of offending, what you think were my failings. ATTICUS.

You faid that the principle upon which you killed Julius Cæsar had my approbation. This I do not deny: -but did I ever declare, or give you reason to believe, that I thought it a prudent or well-timed act? I had quite other thoughts. Nothing ever feemed to me worse judged or worse timed: and these, Brutus, were my reasons. Cæsar was just fetting out to make war on the Parthians. This was an enterprize of no little difficulty, and no little danger. But this unbounded amb tion, and that reftless spirit, which never would fuffer him to take any repose, did not intend to stop there. You know very well (for he hid nothing from you) the he had formed a vast plan, of marching, after he had conquered the whole Parthian empire, along the coast of the Cafpian fea and the fides of Mount, Caucasus, into Scythia, in order to subdue all the countries that border on Germany, and Germany itself; whence he proposed to return to Rome by Gaul. Confider now, I befeech you, how much time the execution of this project required. In some of his battles with fo many fierce and warlike nations, the bravest of all the Barbarians, he might have been flain: but, if he had not, difeate, or age itself, might have ended his life, before he could have compleated fuch an immense undertaking.

tarch. in Vita Jul. dertaking. He was, when you killed him, in his fifty-fixth year, and of an infirm coultitution. Except his bastard by Cleopatra, he had no fon: nor was his power fo absolute, or so quietly settled, that he could have a thought of bequeathing the empire, like a private inheritance, to his fister's grandson, Octavius. While he was absent, there was no reason to fear any violence, or mal-administration, in Italy, or in Rome. Cicero would have had the chief authority in the fenate. The prætorship of the city had been conferred upon you by the favour of Cæsar: and your known credit with him, added to the high reputation of your virtues and abilities, gave you a weight in all business, which none of his party left behind him in Italy would have been able to oppose. What a fair prospect was here of good order, peace, and liberty, at home; while abroad the Roman name would have been rendered more glorious, the diffrace of Crassus revenged, and the empire extended beyond the utmost ambition of our forefathers, by the greatest general that ever led the armies of Rome, or, perhaps, of any other nation! What did it fignify, whether, in Asia and among the Barbarians, that general bore the name of king. or dictator? Nothing could be more puerile in you and your friends, than to start so much at the proposition of his taking that name in Italy itself, when you had suffered him to enjoy all the power of royalty, and much more

more than any king of Rome had possessed, from Romulus down to Tarquin.

BRUTUS.

We considered that name as the last insult offered to our liberty and our laws. It was an ensign of tyranny, hung out with a vain and arrogant purpose of rendering the servitude of Rome more apparent. We therefore determined to punish the tyrant, and restore our country to freedom.

ATTICUS.

You punished the tyrant; but you did not restore your country to freedom. By sparing Antony, against the opinion of Cassius, you fuffered the tyrauny to remain. conful, and, from the moment that Cæsar was dead, the chief power of the state was in his hands. The foldiers adored him. for his liberality, valour, and military frankness. His eloquence was more perfualive from appearing unstudied. The nobility of his house, which descended from Hercules, would naturally inflame his heart with ambition. The whole course of his life had evidently shewn, that his thoughts were high and aspiring, and that he had little respect for the liberty of his country. He had been the fecond man in Cæsar's party: by saving him, you gave a new head to that party, which could no longer subsist without your ruin. Many, who would have wished the restoration of liberty if Cæsar had died a natural death, were to incenfed at his murder, that, meerly

for the fake of punishing that, they were willing to confer all power upon Antony, and make him absolute master of the republick. This was particularly true with respect to the veterans who had ferved under Cæsar: and he saw it so plainly, that he presently availed himself of their dispositions. You and Cassius were obliged to fly out of Italy; and Cicero, who was unwilling to take the fame part, could find no expedient to fave himself and the senate, but the wretched one of supporting and raising very high another Cæfar, the adopted fon and heir of him you had flain, to oppose Antony, and to divide the Cæsarean party. But, even while he did this, he perpetually offended that party, and made them his enemies, by harangues in the fenate, which breathed the very spirit of the old Pompeian faction, and made him appear to Octavius and all the friends of the dead dictator no less guilty of his death, than those who had killed him. What could this end in but, that which you and your friends had most to fear, a re-union of the whole Cæsarean party, and of their principal leaders, however discordant the one with the other, to destroy the Pompeians? For my own part, I forefaw it long before the event, and therefore kept myself wholly clear of those proceedings.—You think I ought to have joined you and Cassius at Philippi, because I knew your good intentions, and that, if you should fucceed, you defigned to restore the commonwealth.

wealth. I am persuaded you did both agree in that point; but you differed in so many others, there was fuch a diffimilitude in your tempers and characters, that the union between you could not have lasted long; and your diffention would have had most fatal effects, with regard both to the fettlement and to the administration of the republick. Beside, the whole mass of it was in such a fermentation, and fo corrupted, that I am convinced new diforders would foon have arisen. If you had applied gentle remedies, to which your nature inclined, those remedies would have failed; if Cassius had induced you to act with feverity, your government would have been stigmatized with the name of a tyranny more detestable than that against which you conspired; and Cæsar's clemency would have been the perpetual topick of every factious oration to the people, and of every feditious discourse to the soldiers. Thus you would have foon been plunged in the miseries of another civil war; or perhaps asfaffinated in the fenate, as Julius was by you. Nothing could give the Roman empire lasting tranquillity, but such a prudent plan of a mitigated imperial power, as was afterward formed by Octavius, when he had ably and happily delivered himself from all oppofition and partnership in the government. Those quiet times I lived to see; and I must fay, they were the best I ever had scen, far better than those under the turbulent aristocracy cracy for which you contended. And let me boaft a little of my own prudence, which, through so many storms, could steer me safe into that port. Had it only given me fafety, without reputation, I should not think that I ought to value myself upon it. But in all these revolutions my honour remained as unimpaired as my fortune. I fo conducted myfelf, that I lost no esteem in being Antony's friend, after having been Cicero's; or in my alliance with Agrippa and Augustus Cæsar, after my friendship with you. Nor did either Cæsar or Antony blame my inaction in the quarrels between them; but, on the contrary, they both feemed to respect me the more for the neutrality I observed. My obligations to the one, and alliance with the other, made it improper for me to act against either: and my constant tenour of life had procured me an exemption from all civil wars by a kind of prescription.

## BRUTUS.

If man were born to no higher purpose than to wear out a long life in ease and prosperity, with the general esteem of the world, your wisdom was evidently as much superior to mine, as my life was shorter and more unhappy than yours. Nay, I verily believe, it exceeded the prudence of any other man that ever existed, considering in what difficult circumstances you were placed, and with how many violent shocks and sudden changes of fortune you were obliged to contend. But

here themost virtuous and publick-spirited conduct is found to have been the most prudent. The motives of our actions, not the fuccess, give us here renown. And, could I return to that life whence I am escaped. I would not change my character to imitate yours: I would again be Brutus, than Atticus. Even without the sweet hope of an eternal reward in a more perfect state, which is the strongest and most immoveable support to the good under every misfortune, I swear by the gods, I would not give up the noble feelings of my heart, that elevation of mind which accompanies active and fuffering virtue, for your feventy-feven years of constant tranquillity, with all the praise you obtained from the learned men whom you patronized, or the great men whom you courted.

# DIALOGUE XVIII.

WILLIAM THE THIRD, King of Fingland— JOHN DE WITT, Pensionary of Holland.

## WILLIAM.

THOUGH I had no cause to love you, yet, believe me, I sincerely lament your fate. Who could have thought that De Witt, the most popular minister that ever served a commonwealth, should fall a facrifice to popular fury! Such admirable talents, fuch virtues, as you were endowed with, fo clear, fo cool, fo comprehensive a head, a heart so untainted with any kind of vice, despising money, despising pleasure, despising the vain oftentation of greatness, such application to business, such ability in it, such courage, fuch firmness, and so perfect a knowledge of the nation you governed, seemed to assure you of a fixed and stable support in the publick affection. But nothing can be durable, that depends on the passions of the people.

DE WITT.

It is very generous in your majesty, not only to compassionate the fate of a man, whose political principles made him an enemy to your greatness, but ascribe it to the caprice and inconstancy of the people; as if there had been nothing very blameable in his conduct.

dust. I feel the magnanimity of this difcourse from your majesty, and it confirms what I have heard of all your behaviour after my death. But I must frankly confess, that although the rage of the populace was carried much too far, when they tore me and my unfortunate brother to pieces, yet I certainly had deserved to lose their affection, by relying too much on the uncertain and dangerous friendship of France, and by weakening the military strength of the state, to serve httle purposes of my own power, and fecure to myfelf the interested affection of the burgomasters, or others, who had credit and weight in the faction, the favour of which I courted. This had almost subjected my country to France, if you, great prince, had not been let at the head of the falling republick, and had not exerted fuch extraordinary virtues and abilities, to raife and support it, as surpassed even the heroism and prudence of William our first stadtholder, and equalled you to the most illustrious patriots of Greece or Rome.

# WILLIAM.

This praise from your mouth is glorious to me indeed! What can so much exalt the character of a prince, as to have his actions approved by a zealous republican, and the enemy of his house?

# DE WITT.

If I did not approve them, I should shew myfelf the enemy of the republick. You

never fought to tyrannize over it; you loved. you defended, you preserved its freedom. Thebes was not more indebted to Epaminondas or Pelopidas, for its independence and glory, than the United Provinces were to you. How wonderful was it to fee a youth, who had fcarcely attained to the twenty-fecond year of his age, whose spirit had been depressed and kept down by a jealous and hostile faction, rising at once to the conduct of a most arduous and perilous war, stopping an enemy victorious, triumphant, who had penetrated into the heart of his country; driving him back, and recovering from him all he had conquered: to fee this done with an army, in which, a little before, there was neither discipline, courage, nor fense of honour? Ancient history has no exploit superior to it; and it will ennoble the modern, whenever a Livy or a Plutarch shall arise, to do justice to it, and set the hero who performed it in a true light.

# WILLIAM.

Say, rather, when time shall have worn out that malignity and rancour of party, which, in free states, is so apt to oppose itself to the sentiments of gratitude and esteem for their servants and benefactors.

# DE WITT.

How manganimous was your reply, how much in the spirit of true ancient virtue, when being asked, in the greatest extremity of our danger,

year 1672

See Tem-danger, "How you intended to live after Hol-ple's Memoirs, "land should be lost?" you said, "You would from the "live on the lands you had left in Germany; and to 1679, p. 46 would rather pass your life in hunting there, 259. 320, 4 than fell your country or liberty to France at " any rate!" How nobly did you think, when, being offered your patrimonial lordships and lands in the county of Burgundy, or the full value of them, from France, by the mediation of England, in the treaty of peace, your answer was, " That, to gain one good town more for the Spaniards in Flanders, you " would be content to lose them all!" wonder, after this, that you are able to combine all Europe in a league against the power of France; that you were the centre of union, and the directing foul of that wife, that generous confederacy, formed by your labours; that you could steadily support and keep it together, in spite of repeated misfortunes; that even after defeats you were as formidable to Louis, as other generals after victories; and that in the end you became the deliverer of Europe, as you had before been of Holland.

# WILLIAM.

I had in truth no other object, no other paffion at heart, throughout my whole life, than to maintain the independence and freedom of Europe, against the ambition of France. was this defire which formed the whole plan of my policy, which animated all my counfels, both as prince of Orange and king of England.

DE WITT.

This defire was the most noble (I speak it with shame) that could warm the heart of a prince, whose ancestors had opposed, and in a great measure destroyed, the power of Spain, when that nation aspired to the monarchy of Europe. France, Sir, in your days, had an equal ambition and more strength to support her vast designs, than Spain under the government of Philip the Second. That ambition you restrained, that strength you refifted. I, alas! was feduced by her perfidious court, and by the necessity of affairs in that fystem of policy which I had adopted, to alk her assistance, to rely on her favour, and to make the commonwealth, whose counfels I directed, subservient to her greatness.— Permit me, Sir, to explain to you the motives of my conduct. If all the princes of Orange had acted like you, I should never have been the enemy of your house. But prince Maurice of Nassau desired to oppress the liberty of that state, which his virtuous father had freed at the expence of his life, and which he himself had defended, against the arms of the house of Austria, with the highest reputation of military abilities. Under a pretence of religion (the most execrable cover of a wicked defign), he put to death, as a criminal, that upright minister, Barnevelt, his father's best friend, because he refused to concur with him in treason against the state. He likewise imprisoned several other good men

men and lovers of their country, confiscated their estates, and ruined their families. Yet, after he had done these cruel acts of injustice, with a view to make himself sovereign of the Dutch commonwealth, he sound they had drawn such a general odium upon him, that, not daring to accomplish his iniquitous purpose, he stopped short of the tyranny to which he had sacrificed his honour and virtue: a disappointment so mortifying, and so painful to his mind, that it probably hastened his death.

#### WILLIAM.

Would to heaven he had died before the meeting of that infamous fynod of Dort, by which he not only dishonoured himself and his family, but the Protestant religion itself! Forgive this interruption—my grief forced me to it—I desire you to proceed.

# DE WITT.

The brother of Maurice, prince Henry, who succeeded to his dignities in the republick, acted with more moderation. But the son of that good prince, your majesty's father (I am sorry to speak what I know you hear with pain), resumed, in the pride and sire of his youth, the ambitious designs of his uncle. He sailed in his undertaking, and soon afterwards died; but lest in the hearts of the whole republican party an incurable jealousy and dread of his samily. Full of these prejudices, and zealous for liberty, I thought it my duty, as pensionary of Holland, to pre-

vent for ever, if I could, your restoration to the power your ancestors had enjoyed; which I sincerely believed would be inconsistent with the safety and freedom of my country.

## WILLIAM.

Let me stop you a moment here.—When my great-grandfather formed the plan of the Dutch commonwealth, he made the power of a fladtholder one of the principal fprings: in his system of government. How could you imagine that it would ever go well: when deprived of this spring, so necessary to adjust and balance its motions? A constitution originally formed with no mixture of regal power may long be maintained in all its vigour and energy without fuch a power; but, if any degree of monarchy were mixed. from the beginning in the principles of it, the forcing that out must necessarily disorder and weaken the whole frabrick. was particularly the case in our republick. The negative voice of every small town in the provincial states, the tedious slowness of our forms and deliberations, the facility with which foreign ministers may seduce or purchase the opinions of so many persons as: have a right to concur in all our resolutions,: make it impossible for the government, even in the quietest times, to be well carried on, without the authority and influence of a stadt. holder, which are the only remedy our constitution has provided for those evils.

#### DE WITT.

I acknowledge they are.—But I and my party thought no evil fo great as that remedy; and therefore we fought for other more pleasing resources. One of these, upon which we most considently depended, was the friendishp of France. I flattered myfelf that the interest of the French would fecure to me their favour; as your relation to the crown of England might naturally raise in them a jealoufy of your power. I hoped they would encourage the trade and commerce of the Dutch, in opposition to the English, the ancient enemies of their crown, and let us enjoy all the benefits of a perpetual peace, unless we made war upon England, or England upon us; in either of which cases, it was reasonable to presume, we should have their affiftance. The French minister at the Hague, who ferved his court but too well, fo confirmed me in these notions, that I had no apprehensions of the mine which was forming under my feet.

# WILLIAM.

You found your authority strengthened by a plan so agreeable to your party; and this contributed more to deceive your sagacity than all the art of D'Estrades.

## DE WITT.

My policy feemed to me entirely fuitable to the lasting fecurity of my own power, of the liberty of my country, and of its maritime greatness. For I made it my care to keep up

a very powerful navy, well commanded and officered, for the defence of all these against the English; but, as I feared nothing from France, or any power on the continent, I neglected the army; or rather I destroyed it, by enervating all its firength, by difbanding old troops and veteran officers, attached to the house of Orange, and putting in their place a trading militia, commanded by officers who had neither experience nor courage, and who owed their promotions to no other merit than their relation to, or interest with, some leading men in the feveral oligarchies of which the government in all the Dutch towns is composed. Nevertheless, on the invation of Flanders by the French, I was forced to depart from my close connexion with France, and to concur with England and Sweden in the triple alliance, which Sir William Temple proposed in order to check her ambition: but, as I entered into that measure from necessity, not from choice, I did not pursue it. I neglected to improve our union with England, or to fecure that with Sweden; I avoided any conjunction of counsels with Spain; I formed no alliance with the Emperor or the Germans; I corrupted our army more and more; till a fudden unnatural confederacy, struck up, against all the maxims of policy, by the court of England with France, for the conquest of the Seven Provinces, brought these at once to the very brink of destruction, and made

me a victim to the fury of a 'populace too justly provoked.

## WILLIAM.

I must say, that your plan was in reality nothing more than to procure for the Dutch a licence to trade, under the good pleasure and gracious protection of France. But any state that fo entirely depends on another is only a province; and its liberty is a fervitude, graced with a fweet but empty name. You should have reflected, that to a monarch so ambitious and so vain as Louis le Grand, the idea of a conquest which seemed almost certain, and the defire of humbling a haughty republick, were temptations irrefiftible. His bigotry likewise would concur in recommending to him an enterprize, which he might think would put herefy under his feet. And if you knew either the character of Charles the Second, or the principles of his government, you ought not to have supposed his union with France for the ruin of Holland an impossible, or even improbable event. is hardly excusable in a statesman to be greatly furprized that the inclinations of princes should prevail upon them to act, in many particulars, without any regard to the po-litical maxims and interest of their kingdoms.

## DE WITT.

Temple's I am ashamed of my error; but the chief Memoirs, from 1672 cause of it was, that though I thought very to 1679, ill, I did not think quite so ill of Charles the P. 259.

Second

Second and his ministry as they deserved. I imagined too that his parliament would restrain him from engaging in such a war; or compel him to engage in our defence, if France should attack us. These, I acknowledge, are excuses, not justifications. When the French marched into Holland, and found it in a condition so unable to resist them, my fame as a minister irrecoverably sunk. not to appear a traitor, I was obliged to confess myself a dupe. But what praise is sufficient for the wisdom and virtue you shewed, in so firmly rejecting the offers, which I have been informed were made to you, both by England and France, when first you appeared in arms at the head of your country, to give you the sovereignty of the Seven Provinces, by the affiftance, and under the protection, of the two crowns! Believe me, great prince, had I been living in those times, and had known the generous answers you made to those offers, which were repeated more than once during the course of the war; not the most ancient and devoted servant to your family would have been more your friend than I. But who could reasonably hope for fuch moderation, and fuch a right fense of glory, in the mind of a young man, descended from kings, whose mother was daughter to Charles the First, and whose father had left him the feducing example of a very different conduct? Happy indeed was the English nation, to have such a prince so nearly allied

 $R_3$ 

whom they might call to be their deliverer, when bigotry and despotism, the two greatest enemies to human society, had almost overethrown their whole constitution in church and state!

#### WILLIAM.

They might have been happy; but were not.—As foon as I had accomplished their deliverance for them, many of them became my most implacable enemies, and even wished to restore the unforgiving prince whom they had so unanimously and so justly expelled from his kingdom.—Such levity feems incredible. I could not myfelf have imagined it possible, in a nation famed for good sense, if I had not had proofs of it beyond contradiction. feemed as much to forget what they called me over for, as that they had called me over. The fecurity of their religion, the maintenance of their liberty, was no longer their care. was to yield to the incomprehensible doctrine of right divine and passive obedience. Thus the Tories grew Jacobites, after having renounced both that doctrine and James, by their opposition to him, by their invitation of me, and by every act of the parliament which gave me the crown.—But the most troublefome of my enemies were a fett of Republicans, who violently opposed all my meafures, and joined with the Jacobites in difturbing my government, only because it was not a commonwealth.

#### DE WITT.

They who were republicans under your government in the kingdom of England did not love liberty; but aspired to dominion, and wished to throw the nation into a total confusion, that it might give them a chance of working out from that anarchy a better state for themselves.

### WILLIAM.

Your observation is just. A proud man thinks himself a lover of liberty; when he is only impatient of a power in government above his own, and, were he a king, or the first minister of a king, would be a tyrant. Nevertheless I will own to you, with the candour which becomes a virtuous prince, that there were in England fome Whigs, and even fome of the most sober and moderate Tories, who, with very honest intentions, and fometimes with good judgements, proposed new securities to the liberty of the nation, against the prerogative or influence of the crown, and the corruption of ministers in future times. To some of these I gave way, being convinced they were right; but others I retifted, for fear of weakening too much the royal authority, and breaking that balance in which confifts the perfection of a mixed form of government. I should not, perhaps, have refifted so many, if I had not seen in the house of commons a disposition to rise in their demands on the crown, had they found it more yielding. The difficulties of my government, R 4

government, upon the whole, were so great, that I once had determined, from mere disgust and resentment, to give back to the nation, assembled in parliament, the crown they had placed on my head, and retire to Holland, where I found more affection and gratitude in the people. But I was stopped by the earnest supplications of my friends, and by an unwillingness to undo the great work I had done: especially as I knew that, if England should return into the hands of king James, it would be impossible, in that criss, to preserve the rest of Europe from the dominion of France.

### DE WITT.

Heaven be praised that your majesty did not persevere in so fatal a resolution! The United Provinces would have been ruined by it together with England. But I cannot enough express my astonishment, that you should have met with such treatment as could suggest such a thought! The English must surely be a people incapable either of liberty or subjection!

# WILLIAM.

There were, I must acknowledge, some faults in my temper, and some in my government, which are an excuse for my subjects with regard to the uneasiness and disquiet they gave me. My taciturnity, which suited the genius of the Dutch, offended theirs. They love an affable prince: it was chiefly his affability that made them so fend of Charles

Charles the Second. Their frankness and good-humour could not brook the referve and coldness of my nature. Then the excess of my favour to some of the Dutch, whom I had brought over with me, excited a national jealoufy in the English, and hurt their pride. My government also appeared, at last, too unsteady, too fluctuating between the Whigs and the Tories; which almost deprived me of the confidence and affection of both parties. I trusted too much to the integrity and the purity of my intentions, without using those arts that are necessary to allay the ferment of factions, and allure men to their duty by foothing their passions. Upon the whole, I am sensible that I better understood how to govern the Dutch than the English or the Scotch; and should probably have been thought a greater man, if I had not been king of Great Britain.

# DE WITT.

It is a shame to the English, that gratitude and affection for such merit as yours were not able to overcome any little disgusts arising from your temper, and enthrone their deliverer in the hearts of his people. But will your majesty give me leave to ask you one question? Is it true, as I have heard, that many of them disliked your alliances on the continent, and spoke of your war with France as a Dutch measure, in which you sacrificed England to Holland?

## WILLIAM.

The cry of the nation at first was strong for the war: but before the end of it the Tories began publickly to talk the language you mention. And no wonder they did; for, as they then had a defire to fet up again the maxims of government which had prevailed in the reign of their beloved Charles the Second, they could not but represent opposition to France, and vigorous measures taken to restrain her ambition, as unnecessary for England: because they well knew that the counsels of that king had been utterly averse from such measures; that his whole policy made him a friend to France; that he was governed by a French miltress, and even bribed by French money, to give that court his affiftance, or at least his acquiescence, in all their defigns.

## DE WITT.

A king of England, whose cabinet is governed by France, and who becomes a vile pensioner to a French king, degrades himself from his royalty, and ought to be considered as an enemy to the nation. Indeed the whole policy of Charles the Second, when he was not forced off from his natural bias by the necessity he lay under of soothing his parliament, was a constant, designed, systematical opposition to the interest of his people. His brother, though more sensible to the honour of England, was, by his Popery and desire of arbitrary power, constrained to lean upon France,

France, and do nothing to obstruct her defigns on the continent, or lessen her greatness. It was therefore necessary to place the British crown on your head, not only with a view to preserve the religious and civil rights of the people from internal oppressions, but to rescue the whole state from that servile dependance on its natural enemy, which must unquestionably have ended in its destruction. folly was it to revile your measures abroad, as facrificing the interest of your British dominions to connexions with the continent. and principally with Holland! Had Great Britain no interest to hinder the French from being masters of all the Austrian Netherlands, and forcing the Seven United Provinces, her strongest barrier on the continent against the\* power of that nation, to submit with the rest to their yoke? would her trade, would her coasts, would her capital itself, have been fafe, after so mighty an encrease of shipping and failors as France would have gained by those conquests? and what could have prevented them, but the war which you waged, and the alliances which you formed? could the Dutch and the Germans, unaided by Great Britain, have attempted to make head against a power, which, even with her affistance, strong and spirited as it was, they could hardly refift? and after the check which had been given to the encroachments of France, by the efforts of the first grand alliance, did not a new and greater danger make

make it necessary to recur to another such league? was not the union of France and Spain under one monarch, or even under one family, the most alarming contingency that ever had threatened the liberty of Europe?

## WILLIAM.

I thought fo; and I am fure I did not err in my judgement. But folly is blind; and faction wilfully shuts her eyes against the most evident truths that cross her designs; as she believes any lies, however palpable and absurd, that she thinks will assist them.

# DE WITT.

The only objection which feems to have any real weight against your system of policy, with regard to the maintenance of a balance of power in Europe, is the enormous expence that must necessarily attend it; an expence which, I am afraid, neither England nor Holland will be able to bear without extreme inconvenience.

## WILLIAM.

I will answer that objection, by asking a question. If, when you was pensionary of Holland, intelligence had been brought, that the dykes were ready to break, and the sea was coming in, to overwhelm and to drown us; what would you have said to one of the deputies, who, when you were proposing the proper repairs to stop the inundation, should have objected to the charge, as too heavy on the province? This was the case in a political sense with both England and Holland. The sences

raised to keep out superstition and tyranny were all giving way: those dreadful evils were threatening, with their whole accumualated force, to break in upon us, and overawhelm our ecclesiastical and civil constitution. In such circumstances, to object to a necessary expence, is folly and madness.

## DE WITT.

It is certain, Sir, that the utmost abilities of a nation can never be fo well employed, as in the unwearied, pertinacious defence of their religion and freedom. When these are loft, there remains nothing that is worth the concern of a good or wife man. Nor do I think it confiftent with the prudence of government, not to guard against future dangers, as well as present; which precaution must be often in some degree expensive. I acknowledge too, that the resources of a commercial country, which supports its trade even in war by invincible fleets, and takes care not to hurt it in the methods of imposing or collecting its taxes, are immense, and inconceivable till the trial be made; especially where the government, which demands the fupplies, is agreeable to the people. But yet an unlimited and continued expense will in the end be destructive. What matters it whether a state be mortally wounded by the hand of a foreign enemy, or die by a confumption of its own vital strength? Such a consumption will come upon Holland fooner than upon England, because the latter has a greater radical

radical force: but, great as it is, that force at last will be so diminished and exhausted by perpetual drains, that it may fail all at once; and those efforts which may seem most furprizingly vigorous will be in reality the convullions of death. I don't apply this to your majesty's government; but I speak with a view to what may happen hereafter from the extensive ideas of negotiation and war which you have established. They have been falutary to your kingdom; but they will, I fear, be pernicious in future times, if, in pursuing great plans, great ministers do not act with a fobriety, prudence, and attention to frugality, which very feldom are joined with an extraordinary vigour and boldness of counfels.

# 255 ]

# DIALOGUE XIX.

# M. APICIUS-DARTENEUF.

### DARTENEUF.

A LAS, poor Apicius!—I pity thee from my heart, for not having lived in my age and in my country. How many good dishes, unknown at Rome in thy days, have I feasted upon in England!

## APICIUS.

Keep your pity for yourself.—How many good dishes have I feasted upon in Rome, which England does not produce; or of which the knowledge has been lost, with other treasures of antiquity, in these degenerate days! The fat paps of a sow, the livers of scari, the brains of phænicopters, and the tripotanum, which consisted of three excellent sorts of sish, for which you English have no names, the lupus marinus, the myxo, and the muræna.

### DARTENEUF.

I thought the murana had been our Iamprey. We have delicate ones in the Severn!

## A PICIUS.

No:—the muræna, so respected by the ancient Roman senators, was a salt-water fish, and kept by our nobles in ponds into which the sea was admitted.

# DARTENEUF.

Why then I dare fay our Severn lampreys are better. Did you ever eat any of them stewed or potted?

## APICIUS.

I was never in Britain. Your country then was too barbarous for me to go thither. I should have been afraid that the Britons would eat me.

### DARTENEUF

See St. Evremond's Letters.

I am forry for you, very forry: for, if you never were in Britain, you never eat the best oysters.

### APICIUS.

Pardon me, Sir; your Sandwich oysters Sec Juvenal and Pliny. Arbuthnot were brought to Rome in my time. on Ancient

Coins, c. 5. part ii.

DARTENEUF.

They could not be fresh: they were good for nothing there.-You should have come to Sandwich to eat them. It is a shame for you that you did not.—An epicure talk of danger when he is in fearch of a dainty! Did not Leander swim over the Hellespont in a tempest, to get to his mistress? and what is a wench to a barrel of exquisite oysters?

# APICIUS.

See Athe-Nay—I am fure you can't blame me for næus, and in any want of alertness in seeking fine fishes. his Notes I failed to the coast of Africk, from Minticle Api-turnæ in Campania, only to tafte of one species, which I heard was larger there than it was on our coast; and finding that I had received received a false information, I returned immediately, without even deigning to land.

## DARTENEUF.

There was some sense in that: but why did you not also make a voyage to Sandwich; Had you once tasted those oysters in their highest persection, you would never have come back: you would have eat till you burst.

### APICIUS.

I wish I had:—It would have been better See Senec. than poisoning myself, as I did at Rome, ad Helbecause I found, upon the balance of my viam, Martial. Epigacounts, I had only the pitiful sum of four-22.1 iii. score thousand pounds left, which would not Bayle, afford me a table to keep me from starving.

### DARTENEUF.

A fum of fourfcore thousand pounds not keep you from starving! Would I had had it! I should have been twenty years in spending it, with the best table in London.

## APICIUS.

Alas, poor man! this shews that you See Arbuthnot, English have no idea of the luxury that p. 116. reigned in our tables. Before I died, I had spent in my kitchen 807, 291 1.13 s. 4 d.

# DARTENEUF.

I don't believe a word of it; there is certainly an error in the account.

## APICIUS.

Why, the establishment of Lucullus for his suppers in the Apollo, I mean for every supper he sat down to in the room which he Vol. II.

Arbuthnot, called by that name, was 5000 drachms, which is in your money 1614 l. 11 s. 8 d.

DARTENEUF.

Would I had supped with him there! But are you sure there is no blunder in these calculations?

## APICIUS.

Ask your learned men that;—I reckon as they tell me.—But you may think that these feasts were made only by great men, by triumphant generals, like Lucullus, who had plundered all Asia, to help him in his house-keeping. What will you say, when I tell you that the player Æsopus had one dish that cost him six thousand sestertia, that is, four thousand eight hundred and forty-three pounds, ten shillings, English?

# DARTENEUF.

What will I fay? why, that I pity my worthy friend Mr. Cibber; and that, if I had known this when alive, I should have hanged myself for vexation that I did not live in those days.

# APICIUS.

Well you might, well you might.—You don't know what eating is. You never could know it. Nothing less than the wealth of the Roman empire is sufficient to enable a man of taste to keep a good table. Our players were infinitely richer than your princes.

DARTE-

Ibidem. Plin. l.

## DARTENEUF.

Oh, that I had but lived in the bleffed reign of Caligula, or of Vitellius, or of Heliogabalus, and had been admitted to the honour of dining with their flaves!

### APICIUS.

Ay, there you touch me.—I am miserable See Bayles that I died before their good times. They Arhenaus, carried the glories of their table much fur-1. i. p. 7. ther than the best eaters of the age in which Arbuth-I lived. Vitellius spent in feasting, within not, c. 5. the compass of one year, what would amount in your money to above seven millions two hundred thousand pounds. He told me so himself, in a conversation I had with him not long ago. And the two others you mentioned did not fall very short of his royal magnificence.

# DARTENEUF.

These indeed were great princes. But what most affects me is the luxury of that upstart fellow Æsopus. Pray, of what ingredients might the dish, he paid so much for, consist?

# APICIUS.

Chiefly of finging-birds. It was that which Arbuthfo greatly enhanced the price.

p. 123.

# DARTENEUF.

Of finging-birds! choak him.—I never eat but one, which I stole out of its cage from a lady of my acquaintance; and all London was in an uproar, as if I had stolen

and toasted an only child. But, upon recollection, I doubt whether I have really for much cause to envy Æsopus. For the finging-bird which I eat was not fo good as a wheatear or becafigue. And therefore I suspect, that all the luxury you have bragged of was nothing but vanity. It was like the foolish extravagance of the fon of Æsopus, who diffolved pearls in vinegar, and drank them at fupper. I will stake my credit, that a haunch of good buck venifon and my Hor. Sat. I. favourite ham pye were much better dishes than any at the table of Vitellius himself. It does not appear that you ancients ever had any good foups, without which a man of tafte cannot possibly dine. The rabbits in Italy are detestable: but what is better than the wing of one of our English wild rabbits? I have been told you had no turkies. The mutton in Italy is ill-flavoured. And as to your boars roafted whole, they were only fit to be served up at a corporation feast, or election dinner. A fmall barbeeued hog is worth a hundred of them. And a good collar of Canterbury or Shrewsbury brawn is a much better diff.

Pope's

#### APICIUS.

See Atbutlinot, c. 5.

If you had fome meats that we wanted, yet our cookery must have been greatly superior to yours. Our cooks were so excellent. that they could give to hogs flesh the taste of all other meats.

### DARTENEUF.

I would never have endured their imitations. You might as eafily have imposed on a good connoisseur in painting the copy of a fine picture for the original. Our cooks, on the contrary, give to all other meats, and even to some kinds of fish, a rich flavour of bacon, without destroying that which makes the distinction of one from another. It does not appear to me that effence of hams was ever known to the ancients. We have a hundred ragouts, the composition of which furpasses all description. Had yours been as good, you could not have lain indolently lolling upon couches while you were eating. They would have made you fit up, and mind your business. Then you had a strange custom of having things read to you while you were at supper. This demonstrates that you were not fo well entertained as we are with our meat. When I was at table, I neither heard, nor faw, nor spoke; I only tasted. But the worst of all is, that, in the utmost perfection of your luxury, you had no wine to be named with claret, burgundy, champagne, old hock, or tokay. You boafted much of your Falernum: but I have tasted the Lacrymae Christi and other wines of that coast, not one of which would I have drunk above a glass or two of, if you would have given me the kingdom of Naples. I have read that you boiled your wines, and mixed

262

water with them, which is sufficient eyidence that in themselves they were not six to drink.

## APICIUS.

I am afraid you do really excel us in wines; not to mention your beer, your cyder, and your perry, of all which I have heard great fame from your countrymen; and their report has been confirmed by the testimony of their neighbours, who have travelled into England. Wonderful things have been also said to me of an English liquor called punch.

## DARTENEUF.

Ay—to have died without tasting that, is miserable indeed! There is rum punch, and arrack punch! It is difficult to say which is best. But Jupiter would have given his nectar for either of them, upon my word and honour,

# APICIUS.

The thought of them puts me into a fever with thirst.

## DARTENEUF.

These incomparable liquors are brought to us from the East and West Indies; of the first of which you knew little, and of the latter nothing. This alone is sufficient to determine the dispute. What a new world of good things for eating and drinking has Columbus opened to us! Think of that, and despair.

#### APICIUS.

I cannot indeed but exceedingly lament my ill fate, that America was not discovered before I was born. It tortures me, when I hear of chocolate, pine-apples, and a number of other fine fruits, or delicious meats, produced there, which I have never tasted.

### DARTENEUF.

The fingle advantage of having fugar, to fweeten every thing with, instead of honey, which you, for want of the other, were obliged to make use of, is inestimable.

## APICIUS.

I confess your superiority in that important article. But what grieves me most is, that I never eat a turtle. They tell me that it is absolutely the best of all foods!

# DARTENEUF.

Yes, I have heard the Americans fay so:—but I never eat any; for, in my time, they were not brought over to England.

# APICIUS.

Never eat any turtle? How couldst thou dare to accuse me of not going to Sandwich, to eat oysters; and didst not thyself take a trip to America to riot on turtles? But know, wretched man, I am credibly informed, that they are now as plentiful in England as sturgeons. There are turtle-boats that go regularly to London and Bristol from the

West Indies. I have just received this information from a fat alderman, who died in London last week, of a surfeit he got at a turtle-feast in that city.

### DARTENEUF.

What does he say? does he affirm to you that turtle is better than venison?

### APICIUS.

He fays, there was a haunch of the fattest venison untouched, while every mouth was employed on the turtle alone.

## DARTENE UF.

Alas! how imperfect is human felicity! I lived in an age when the noble science of eating was supposed to have been carried to its highest perfection in England and France. And yet a turtle-feast is a novelty to me! Would it be impossible, do you think, to obtain leave from Pluto of going back for one day to my own table at London, just to taste of that food? I would promise to kill myself by the quantity of it I would eat before the next morning.

# APICIUS.

You have forgot you have no body: that which you had has long been rotten; and you can never return to the earth with another, unless Pythagoras should send you thither to animate a hog. But comfort yourself, that, as you have eaten dainties which

which I never tasted, so the next age will eat some unknown to this. New discoveries will be made, and new delicacies brought from other parts of the world.—But see; who comes hither? I think it is Mercury.

# MERCURY.

Gentlemen, I must tell you, that I have stood near you invisible, and heard your discourse; a privilege, which, you know, we deities use as often as we please. Attend therefore to what I shall communicate to you, relating to the subject upon which you have been talking. I know two men, one of whom lived in antient, and the other in modern times, who had much more pleasure in eating than either of you through the whole course of your lives.

## APICIUS.

One of these happy epicures, I presume, was a Sybarite, and the other a French gentleman settled in the West Indies.

## MERCURY.

No: one was a Spartan foldier, and the other an English farmer.—I see you both look astonished. But what I tell you is truth. Labour and hunger gave a relish to the black broth of the former, and the salt beef of the latter, beyond what you ever found in the tripotanums or ham pyes, that vainly stimulated your forced and languid appetites, which

which perpetual indolence weakened, and conftant luxury overcharged.

## DARTENEUF.

This, Apicius, is more mortifying than not to have shared a turtle-feast!

### APICIUS.

I with, Mercury, you had taught me your art of cookery in my life-time: but it is a fad thing not to know what good living is till after one is dead.

# DIALOGUE XX.

ALEXANDER THE GREAT-CHARLES the Twelfth, King of Sweden.

#### ALEXANDER.

Y OUR majesty seems in great wrath! Who has offended you?

CHARLES.

The offence is to you as much as me. Here is a fellow admitted into Elyfium, who has affronted us both; an English poet, one Pope. Essay on He has called us two madmen!

Man, Ep. 220.

## ALEXANDER.

I have been unlucky in poets. No prince ever was fonder of the Muses than I, or has received from them a more ungrateful return! When I was alive, I declared that I envied Achilles, because he had a Homer to celebrate his exploits; and I most bountifully rewarded Chœrilus, a pretender to poetry, for writing verses on mine: but my liberality, instead of doing me honour, has fince drawn upon me the ridicule of Horace, a witty Roman poet; and Lucan, another verifier of the same nation, has loaded my memory with the harshest invectives.

# CHARLES.

I know nothing of these; but I know that see Porri in my time, a pert French satirist, one Boileau, towski's r made for free with your character, that I tore Voltaire' his Char. XI

his book for having abused my favourite hero. And now this saucy Englishman has libelled us both.—But I have a proposal to make to you, for the reparation of our honour. If you will join with me, we will turn all these infolent scribblers out of Elysium, and throw them down headlong to the bottom of Tartarus, in spite of Pluto and all his guards.

# ALEXANDER.

This is just fuch a scheme as that you formed at Bender, to maintain yourself there, with the aid of three hundred Swedes, against the whole force of the Ottoman empire. And I must say, that such follies gave the English poet too much cause to call you a madman.

## CHARLES.

If my heroism were madness, yours, I presume, was not wisdom!

#### ALEXANDER.

There was a vast difference between your conduct and mine. Let poets or declaimers say what they will, history shews, that I was not only the bravest soldier, but one of the ablest commanders the world has ever seen: whereas you, by imprudently leading your army into vast and barren deserts at the approach of the winter, exposed it to perish in its march for want of subsistence, lost your artillery, lost a great number of your soldiers, and were forced to sight with the Muscovites under such disadvantages as made it almost impossible for you to conquer.

CHARLES.

#### CHARLES.

I will not dispute your superiority as a general. It is not for me, a mere mortal, to contend with the fon of Jupiter Ammon!

## ALEXANDER.

I fuppose you think my pretending that Jupiter was my father as much entitles me to the name of a madman, as your extravagant behaviour at Bender does you. you greatly mistake. It was not my vanity, butmy policy, which fet up that pretention. When I proposed to undertake the conquest of Afia, it was necessary for me to appear to the people fomething more than a man. They had been used to the idea of demigod heroes. I therefore claimed an equal descent with Ofiris and Sefostris, with Bacchus and Hercules, the former conquerors of the East. The opinion of my divinity affished my arms, and fubdued all nations before me, from the Granicus to the Ganges. But, though L called myself the fon of fupiter, and kept up the veneration that name inspired, by a courage which feemed more than human. and by the fublime magnanimity of all my behaviour, I did not forget that I was the the son of Philip. I used the policy of my father, and the wife lesions of Aristotle, whom he had made my preceptor, in the conduct of all my great defigns. It was the fon of Philip who planted Greek colonies in Asia, as far as the Indies; who formed projects of trade more extensive than his empire itself; who 6

laid the foundations of them in the midst of his wars; who built Alexandria, to be the centre and staple of commerce between Europe, Aha, and Africk; who fent Nearchus to navigate the unknown Indian feas, and intended to have gone himself from those seas to the pillars of Hercules, that is, to have explored the passage round Africk, the discovery of which has fince been fo glorious to Vasco de Gama. It was the son of Philip; who, after of Alexan- fubduing the Persians, governed them with fuch lenity, fuch justice, and fuch wisdom, that they loved him even more than ever they had loved their natural kings; and who, by intermarriages, and all methods that could best establish a coalition between the conquerors and conquered, united them into one people. But what, Sir, did you do, to advance the trade of your subjects, to procure any benefit to those whom you had vanquished, or to convert any enemy into a friend?

# CHARLES.

When I might easily have made myself king of Poland; and was advised to do so, by count Piper, my favourite minister; I generously gave that kingdom to Stanislaus, as you had given a great part of your conquests in India to Porus, besides his own dominions, which you restored to him entire, after you had beaten his army and taken him captive.

#### ALEXANDER.

I gave him the government of those countries under me, and as my lieutenant; which was the best method of preserving my power in conquests where I could not leave garrifons fufficient to maintain them. The same policy was afterwards practifed by the Romans, who, of all conquerors, except me, were the greatest politicians. But neither was I, nor were they, so extravagant as to conquer only for others, or dethrone kings with no view but merely to have the pleasure in bestowing their crowns on some of their fubjects, without any advantage to ourselves. Nevertheless, I will own, that my expedition to India was an exploit of the fon of fupiter, not of the fon of Philip. I should have done better if I had staid to give more consistency to my Persian and Grecian empires, instead of attempting new conquests, and at such a distance, so soon. Yet even this war was of use, to hinder my troops from being corrupted by the effeminacy of Asia, and to keep up that univerfal awe of my name, which in those countries was the great support of my power.

# CHARLES.

In the unwearied activity with which I proceeded from one enterprize to another, I dare call myself your equal. Nay, I may pretend to a higher glory than you, because you only went on from victory to victory; but the greatest losses were not able to dimi-

# 272 DIALOGUES OF THE DEAD:

nish, my ardour, or stop the efforts of my;

MAT . ALEXANDER.

nanimity than you did in prosperity. How unworthy of a prince who imitated me was your behaviour to the king your arms had vanquished! The compelling Augustus to write himself a letter of congratulation to one of his vassals, whom you had placed in his throne, was the very reverse of my treatment of Porus and Darius. It was an ungenerous insult upon his ill-fortune! It was the triumph of a little and a low mind! The visit you made him immediately after that insult was a farther contempt, offensive to him, and both useless and dangerous to yourself.

I feared no danger from it,—I knew he durst, not use the power I gave him to hurt me.

ALEXANDER.

If his refentment, in that instant, had prevailed over his fear, as it was likely to do,
you would have perished deservedly by your
insolence and presumption. For my part,
intrepid as I was in all dangers which I
thought it was necessary or proper for me to
meet. I never put myself one moment in the
power of an enemy whom I had offended.
But you had the rashness of folly as well as of
berois. A false opinion conceived of your
enemy's weakness proved at last your undoing.
When, in answer to some reasonable propositions

See Voltaire's Charles tions of peace sent to you by the Czar, you said, "You would tome and treat with him at see Vol"Moscow;" he replied very justly, "That cane's
"you affected to act like Alexander, but should XII.
"not find in him a Darius." And, doubtless, you ought to have been better acquainted with the character of that prince. Had Persia been governed by a Peter Alexanitz when I made war against it, I should have acted more cautiously, and not have counted so much on the superiority of my troops, in valour and discipline, over an army commanded by a king who was so capable of inast ucting them in all they wanted.

#### CHARLE'S.

The battle of Narva, won by eight thoufand Swedes against source thousand Muscovites, seemed to authorize my contempt of the nation and their prince.

## ALEXANDER.

It happened that their prince was not prefent in that battle. But he had not then had the time which was necessary to instruct his barbarous soldiers. You gave him that time; and he made so good a use of it, that you sound at Pultowa the Muscovites become a different nation. If you had sollowed the blow you gave them at Narva, and marched directly to Moscow, you might have destroyed their Hercules in his cradle. But you suffered him to grow, till his strength was mature; and then acted as if he had been still in his thildhead. CHARLES.

I must confess, you excelled me in conduct, in policy, and in true magnanimity. But my liberality was not inferior to yours; and neither you nor any mortal ever surpassed me in the enthusiasm of courage. I was also free from those vices which sullied your character. I never was drunk; I killed no friend in the riot of a feast; I fired no palace at the instigation of a harlot.

### ALEXANDER.

See Plutarch's Morals, and Xcnophon.

Sec Voltaire's Charles XII.

It may perhaps be admitted as some excuse for my drunkenness, that the Persians esteemed it an excellence in their kings to be able to drink a great quantity of wine, and the Macedonians were far from thinking it a difhonour. But you were as frantick and as cruel when fober, as I was when drunk. You were fober, when you refolved to continue in Turkey against the will of your host, the grand fignior. You were fober, when you commanded the unfortunate Patkull, whose only crime was his having maintained the liberties of his country, and who bore the facred character of an embaffador, to be broken alive on the wheel, against the laws. of nations, and those of humanity, more inviolable still to a generous mind. You were likewise sober, when you wrote to the senate. of Sweden, who, upon a report of your death, endeavoured to take some care of your kingdom, That you would fend them one of your boots, and from that they should receive their

brders, if they pretended to meddle in government: an infult intuch worse than any the Macedonians complained of from me, when I was most heated with wine and with adulation! As for my chastity, it was not so perfect as yours, though on some occasions I obtained great praise for my continence: but, perhaps, if you had been not quite so insensible to the charms of the fair sex, it would have mitigated and softened the sierceness, the pride, and the obstinacy, of your nature.

CHARLES.

It would have softened me into a woman. or, what I think still more contemptible, the flave of a woman. But you feem to infinuate, that you never were cruel or frantick unless when you were drunk. This I absolutely deny. You were not drunk, when See Plutarch's Life you crucificed Hephæltion's physician, for not of Alexcuring a man who killed himfelf by his in-ander, temperance in his fickness; nor when you facrificed to the manes of that favourite officer the whole nation of the Cusseans, men, women, and children, who were entirely innocent of his death; because you had read in Homer, thar Achilles had immolated fome Trojan captives on the tomb of Patroclus. I could mention other proofs that your passions inffamed you as much as wine: but there are sufficient.

BOLE TOO ALEXANDER.

Teamnor deny that my passions were sometimes so violent as to deprive me for a while T 2

# 276 DIALOGUES OF THE DEAD.

of the use of my reason; especially when the pride of fuch amazing successes, the servitude of the Perfians, and barbarian flattery, had intoxicated my mind. To bear, at my age, with continual moderation, fuch fortune as mine, was hardly in human nature. As for you, there was an excess and intemperance in your virtues, which turned them all into vices. And one virtue you wanted, which in a prince is very commendable, and benefit cial to the publick; I mean, the love of science and of the elegant arts. Under my care and patronage, they were carried in Greece to their atmost perfection. Aristotle, Apelles, and Lysippus, were among the glories of my reign: yours was illustrated only by battles.—Upon the whole, though, from foure refemblance between us, I should naturally be inclined to decide in your favour, yet I must give the priority in renown to your enemy, Peter Alexowitz. That great monarch raised his country; you ruined vourse He was a legislator; you were a tyrant.

# DIALOGUESXXI.

Cardinal XIMENES—Cardinal WOLSEY.

#### WOLSEY.

OU feem to look on me, Ximenes, with an air of superiority, as if I were not your equal. Have you forgotten that I was the favourite and first minister of a great king of England? that I was at once lord high chancellor, bithop of Durham, bishop of Winchester, archbishop of York, and cardinal legate? On what other subject were ever accumulated so many dignities, such honours, such power?

In order to prove yourself my equal, you are pleased to tell me what you bad, not what you did. But it is not the having great offices; it is the doing great things, that makes a great minister. I know that for some years you governed the mind of king Henry the Eighth, and consequently his kingdom, with the most absolute sway. Let me ask you then, what were the asts of your reign?

### WOLSEY.

My acts were those of a very skilful courtier and able politician. I managed a temper, which nature had made the most difficult to manage, of any, perhaps, that ever existed, with such consummate address, that all its passions

# 278 DIALOGUES OF THE DEAD.

passions were rendered entirely subservient to my inclinations. In foreign affairs, I turned the arms of my mafter, or disposed of his friendship, whichever way my own interest happened to direct. It was not with him, but with me, that treaties were made by the Emperor or by France; and none were concluded, during my ministry, that did not centain some article in my favour, beside secret affurances of aiding my ambition or refentment, which were the real springs of all my negotiations. At home, I brought the pride of the English nobility, which had resisted the greatest of the Plantageness, to bow submissively to the son of a butcher of Inswich. And, as my power was royal, my state and magnificence were fuitable to it: my buildings, my furniture, my household, my equipage, my liberality, and my charities, were above the rank of a subject.

XIMENES, ....

From all you have faid, I understand that you gained great advantages for your self in the course of your ministry, too great indeed for a good man to defire, or a wise man to accept. But what did you do for your sovereign, and for the state?—You make me no answer.

See Marso-What I did is well known. I was not content with forcing the arrogance of the Spanish nobility to stoop to my power, but used that power to free the people from their oppressions. In you, they respected the royal authority; I made them respect the majesty of

the

the laws. I also relieved my countrymen, the commons of Castile, from a most grievous burthen, by an alteration in the method of collecting their taxes. After the death of Isabella, I preserved the tranquillity of Arragon and Castile, by procuring the regency of the latter for Ferdinand, a wife and valiant prince, though he had not been my friend during the life of the queen. And when, after his decease. I was raised to the regency by the general effect and affection of the Castilians, I administered the government with great courage, firmness, and prudence; with the most perfect disinterestedness in regard to myfelf, and most zealous concern for the publick. I suppressed all the factions which threatened to disturb the peace of that kingdom in the minority and the abience of the young king; and prevented the discontents of the commons of Castile, too justly incenfed against the Flemish ministers, who governed their prince and rapaciously pillaged their country, from breaking out, during my life, into open rebellion, as they did, most unhappily, foon after my death. These were my civil acts: but, to complete the renown of my administration, I added to it the palm of military glory. At my own charges, and myfelf commanding the army, I conquered Oran from the Moors, and annexed it, with its territorry. to the Spanish dominions.

#### WOLSEY.

My foul was as elevated and noble as yours; my understanding as strong, and more refined. But the difference of our conduct arose from the difference of our objects. To raise your reputation, and secure your power in Castile, by making that kingdom as happy and as great as you could, was your object. Mine was, to procure the triple grown for myself, by the assistance of my sovereign, and of the greatest soreign powers. Each of us took the means that were evidently most proper to the accomplishment of his ends.

## XIMENES,

Can you confess such a principle of your conduct without a blush? But you will at least be assamed, that you failed in your purpose, and were the dupe of the powers with whom you negotiated after having dishonoured the character of your master, in order to ferve your own ambition. I accomplished my defire, with glory to my fovereign, and advantage to my country. Beside this difference, there was a great one in the methods by which we acquired our power. We both owed it indeed to the favour of princes; but I gained Isabella's by the opinion she had of my piety and integrity: you gained Henry's by a complaifance and course of life, which were a repreach to your character and facred onders,

#### WOLSEY.

Jodid not, as you, Ximenes, did, carry with me to court the aufterity of a monk; nor, if I had done so, could I possibly have gained any influence there. Isabella and Henry were different characters, and their favour was to be fought in different ways. By making myself agreeable to the latter, I so governed his passions, unruly as they were, that, while I lived, they did not produce any of those dreadful effects, which after my death were caused by them in his family and kingdom.

### XIMENES.

been king of Castile, I would never have been drawn by him out of my cloister. A man of virtue and spirit will not be prevailed with to go into a court where he cannot rise without baseness.

### WOLSEY.

The inflexibility of your mind had like to see Marfo-have ruined you in some of your measures: hier, Vie de Ximesne. and the bigotry, which you had desired from your long abode in a cloister, and retained when a minister, was very near depriving the crown of Castile of the new-conquered kingdom of Granada, by the revolt of the Moors in that city, whom you had prematurely forced to change their religion. Do you not remember how angry king Ferdinand was with you on that account?

XIMENE

# DIALOGUES OF THE DEAD.

# 

was too intemperate in all that proceeding.

My worst complaisances to king Henry the Eighth were far less hurtful to England, than the unjust and inhuman court of inquisition which you established in Granada, to watch over the faith of your unwilling converts, has been to Spain.

### XIMENES.

I only revived and fettled in Granada an ancient tribunal, instituted first by one of our faints against the Albigenses, and gave it greater powers. The mischiefs which have attended it cannot be denied. But if any force may be used for the maintenance of religion (and the church of Rome has, you know, declared authoritatively that it may), none could be so effectual to answer the purpose.

# WOLSEY,

This is an argument rather against the opinion of the church, than for the inquisition. I will only say, I think myself very happy, that my administration was stained with no action of cruelty, not even cruelty sanctified by the name of religion. My temper indeed, which influenced my conduct more than my principles, was much milder than yours. To the proud, I was proud; but to my briends and inferiors, benevolent and humane. Had I succeeded in the great object

of my ambition, had I acquired the popedom, I should have governed the church with more moderation and better fense than, probably, you would have done, if you had exchanged the see of Toledo for that of Rome. My good-nature, my policy, my taste for magnificence, my love of the fine arts, of wit, and of learning, would have made me the delight of all the Italians, and have given me a rank among the greatest princes. Whereas in you, the four bigot and rigid monk would too much have prevailed over the prince and the statesman.

XIMENES.

What either of us would have been in that fituation does not appear. But, if you are compared to me as a minister, you are vally inferior. The only circumstance in which you can justly pretend to any equality is the encouragement you gave to learning, and your munificence in promoting it, which was indeed very great. Your two colleges founded at Ipswich and Oxford may vie with my uniyerfity at Alcala de Henara. But in our generolity there was this difference: all my revenues were spent in well-placed liberalities, in acts of charity, piety, and virtue; whereas a great part of your enormous wealth was squandered away in luxury and vain oftentation. With regard to all other points, my superiority is apparent. You were only a favourite: I was the friend and the father of the people, You ferved yourfelf: I forved the state. The conclution of our lives was

DIALOGUES OF THE DEAD.

also much more honourable to me than you.

WOLSEY.

Did not you die, as I did, in disgrace with your master?

XIMENES.

That diffrace was brought upon me by a faction of foreigners, to whose power, as a good Spaniard, I would not submit. A minister, who falls a victim to such an opposition, rises by his fall. Yours was not graced by any publick cause, any merit to the nation: your spirit therefore sunk under it; you bore it with meanness. Mine was unbroken, superior to my enemies, superior to fortune; and I died, as I had lived, with undiminished dignity and greatness of mind.

# DIALOGUEXXII

# Lucian - RABELAIS.

# LUCIAN.

fouls are very good company for one another. We both were great wits, and most audacious freethinkers. We laughed often at Folly, and sometimes at Wisdom. I was indeed more correct and more elegant in my style: but then, in return, you had a greater fertility of imagination. My True History is much inferior, in fancy and invention, in force of wit and keenness of satire, to your History of the Acts of Garagantua and Pantagruel.

## RABELAIS.

You do me great honour: but I may fay, without vanity, that both those compositions entitle the authors of them to a very diftinguished place, among memoir-writers, travellers, and even historians ancient and modern.

## LUCIAN.

Doubtless they do. But will you pardon me if I ask you one question? Why did you chase to write such absolute nonsense, as you

you have in some places of your illustrious work?

RABELAIS.

I was forced to compound my phylick for the mind with a large dose of nonsense, in order to make it go down. To own the truth to you, if I had not so frequently put on the fool's cap, the freedoms I took, in other places, with cowls, with red bats, and the triple crown itfelf, would have brought me into great danger. Not only my book, but I myself, should, in all probability, have been condemned to the flames: and martyrdom was an honour to which I never aspired. I therefore counterfeited folly, like Junius Brutus, from the wifest of all principles, that of self-preservation. You, Lucian, had no need to use so much caution. Your heathen priests defired only a facrifice now and then from an Epicurean, as a mark of conformity; and kindly allowed him to make as free as. he pleased, in conversation or writings, with the whole tribe of gods and goddesses, from the thundering Jupiter and the foolding Juno, down to the dog Anubis and the fragrant dame Cloacina.

### LUCIAN.

Say rather that our government allowed us that liberty! for, I assure you, our priests were by no means pleased with it; at least they were not in my time.

#### RABELAIS.

The wifer men they! for, in spite of the conformity required by the laws, and enforced by the magistrate, that ridicule brought the system of pagan theology into contempt, not only with the philosophical part of mankind, but even with the vulgar.

### LUCIAN.

It did so; and the ablest defenders of paganism were forced to give up the poetical fables, and allegorize the whole.

#### RABELAIS.

An excellent way of drawing sense out of absurdity, and grave instructions from lewdness! There is a great modern wit, Sir Francis Bacon, lord Verulam, who, in his treatise, instituted The Wisdom of the Ancients, has done more for you that way than all your own priests!

# LUCIAN.

He has indeed shewn himself an admirable chemist, and made a fine transmutation of solly into wisdom. But all the latter Platonists took the same method of defending our faith, when it was attacked by the Christians: and certainly a more judicious one could not be found. Our sables say, that, in one of their wars with the Titans, the Gods were defeated, and forced to turn themselves into beasts, in order to escape from the conquerors. Just the reverse happened here:—for, by this happy art, our

beaftly divinities were turned again into ra-

Give me a good commentator, with a fubrle, refining, philosophical head; and you shall have the edification of seeing him draw the most sublime allegories, and the most venerable mystic truths, from my history of the noble Garaganina and Pantagruel! I don't despair of being proved, to the entire satisfaction of some suture age, to have been, without exception, the prosoundest divine and metaphyssician that ever yet held a pen.

## LUCIAN.

I shall rejoice to see you advanced to that honour. But in the mean time I may take the liberty to consider you as one of our class. There you sit very high.

## RABELAIS.

I am afraid there is another, and a modern author too, whom you would bid to fit above me, and but just below yourself: I mean Dr. Swift.

## LUCIAN

It was not necessary for him to throw so much nonsense into his history of Lemuel Gulliver, as you did into that of your two illustrious heroes: and his style is far more correct than yours. His wit never descended (as yours frequently did) into the lowest of taverns, nor ever wore the meanest garb of the vulgar.

#### RABELAIS.

If the garb, which it wore, was not as mean, I am certain it was fometimes as dirty as mine.

#### LUCIAN.

It was not always nicely clean. Yet, in comparison with you, he was decent and elegant. But whether there were not in your compositions more fire, and a more comic spirit, I will not determine.

#### RABELAIS.

If you will not determine it, e'en let it remain a matter in dispute, as I have lest the great question, Whether Panurge should marry or not? I would as soon undertake to measure the difference between the height and bulk of the giant Garagantua and his Brobdignanian majesty, as the difference of merit between my writings and Swist's. If any man take a fancy to like my book, let him freely enjoy the entertainment it gives him, and drink to my memory in a bumper. If another like Gulliver, let him toast Dr. Swist. Were I upon earth, I would pledge him in a bumper, supposing the wine to be good. If a third like neither of us, let him silently pass the bottle, and be quiet.

#### LUCIAN.

But what if he will not be quiet? A critick is an unquiet creature.

#### RABELAIS.

Why then he will disturb himself, not me.

## LUCIAN.

You are a greater philosopher than I thought you! I knew you paid no respect to popes or kings; but to pay none to criticks, is, in an author, a magnanimity beyond all example.

# RABELAIS

My life was a farce: my death was a farce: and would you have me make my book a serious affair? As for you, though in general you are only a joker, yet fometimes you must be ranked among grave authors. You have written fage and learned differtations on history, and other weighty matters: The criticks have therefore an undoubted right to maul you, if they find you in their province. But, if any of them dare to come hee Rabe- into mine, I will order Garagantua to swallow them up, as he did the fix pilgrims, in the next fallad he eats.

c. 38.

# LUCIAN.

Have I not heard that you wrote a very good ferious book on the Aphorisms of Hippocrates?

## RABELAIS.

Upon my faith, I had forgot it. I am so used to my fool's coat, that I don't know myself in my solemn dostor's gown. But your information was right: that book was indeed a very respectable work. Yet nobody reads it; and if I had written nothing else, I should have been reckoned, at best, a lacquey to Hippocrates: whereas the historian of Panurge is an eminent writer. Plain good sense, like a dish of solid beef or mutton, is proper only for peasants; but a ragout of folly, well dressed with a sharp sauce of wit, is sit to be served up at an emperor's table.

### LUCIAN.

You are an admirable pleasant fellow! let' me embrace you.—How Apollo and the Muses may rank you on Parnassus, I am not very certain: but, if I were master of the ceremonies on Mount Olympus, you should be placed, with a full bowl of nectar before you, at the right hand of Momus.

## RABELATS.

I with you were—but I feat the inhabitants of those sublime regions will like your company

# 292 DIALOGUES OF THE DEAD.

momus himself could get a seat at that table. I cannot well comprehend! It has been usual, I confess, in some of our courts upon earth, to have a privileged jester, called the king's fool. But in the court of Heaven one should not have supposed such an officer as fupiter's fool. Your allegorical theology in this point is very abstruse.

#### LUCIAN.

I think our priests admitted Momus into our heaven, as the Indians are said to worship the devil, through sear. They had a mind to keep sair with him. For we may talk of the giants as much as we please; but to our gods there is no enemy so formidable as he. Ridicule is the terror of all false religion. Nothing but truth can stand its lash.

# RABELAIS.

Truth, advantageously set in a good and fair light, can stand any attacks: but those of ridicule are so teazing and so fallacious, that I have seen them put her ladyship very much out of humour.

### LUCIAN.

Ay, friend Rabelais: and fornetimes out of countenance too. But truth and wit in sconfederacy will strike Momus dumb. United they are invincible: and such a union is necessary

necessary upon certain occasions. False reafoning is most effectually exposed by plain sense; but wit is the best opponent to false ridicule; as just ridicule is to all the absurdities which dare to assume the venerable names of Philosophy or Religion. Had we made such a proper use of our agreeable talents, had we employed our ridicule to strip the foolith faces of superstition, fanaticism, and dogmatical pride, of the ferious and folemn masks with which they are covered; at the fame time exerting all the sharpness of our wit, to combat the flippancy and pertness of those who argue only by jests against reason and evidence, in points of the highest and most ferious concern; we should have much better merited the esteem of mankind.

# DIALOGUE XXIII.

PERICLES—Cosmo DE MEDICIS, the first of that name.

# PERICLES.

Plutarch's I N what I have heard of your character. Life of and your fortune, illustrious Cosmo, I find tericles. and Thu- a most remarkable resemblance with mine cydides, I. ii. See also We both lived in republicks where the fove-Machiavel reign power was in the people; and, by mere Florence, civil arts, but more especially by our elofrom the quence, attained, without any force, to such fourth a degree of authority, that we ruled those book to the eighth. tumultuous and flormy democracies with an absolute sway, turned the tempests which agitated them upon the heads of our enemies. and, after having long and prosperously conducted the greatest affairs in war and peace, died revered and lamented by all our fellowcitizens.

COSMO.

We have indeed an equal right to value ourselves on that noblest of empires, the empire we gained over the minds of our countrymen.—Force or caprice may give power; but nothing can give a lasting authority, except wisdom and virtue. By these we obtained, by these we obtained, by

these we preserved, in our respective countries, a dominion unstained by usurpation or blood, a dominion conferred on us by the publick esteem and the publick affection We were in reality sovereigns, while we lived with the simplicity of private men: and Athens and Florence believed themselves to be free, though they obeyed all our dictates. This is more than was done by Philip of Macedon, or Sylla, or Cæsar. It is the perfection of policy, to tame the sierce spirit of popular liberty, not by blows or by chains, but by soothing it into a voluntary obedience, and bringing it to lick the hand that re-drains it.

### PERICLES.

The task can never be easy; but the disficulty was still greater to me than to you. For I had a lion to tame, from whose intractable sury the greatest men of my country, and of the whole world, with all their wisdom and virtue, could not save themselves, Themistocles and Aristides were examples of terror, that might well have deterred me from the administration of publick affairs at Athens. Another impediment in my way was the power of Cimon, who, for his goodness, his liberality, and the suffre of his victories over the Persians, was much beloved by the people; and, at the same time, by being thought to savour aristocracy, had all the noble and rich citizens devoted to: his party. It seemed impossible to shake so well-established a greatness. Yet, by the charms and force of my eloquence, which exceeded that of all orators contemporary with me, by the integrity of my life, my moderation, and my prudence, but, above all, by my artful management of the people, whose power I encreased, that I might render it the basis and support of my own. I gained fuch an afcendancy over all my opponents. that, having first procured the banishment of Cimon by oftracism, and then of Thucydides. another formidable antagonist set up by the nobles against my authority, I became the unrivaled chief, or rather the monarch, of the Athenian republick, without ever purting to death, in above forty years that my administration continued, one of my fellowcitizens: a circumstance which I declared. when I lay on my death-bed, to be, in my own judgement, more honourable to me, than all my prosperity in the government of the state, or the nine trophies erected for so many victories obtained by my conductions

vanida signi Chara eo sim of the second

I had also the same happiness to boast of at my death; and some additions were made to the territories of Florence under my government: but I myself was no soldier, and the commonwealth I directed was never either

to warlike or to powerful as Athens. I must. therefore, not pretend to vie with you in the lustre of military glory: and I will more. over acknowledge, that to govern a people, whose spirit and pride were exalted by the wonderful victories of Marathon, Mycale, Salamis, and Platæa, was much more difficult than to rule the Florentines and the Tuscans. The liberty of the Athenians was, in your time, more imperious, more haughty, more insolent, than the desposism of the king of Persia. How great then must have been your ability and address, that could to absolutely reduce it under your power! Yet the temper of my countrymen was not easy to govern: for it was exceedingly factious. The history of Florence is little else, for several ages, than an account of conspiracies against the state. In my youth, I myfelf suffered much, by the diftentions which then embroiled the republick. I was imprisoned, and banished; but, after the course of some years, my enemies, in their turn, were driven into exile. I was brought back in triumph; and from that time till my death, which was above thirty years, I governed the Florentines, not by arms, or evil arts of tyrannical power, but with a legal authority; which I exercised fo discreetly, as to gain the esteem of all the neighbouring potentates, and fuch a constant affection of all my fellow-citizens, that an inscription.

# DIALOGUES OF THE DEAD.

inscription, which gave me the title of Father. of my Country, was engraved on my monument, by an unanimous decree of the whole commonwealth.

#### 1 1 PERICLES.

298

Your end was incomparably more happy than mine. For you died, rather of age than any violent illness, and left the Florentimes in a state of peace and prosperity procured for them by your counsels. But I died of the plague, after having feen it almost depopulate Athens; and lett my country engaged in a most dangerous war, to which my advice and the power of my eloquence, had excited the people. The misfortune of the pestilence, with the inconveniences they suffered on account of the war, so irritated their minds, that, not long before my death, they condemned me to a fine.

#### COSMO.

It is wonderful, that, when once their anger was raifed, it went no further against you! A favourite of the people, when difgraced, is in still greater danger than a favourite of the king.

## PERICLES.

Your surprize will increase, at hearing that very foon afterward they chose me their general, and conferred on me again the principal direction of all their affairs.

Had

Had I lived I should have so conducted the war, as to have ended it with advantage and honour to my country; for, having lecured to her the lovereignty of the feat by the defeat of the Samians, before I let her engage with the power of Sparta, I knew that our enemies would be at length wearied out, and compelled to fue for a peace; because the city, from the strength of its fortifications, and the great army within it, being on the land fide impregnable to the Spartans, and drawing continual supplies from the sea, suffered not much by the ravages of the country about it. whence I had before removed all the inhabitants: whereas their allies were undone by the descents we made on their coasts. i Marii lanin sayan ka iyo da dila in an isa i saya

all the same with COSMO.

You feem to have understood beyond all other men what advantages are to be drawn from a maritime power, and how to make it the surest foundation of empire.

# PERICLES.

I followed the plan traced out by Themistocles, the ablest politician that Greece had ever produced. Nor did I begin the Peloponnesian war (as some have supposed) See Thuonly to make myself necessary, and stop an inquiry into my publick accounts: I really thought, that the republick of Athens could

no longer defer a contest with Sparta, without giving up to that state the precedence in the direction of Greece, and her own independence. To keep off for some time even a necessary war, with a probable hope of making it more advantageously at a favourable opportunity, is an act of true wifdom: but not to make it, when you fee that your enemy will be strengthened, and vour own advantages loft, or confiderably lessened, by the delay, is a most pernicious imprudence. With relation to my accounts, I had nothing to fear. I had not embezzled one drachma of publick money, nor added one to my own paternal estate; and the people had placed so entire a considence in me, that they had allowed me, against the usual forms of their government, to the Life of dispose of large sums for secret service, without account. When therefore I advised the Peloponnesian war, I neither acted from private views, nor with the inconsiderate temerity of a restless ambition; but as became a wife statesman, who, having weighed all the dangers that may attend a great enterprize, and feeing a reasonable hope of good success, makes it his option to fight for dominion and glory, rather than facrifice both to the uncertain polsellion of an infecure peace.

See Plutaich in Pericles : and Diodorus Siculus.

Thucydides, l. ii.

CHARLEST FARE SPEED

#### COSMO.

How were you fure of inducing so volatile a people to persevere in so steady a system of conduct as that which you had laid down; a system attended with much inconvenience and loss to particulars, while it presented but little to strike or instance the imagination of the publick! Bold and arduous enterprizes, great battles, much bloodshed, and a speedy decision, are what the multitude desire in every war; but your plan of operation was the reverse of all this; and the execution of it required the temper of the Thebans, rather than of the Athenians.

#### PERICLESA

I found indeed many symptoms of their impatience; but I was able to restrain it, by the authority I had gained. For, during my whole ministry, I never had stooped to court their favour by any unworthy means; never flattered them in their follies, nor complied with their passions against their true interests and my own better judgement; but used the power of my eloquence to keep them in the bounds of a wife moderation, to raise their spirits when too low, and shew them their dailger when they grew too presumptious; the good effects of which conduct they had happily experienced in all

their affairs. Whereas those who succeeded to use in the government, by their incaparity, their corruption, and their service complaisance to the humour of the people, presently loss all the fruits of my virtue and prudence. Xerxes himself, I am convinced, did not suffer more by the flattery of his courtiers, than the Athenians, after my decease, by that of their orators and ministers of state.

# COSMO.

Those orators could not gain the favour of the people by any other methods. Your arts were more noble; they were the arts of a statesman and of a prince. Your magnificent buildings, which in beauty of architecture furpaffed any the world had ever feen, the statues of Phidias, the paintings of Xeuxis, the protection you gave to knowledge, genius, and abrities of every kind, added as much to the glory of Athens, as to your popularity. And in this I may Machiavel boast of an equal merit to Florence. For History of Florence, I embellished that city, and the whole country about it, with excellent buildings; I protected all arts; and, though I was not myfelf so eloquent or so learned as you, I so less encouraged those who were emineut, in my time, for their cloquence or their learning. Marcinus Ficinus, the ficond Taxher of the Platonick philosophy, lived in

my

my house, and conversed with me as intimately as Anaxagoras with you. Nor did See Plu-Lever forget and fuffer him to to want Life of the necessaries of life, as you did Anax-Pericles agoras, who had like to have perifred by that unfriendly neglect; but, to secure him at all times from any diffress in his circumstances; and enable him to pursue his sublime speculations unmolested by low cares I gave him an estate adjacent to one of my favourite villas. I also drew to Florence Argiropolo, the most learned Greek of those times; that, under my patronage, he might teach the Florentine youth the language and sciences of his country. But with regard to our buildings, there is this remarkable difference: yours were all raifed at the expence of the publick, mine at my own

# PERICLES.

My estate would bear no profuseness; nor allow me to exert the generosity of my nature. Your wealth exceeded that of any particular, or indeed of any prince, who lived in your days. The vast commerce, which, after the example of your ancestors, you continued to carry on in all parts of the world, even while you presided at the helm of the state, enabled you to do those splendid acts, which rendered your name so illustrious. But I was constrained to make the public

Thucydides, l. ii.

lick treasure the fund of my bouncies; and Life of Pe- I thought. I could not possibly dispose of ricles, and it better, in time of peace, than in finding employment for that part of the people which must else have been idle, and useless to the community; introducing into Greece all the elegant arts, and adorning my country with works that are an honour to human nature. For while I attended the most to these civil and peaceful occupations, I did not neglect to provide, with timely care. against war; nor fuffer the nation to fink into luxury and effeminate fortners. I kept our fleets in continual exercise, maintained a great number of feamen in constant pay, and disciplined well our land-forces. Nor did I ever coase to recommend to all the Athenians, both by precepts and example, frugality, temperance, magnanimity, fortitude, and whatever could most effectually contribute to strengthen their bodies and minds.

## COSMO.

Yet I have heard you condemned, for rendering the people less fober and modest, by giving them a share of the conquered lands, and paying them wages for their neceffary attendance in the publick affemblies and other civil functions; but more especially for the valt and superfluous expence you entailed on the three in the rheatrical spectacles

fpectacles with which you entertained them at the cost of the publick.

## PERICLES:

Perhaps I may have been too lavish in some of those bounties.—Yet, in a popular state, it is necessary that the people should be amused, and should so far partake of the opulence of the publick, as not to suffer any want, which would render their minds too low and fordid for their political duties. In my time, the revenues of Athens were sufficient to bear this charge: but afterward, when we had lost their greatest part of our empire, it became, I must confess, too heavy a burthen; and the continuance of it proved one cause of our ruin.

## COSMO.

It is a most dangerous thing to load the state with largesses of that nature, or indeed with any unnecessary but popular charges; because to reduce them is almost impossible, though the circumstances of the publick should necessarily demand a reduction. But did not you likewise, in order to advance your own greatness, throw into the hands of the people of Athens more power than the institutions of Solon had entrusted them with, and more than was consistent with the good of the state?

#### PERICLES.

We are now in the regions where Truth presides; and I dare not offend her, by playing the orator in defence of my conduct. I must therefore acknowledge that, by weakening the power of the court of of Solon Areopagus, I tore up that anchor, which and of Pe-Solon had wifely fixed, to keep his republick firm against the storms and sluctuations of popular factions. This alteration, which fundamentally injured the whole state, I made, with a view to serve my own ambition, the only passion in my nature which I could not contain within the limits of virtue. For I knew that my eloquence would subject the people to me, and make them the willing instruments of all my desires; whereas the Areopagus had in it an authority and a dignity which I could not controul. Thus, by diminishing the counterpoise our constitution had settled to moderate the excess of popular power, I augmented my own. But, fince my death, I have been often reproached by the shades of some of the most virtuous and wisest Athenians, who have fallen victims to the caprice or fury of the people, with having been the first cause of the injustice they fuffered, and of all the mischiefs perpetually brought on my country, by rash undertakings, bad conduct, and fluctuating

See Plutarch, in the Lives

councils. They fay, I delivered up the state to the government of indifcreet or venal orators, and to the paffions of a misguided, infatuated multitude, who thought their free-dom conflited in encouraging calumnles against the best fervalits of the commonwealth, and conferring power upon those who had no other merit than falling in with and foothing a popular folly. It IS See Thuuseless for me to plead, that during my cyclides, life none of these mischiess were felt; that I employed my rhetorick to promote none but good and wife measures; that I was as free from any taint of avarice or corruption as Aristides himself. They reply that I am answerable for all the great evils occasioned afterward by the want of that falutary restraint on the natural levity and extravagance of a democracy, which I had taken away. Socrates calls me the patron of Anytus: and Solon himself frowns upon me, whenever we meet.

COSMO.

Péricles, what opinion would you have of the architect you employed in your buildings, if he had made them to last no longer than during the term of your life?

PERICLES.

The answer to your question will furn see Matto your own condemnation. Your excessive History of liberalities to the indigent citizens, and the Florence,

great fums you lent to all the noble families, did in reality buy the republick of Florence; and gave your family fuch a power as enabled them to convert it from a popular state into an absolute monarchy.

### COSMO.

tory.

The Florentines were so infested with See Machiavel's Hif-discord and faction, and their commonwealth was fo void of military virtue, that they could not have long been exempt from a more ignominious subjection to some foreign power, if those internal diffentions, with the confusion and anarchy they produced, continued. But the Athenians had performed very glorious exploits, had obtained a great empire; and were become one of the noblest states in the world, before you altered the balance of their government. And after that alteration they declined very fast, till they lost all their greatness.

#### PERICLES.

Their constitution had originally a foul blemish in it, I mean the ban of ostracism, which alone would have been fufficient to undo any state. For there is nothing of fuch important use to a nation, as that men who most excel in wisdom and virtue should be encouraged to undertake the business of government. But this detestable custom deterred fuch men from ferving the publick, or, if they ventured to do io, turned even their own wisdom and virtue against them; fo that in Athens it was fafer to infamous

infamous than renowned. We are told indeed, by the advocates for this strange institution, that it was not a punishment, but meant as a guard to the equality and liberty of the state: for which reason, they deem it an honour done to the persons against whom it was used: as if words could change the real nature of things, and make a banishment of ten years, inflicted on a good citizen by the fuffrages of his countrymen, no evil to him, or no offence against justice and the natural right every freeman may claim, that he shall not be expelled from any fociety of which he is a member, without having first been proved guilty of fome criminal action.

### COSMO.

The offracism was indeed a most unpardonable fault in the Athenian constitution. It placed envy in the seat of justice, and gave to private malice and publick ingratitude a legal right to do wrong. Other nations are blamed for tolerating vice; but the Athenians alone would not tolerate virtue.

### PERICLES.

The friends to the oftracism say, that too eminent virtue destroys that equality, which is the safeguard of freedom.

### COSMO.

No state is well modeled, if it cannot preserve itself from the danger of tyranny without a grievous violation of natural justice:

X<sub>3</sub> no

nor would a friend to true freedom, which confifts in being governed, not by men, but by laws, defire to live in a country, where a Cleon bore rule, and where an Aristides was not suffered to remain. But, instead of remedying this evil, you made it worse. You rendered the people more intractable, more adverse to virtue, less subject to the laws, and more to impressions from mischievous demagogues, than they had been before your time,

### PERICLES.

In truth, I did so;—and therefore my place in Elysium, notwithstanding the integrity of my whole publick conduct, and the great virtues I exerted, is much below the rank of those who have governed commonwealths, or limited monarchies, not merely with a concern for their present advantage; but also with a prudent regard to that balance of power, on which their permanent happiness must necessarily depend.

## DIALOGUE XXIV.

## LOCKE-BAYLE.

#### BAYLE.

YES, we both were philosophers; but my philosophy was the deepest. You dogmatized: I doubted.

#### LOCKE.

Do you make doubting a proof of depth in philosophy? It may be a good beginning of it; but it is a bad end.

### BAYLE.

No:-the more profound our fearches are into the nature of things, the more uncertainty we shall find; and the most subtle minds fee objections and difficulties in every fystem, which are overlooked or undiscoverable by ordinary understandings.

### LOCKE.

It would be better then to be no philosopher, and to continue in the vulgar herd of mankind, that one may have the convenience of thinking that one knows something. I find that the eyes which nature has given me fee many things very clearly, though some are out of their reach, or differend but dimly. opinion ought I to have of a physician, who should offer me an eye-water, the use of which would at first so sharpen my sight, as to carry

X 4.

it farther than ordinary vision; but would in the end put them out? Your philosophy, Monsieur Bayle, is to the eyes of the mind what I have supposed the doctor's nostrum to be to those of the body. It actually brought your own excellent understanding, which was by nature quick-sighted, and rendered more so by art and a subtilty of logick peculiar to yourself—it brought, I say, your very acute understanding to see nothing clearly, and enveloped all the great truths of reason and religion in mists of doubt.

#### BAYLE.

I own, it did;—but your comparison is not just. I did not see well, before I used my philosophick eye-water: I only supposed I saw well; but I was in an error, with all the rest of mankind. The blindness was real, the perceptions were imaginary. I cured myself first of those salse imaginations, and then I laudably endeavoured to cure other men.

### LOCKE.

A great cure indeed! and don't you think that, in return for the service you did them, they ought to erect you a statue?

### BAYLE.

Yes; it is good for human nature to know its own weakness. When we arrogantly presume on a strength we have not, we are always in great danger of hurting ourselves, or at least of deserving ridicule and contempt by vain and idle efforts.

#### LOCKE.

I agree with you, that human nature should know its own weakness; but it should also feel its strength, and try to improve it. This was my employment as a philosopher. endeavoured to discover the real powers of the mind, to fee what it could do, and what it could not; to restrain it from efforts beyond its ability; but to teach it how to advance as far as the faculties given to it by nature, with the utmost exertion and most proper culture of them, would allow it to go. In the vast ocean of philosophy, I had the line and the plummet always in my hands. Many of its depths I found myself unable to fathom; but, by caution in founding, and the careful obfervations I made in the course of my voyage, I found out some truths of so much use to mankind, that they acknowledge me to have been their benefactor.

### BAYLE.

Their ignorance makes them think fo. Some other philosopher will come hereafter, and shew those truths to be falsehoods. He will pretend to discover other truths of equal importance. A later sage will arise, perhaps among men now barbarous and unlearned, whose sagacious discoveries will discredit the opinions of his admired predecessor. In philosophy, as in nature, all changes its form, and one thing exists by the destruction of another.

LOCKE.

Opinions taken up without a patient investigation, depending on terms not accurately defined, and principles begged without proof. like theories to explain the phænomena of nature built on suppositions instead of experiments, must perpetually change and destroy one another. But some opinions there are, even in matters not obvious to the common fense of mankind, which the mind has received on fuch rational grounds of affent, that they are as immoveable as the pillars of heaven, or (to speak philosophically) as the great laws of nature, by which, under God, the universe is sustained. Can you seriously think, that, because the hypothesis of your countryman Descartes, which was nothing but an ingenious, well-imagined romance, has been lately exploded, the system of Newton, which is built on experiments and geometry, the two most certain methods of discovering truth, will ever fail; or that, because the whims of fanaticks and the divinity of the schoolmen cannot now be supported, the doctrines of that religion, which I, the declared enemy of all enthuliasm and false reasoning, firmly believed and maintained. will ever be shaken?

BAYLE.

If you had asked Descartes, while he was in the height of his vogue, whether his system would be ever contuted by any other philosophers, as that of Aristotle had been by his, his, what answer do you suppose he would have returned?

### LOCKE

Come, come, Monsieur Bayle, you yourfelf know the difference between the foundations on which the credit of those systems and that of Newton is placed. Your scepticilm is more affected than real. You found it a shorter way to a great reputation (the only with of your heart) to object, than to defend; to pull down, than to fet up. And your talents were admirable for that kind of work. Then your huddling together, in a Critical Dictionary, a pleasant tale, or obscene jest, and a grave argument against the Christian religion, a witty confutation of fome absurd author, and an artful sophism to impeach some respectable truth, was particularly commodious to all our young fmarts and fmatterers in free-thinking. But what mischief have you not done to human society? You have endeavoured, and with some degree of fuccess, to shake those foundations, on which the whole moral world, and the great fabrick of focial happiness, entirely rest. How could you, as a philosopher, in the sober hours of reflection, answer for this to your conscience, even supposing you had doubts of the truth of a system, which gives to virtue its fweetest hopes, to impenitent vice its greatest fears, and to true penitence its best consolations: which restrains even the least approaches to guilt, and yet makes those allowances

allowances for the infirmities of our nature, which the Stoick pride denied to it, but which its real imperfection and the goodness of its infinitely benevolent Creator so evidently require?

#### BAYLE.

The mind is free; and it loves to exert its freedom. Any reffraint upon it is a violence done to its nature, and a tyranny, against which it has a right to rebel.

### LOCKE.

The mind, though free, has a governor within itself, which may and ought to limit the exercise of its freedom. That governor is Reason.

### BAYLE.

Yes: — but Reason, like other governors, has a policy more dependent upon uncertain caprice than upon any fixed laws. And if that reason which rules my mind, or yours, have happened to set up a favourite notion, it not only submits implicitly to it, but desires that the same respect should be paid to it by all the rest of mankind. Now I hold that any man may lawfully oppose this desire in another; and that, if he be wise, he will do his utmost endeavours to check it in himself.

### LOCKE.

Is there not also a weakness of a contrary nature to this you are now ridiculing? do we not often take a pleasure to shew our own power, and gratify our own pride, by degrading

degrading notions fet up by other men, and generally respected?

### BAYLE.

I believe we do; and by this means it often happens that, if one man build and confecrate a temple to folly, another pulls it down.

### LOCKE.

Do you think it beneficial to human fociety, to have all temples pulled down?

### BAYLE.

I cannot fay that I do.

#### LOCKE.

Yet I find not in your writings any mark of distinction, to shew us which you mean to save.

### BAYLE.

A true philosopher, like an impartial historian, must be of no sect.

### LOCKE

Is there no medium between the blind zeal of a sectary, and a total indifference to all religion?

### BAYLE.

With regard to morality, I was not indifferent.

### LOCKE.

How could you then be indifferent with regard to the fanctions religion gives to morality? how could you publish what tends to directly and apparently to weaken in manking the belief of those sanctions? was not this

**318** 

this facrificing the great interests of virtue to the little motives of vanity?

#### BAYLE.

A man may act indifcreetly, but he cannot do wrong, by declaring that, which, on a full discussion of the question, he fincerely thinks to be true.

### LOCKE. I

' An enthusiast, who advances doctrines prejudicial to fociety, or opposes any that are useful to it, has the strength of opinion and the heat of a disturbed imagination to plead, in alleviation of his fault. But your cool head, and found judgement, can have no fuch excuse. I know very well there are passages in all your works, and those not few, where you talk like a rigid moralist. have also heard that your character was irreproachably good. But when, in the most laboured parts of your writings, you sap the furest foundations of all moral duties: what avails it that in others, or in the conduct of your life, you appeared to respect them? How many, who have stronger passions than you had, and are defirous to get rid of the curb that restrains them, will lay hold of your scepticism, to set themselves loose from all obligations of virtue! What a misfortune is it to have made such a use of such talents! It would have been better for you, and for mankind, if you had been one of the dullest of Dutch theologians, or the most credusous monk in a Portuguese convent. The riches

2 ;

of the mind, like those of fortune, may be employed so perversely, as to become a nuifance and pest, instead of an ornament and support, to society.

### BAYLE

You are very severe upon me.—But do you count it no merit, no service to mankind, to deliver them from the frauds and setters of priestcraft, from the deliviums of fanaticism, and from the terrors and sollies of superstition? Consider how much mischief these have done to the world! Even in the last age, what massacres, what civil wars, what convulsions of government, what confusion in society, did they produce! Nay, in that we both lived in, though much more enlightened than the former, did I not see them occasion a violent persecution in my own country? and can you blame me for striking at the root of these evils?

LOCKE. 2 de sebenson flore

The root of these evils, you well know, was false religion; but you struck at the true. Heaven and hell are not more different, than the 19stem of faith I desended, and that which produced the horrors of which you speak. Why would you so fallaciously confound them together in some of your writings, that it requires much more judgement, and a more diligent attention, than ordinary readers have, to separate them again, and to make the proper distinctions? This indeed is the great art of the most celebrated free-thinkers. They recommend

recommend themselves to warm and ingenuous minds, by lively strokes of wit, and by
arguments really strong, against superstriction,
enthinasin, and priciterast. But, at the same
time, they instituting throw the colours of
these upon the fair face of true feligion, and
dress her out in their garb, with a malignant
intention to tender her onlows or despicable to
these who have not penetration, enough to
differ the improves fraud. Some of them
any have thus deceived themselves, as well as
others. Yet it is certain, no book, that ever
was written by the most acute of these gentlemen, is so repugnant to priestcrast, to spiritual
tyranny, to all absurd superstitions, to all
that can tend to disturb or injure society, as
that Gospel they so much affect to despise.

### BAYLE.

Mankind is so made, that, when they have been over-heated, they cannot be brought to a proper temper again till they have been over-cooled. My scepticism might be necessary, to abate the fever and phrenzy of false religion.

### LOCKE.

A wife prescription indeed, to bring on a paralytical state of the mind (for such a scepticism as yours is a palfy, which deprives the mind of all vigour, and deadens its natural and vital powers) in order to take off a fever, which temperance, and the milk of the evangeligal destrines, would probably cutal

I acknowledge that those medicines have a great power. But few doctors apply them untainted with the mixture of some harsher drugs, or fome unfafe and ridiculous nestrums of their own.

What you now fay is soo true.—Goo has given us a most excellent physick for the faul. in all its diseases; but bad and interested physicians, or ignorant and conceited quacks. administer it so ill to the rest of mankind, that much of the benefit of it is unhappily loft.

### DIALOGUE XXV.

Archibald earl of Douglas, duke of Touraine.

John duke of Arcyle and Greenwich, field marshal of his Britannick Majesty's forces.

### ARGYLE.

Buchanan, Scoticayou and your fon, together with the you and your fon, thould have employed A.D. 1424 for much valour, and have thrown away your lives, in fighting the battles of that state, which, from its tituation and interests, is the perpetual and most dangerous enemy to Great Britain. A British nobleman serving France appears to me as unfortunate, and as much out of his proper sphere, as a Grecian commander, engaged in the service of Persia, would have appeared to Aristides or Agesilaus

### DOUGLAS.

In ferving France, I ferved Scotland. The French were the natural allies to the Scotch; and, by supporting their crown, I enabled my countrymen to maintain their independence against the English.

#### ARGYLE.

The French indeed, from the unhappy state of our country, were ancient allies to the Scotch; but that they ever were our natural allies, I deny. Their alliance was proper and necessary for us, because we were then in an unnatural state, distincted from England. While that difunion continued, our monarchy was compelled to lean upon France for affistance and support. The French power and policy kept us, I acknowledge, independent on the English, but dependent on them; and this dependence exposed us to many grievous calamities, by drawing on our country the formidable arms of the English, whenever it happened that the French and they had a quarrel. The fuccours they afforded us were distant and uncertain. Our enemy was at hand, superior to us in strength, though not in valour. Our borders were ravaged; our kings were flain, or led captive; we lost all the advantage of being the inhabitants of a great island; we had no commerce, no peace, no fecurity, no degree of maritime power. Scotland was a back-door, through which the French, with our help, made their inroads into England: if they conquered, we obtained little benefit from it; but, if they were defeated, we were always the devoted victims, on whom the conquerors feverely wreaked their refentment.

DOUGLAS.

The English Tuffered as much in those wars as we. How terribly were their borders laid waste and depopulated by our sharp incursions! how often have the swords of my ancestors been stained with the bost blood of that nation! were not just out as Bannochourn and at Otterbourn as glotious as any that, with all the advantage of numbers, they have ever obtained over us?

### ARGYLE.

They were: but yet they did us no lasting good. They left us still dependent on the protection of France; they left us a poor, a feeble, a distressed, though a most valiant nation. They irritated England, but could not subdue it, nor hinder our feeling such reffects of its enmity, as gave us no reason to rejoice in our triumphs.—How much more happily, in the auspicious reign of that outen , who formed the Union, was my fword emplayed in humbling the foes of Great Britain! with how superior a dignity did I appear in the combined British senate, maintaining the interests of the whole united people of Eng-Jand and Scotland, against all foreign powers, who attempted to disturb our general happinels, or to invade our common rights duff

tanately " AALDVouse

26 57 .130

Your eloquence and your valour had unquestionably a much nobler and more spacious field.

field, to exercise themselves in, than any of those who defended the interests of only a part of the island.

The volume of the island the interests of only a part of the island.

Whenever stead any account of the wars between the Scotch and the English. I think have reading a metabolic history of creat loss appears to one a loss to the whole, and an advantage to some foreign enemy of Great Britain. But the strength of that island is made compleat by the Union; and what a great English poet has justly said in one instance, is now true in all

The Hotspur and the Douglas both to- See Shakefigure 3 we gether against the world in arms. Henry IV.
erom some and the Douglas both tosee Shakespecific arms. Henry IV.
erom some and the more arms. Henry IV.
erom some and the Douglas both tosee Shakefigure 3.

who can resist the English and Scotch valour combined? When separated and opposed they with skild balanced each other: united, they with skild the balance of Europe. To all the Stitch blood that has been shed for the Fields, in unnatural owers against Englished blanden of Finde, and conjungiou with the English preasition of Finde, and conjungiou with the English preasition that has been specially in useless swars against Scotland, had been preserved; France would long ago that been preserved; France would long ago would be preserved; and the still a suit of disturbing suoises a soon but the same would long ago blood.

326

alie instinsa

our peace, and Great Britain would have been the most powerful of nations.

### DOUGLAS.

There is truth in all you have faid.—But yet, when I reflect on the infidious ambition of king Edward the First, on the ungenerous arts he so treacherously employed, to gain, or rather to steal, the sovereignty of our kingdom, and the detestable cruelty he shewed to Wallace, our brave champion and martyr; my soul is up in arms against the insolence of the English; and I adore the memory of those patriots, who died in asserting the independence of our crown, and the liberty of our nation.

### ARGYLE.

Had I lived in those days, I should have joined with those patriots, and been the foremost to maintain so noble a cause. The Scotch were not made to be subject to the English. Their souls were too great for such a timid submission. But they may unite and incorporate with a nation they would not obey. Their scorn of a foreign yoke, their strong and generous love of independence and freedom, make their union with England more natural and more proper. Had the spirit of the Scotch been servile or base, it could never have coalesced with that of the English.

### DOUGLAS.

It is true that the minds of both nations are congenial, and filled with the same noble virtues, the same impatience of servitude, the fame magnanimity, courage, and prudence, the same genius for policy, for navigation and commerce, for sciences and arts. Yet, notwithstanding this happy conformity, when I confider how long they were enemies to each other; what an hereditary hatred and jealoufy had fubfifted, for many ages, between them; what private passions, what prejudices, what contrary interests, must have necessarily obstructed every step of the treaty; and how hard it was to overcome the strong opposition of national pride; I stand astonished that it was possible to unite the two kingdoms upon any conditions; and much more that it could be done with fuch equal regard and amicable fairness to both.

#### ARGYLE.

It was indeed a most arduous and difficult undertaking! The success of it must, I think, be thankfully ascribed, not only to the great firmness and prudence of those who had the management of it, but to the gracious assistance of Providence, for the preservation of the Reformed religion amongst us, which, in that conjuncture, if the Union had not been made, would have been ruined in Scotland, and much endangered in England. The same Y 4 good

See Hook's good Providence has watched over and pro-letters, and Lock-tected it fince, in a most signal manner, hart's Me- againft the attempes of an Hifatpated party moirs. in Scotland, and the arts of Praile, who by her emiflaries laboured to defly of it as foon as formed; becaute the july Yorelaw that the continuance of htwo old be that dive to all her valt dengismagaint the Meity of Europe. I myself had the hondly to have a principal share in subduing one reselsion de ligned to subvert it; and fince my death; it has been, I hope, established for ever, not only by the defeat of another rebellion. which came upon us in the midit of a dangerous war with France, but by measures prudently taken in order to prevent such diffurbances for the future. The ministers of the crown have proposed, and the British legislature has enacted, a wife system of laws; the object of which is, to reform and to civilize the Highlands of Scotland; to deliver the people there from the arbitrary power and oppression of their chieftains; to carry the royal justice and royal protection into the wildest parts of their mountains; to hinder their natural valour from being abused and

perverted to the detriment of their country; and to introduce among them arts, agriculture, commerce, tranquillity, with all the improvements of focial and polished life.

# Phoce Xs.

By what you now tell me, you give me the highest, idea of the great prince your mafter; who, after, having been provoked by fuch a wicked rebellion, instead of enflaving the people of the Highlands, or laying the hand of power more heavy upon them (which is the usual consequence of unsuccesses ful revoltal, has conferred on them the inestimable blessings of liberty, justice, and good order, To act thus, is indeed to perfect the Union; and make all the inhabitants of Great Britain acknowledge with grantude and with joy that they are fubjects of the fame well-regulated kingdom, and governed with the fame impartial affection, by the fovereign and father of the whole commonwealth.

tgiffa to 'ARGYLE' of aftgl

The laws I have mentioned, and the humane, benevolent policy of his majesty's government, have already produced very labilitary effects in that part of the kingdom; and, if steadily pursued, will produce many more. But no words can recount to you the infinite benefits, which have attended the Union, in the northern counties of England and the southern of Scotland.

otil f if Dougk Als.

The fruits of it must be, doubtless, most fersible there, where the perpetual enmity between

between the two nations had occasioned the greatest disorder and desolation.

#### ARGYLE.

Oh, Douglas—could you revive, and return into Scotland, what a delightful alteration would you fee in that country! All those great tracts of land, which in your time lay untilled, on account of the inroads of the bordering English, or the feuds and discords that raged with perpetual violence within our own distracted kingdom, you would now behold cultivated, and fmiling with plenty. Instead of the castles, which every baron was compelled to erect for the defence of his family, and where he lived in the barbarism of Gothick pride, among miserable vasials oppressed by the abuse of his feudal powers, your eyes would be charmed with elegant country houses, adorned with fine plantations and beautiful gardens; while happy villages or gay towns are rifing about them, and enlivening the prospect with every image of rural wealth! On our coasts, trading cities, full of new manufactures, and continually encreasing the extent of their commerce! In our ports and harbours, innumerable merchant ships richly loaded, and protected from all enemies by the matchless fleet of Great Britain! But of all improvements the greatest is in the minds of the Scotch. These have profited even more than their lands, by the

the culture, which the fettled peace and tranquillity produced by the Union have happily given to them: and they have discovered such talents in all branches of literature, as might render the English jealous of being excelled by their genius, if there could remain a competition, when there remains no distinction, between the two nations.

#### DOUGLAS.

There may be emulation without jealoufy: and the efforts, which that emulation will excite, may render our island superior in the same of wit and good learning to Italy or to Greece; a superiority, which I have learnt in the Elysian fields to prefer even to that which is acquired by arms.—But one doubt still remains with me concerning the Union. I have been informed that no more than fixteen of our peers, except those who have English peerages (which fome of the noblest have not), now fit in the house of lords, as representatives of the rest. Does not this in a great measure diminish those peers who are not elected? and have you not found the election of the fixteen too dependent on the favour of a court?

### ARGYLE.

It was impossible that the English could ever consent, in the treaty of Union, to admit a greater number to have places and votes in the upper house of parliament: but all the Scotch peerage is virtually there, by represented of Union, have Part. 23.

have every dignity and right of the peerage, except the privilege of litting in the house of lords, and some others depending thereon.

### DOUGLAS.

They have so :- but, when parliaments enjoy fuch a share in the government of a country as ours do at this time, to be perfonally there is a privilege and a dignity of the highest importance.

### ARGYLE.

I wish it had been possible to impact at to all But your reason will tell you it was not .-- And confider, my lord, that, till the Revolution in fixteen hundred and eighty-eight, the power vested by our government in the vorte of the Articles had made our parliaments much more subject to the influence of the grown than our elections are now. As now bertfon's the manner in which they were configured, Scotl. I. i. those lords were no less devoted to the king than his own privy council; and as no proposition could then be presented in parliament, if rejected by them; they gave him a negative before debate. This indeed was abouthed upon the accession of king William the Third, with many other oppressive and despotical powers, which had rendered out nobles abject flaves to the crown, while they were allowed to be tyrants over the people. But if king James, or his fon, had been the stored, the government he had exercised would

## JALOGSUE XXV.IC

would have been re-established: and nothing but the Union of the two kingdoms could have effectually prevented that restoration. We likewise owe to the Union the subsequent See Act for rendering abolition of the Scotch privy council, which the Union had been the most grievous engine of tyranny; of the two and that falutary law, which declared that more enno crimes, should be high treason or muspri-complete, fion of treason in Scotland, but such as were anno regine Annæ fo in England; and gave us the English meterato. thods of trial in cases of that nature: whereas, before, there were so many species of treasons, the construction of them was so uncertain, and the trials were fo arbitrary, that no mad could be fafe from fuffering as a traitor bit By Sec Act for improving the same act of parliament, we also retthe Union ceived a communication of that noble privi- of the two kingdoms, lege of the English, exemption from torture; anno septia privilege, which though effential both to mo Anne humanity and to justice, no other nation in Europe, not even the freest republicks, can boast of possessing. Shall we than take offence at some inevitable circumstances, which may be objected to, on our part, in the treaty See Roberton's of Union, when it has delivered us from History of Awery, and all the world evils that a frate 1, viii. and and fuffer half might be easily thewn that, Hume's bushis political and civil condition, every Charles II. hason in Scotland is much happier now, and c. 7. and much imore sindependent; than the higher c. i. sign and that conflitution of government which continued in Scotland even after the dollfulexs of governments he had sexercifed bluow

334

expulsion of king James the Second. The greatest enemies to the Union are the friends of that king, in whose reign, and in his brother's, the kingdom of Scotland was subjected to a despotism as arbitrary as that of France, and more tyrannically administered.

#### DOUGLAS.

All I have heard of those reigns makes me blush with indignation at the servility of our nobles, who could endure them so long. What then was become of that undaunted Scotch spirit, which had dared to resist the Plantagenets in the height of their power and pride? could the descendants of those, who had disdained to be subjects of Edward the First, submit to be slaves of Charles the Second, or James?

### ARGYLE.

They seemed in general to have lost every characteristick of their natural temper, except a desire to abuse the royal authority, for the gratistication of their private resentments in family quarrels.

### DOUGLAS.

Your grandfather, my lord, has the glory of not deserving this censure.

### ARGYLE.

I am proud that his spirit, and the principles he professed, drew upon him the injustice and fury of those times. But there needs SceHume's ho other proof than the nature and the man-Charles II. ner of his condemnation, to shew what a c. 7- wretched state our nobility then were in; and what an inestimable advantage it is to them, that they are now to be tried as peers See the A& of Great Britain, and have the benefit of of Union, those laws which imparted to us the equity and the freedom of the English constitution.

Upon the whole, as much as wealth is preferable to poverty, liberty to oppression, and national strength to national weakness; so much has Scotland incontestably gained by the Union. England too has fecured by it every publick bleffing which was before enjoyed by her, and has greatly augmented her strength. The martial spirit of the Scotch, their hardy bodies, their acute and vigorous minds, their industry, their activity, are now employed to the benefit of the whole island. He is now a bad Scotchman who is not a good Englishman, and he is a bad Englishman who is not a good Scotchman. Mutual intercourse, mutual interests, mutual benefits, must naturally be productive of mutual affection. And when that is established, when our hearts are fincerely united, many great things, which some remains of jealousy and distrust, or narrow local partialities, may hitherto have obstructed, will be done for the good of the whole united kingdom. How much may the revenues of Great Britain be encreafed of industry, and of commerce, in Scotland! what a mighty addition to the stock of national wealth will arise from the improvement of our most northern counties, which are infinitely capable of being improved! The briars and thorns are in a great measure grubbed up: the slowers and fruits may soon be planted. And what more pleasing, or what more glorious employment, can any government have, than to attend to the cultivating of such a plantation?

#### DOUGLAS.

The prospect you open to me of happiness to my country appears so fair, that it makes me amends for the pain with which I resect on the times wherein I lived, and indeed on our whole history for several ages.

### ARGYLE.

That history does, in truth, present to the mind a long series of the most direful objects, assaids affinations, rebellions, anarchy, tyranny; and religion itself, either cruel, or gloomy and unsocial. An historian, who would paint it in its true colours, must take the pencil of Guercino or Salvator Rosa. But the most agreeable imagination can hardly figure to itself a more pleasing scene of private and publick felicity, than will naturally result from the Union, if all the prejudices against

if, and all diffrictions that that the tend, where ther fide, to keep up an idea of separate in terests, or to fevive a final radioandranee of national affiliabilities, can be femoved.

. - imi gaing of Galuage

If they ran be removed! I think it impossible they have be removed! I think it impossible they have been against nature. She has joined the two countries; has fenced them both with the sea, against the invasion of all other nations; but has laid them tirely open the one to the other. Accursed he he who endeavours to divide them!—What God has joined, let no man put asunder:

### THREE

## DIALOGUES,

BY ANOTHER HAND.

### [ 341 ]

### DIALOGUE XXVI.

### CADMUS-HERCULES.

### HERCULES.

O you pretend to fit as high on Olympus as Hercules? did you kill the Nemean lion, the Erymanthian boar, the Lernean ferpent, and Stymphalian birds? did you destroy tyrants and robbers? You value yourself greatly on subduing one serpent: I did as much as that while I lay in my cradle.

### CADMUS.

It is not on account of the ferpent I boast myself a greater benefactor to Greece than you. Actions should be valued by their utility rather than their eclat. I taught Greece the art of writing, to which laws owe their precision and permanency. You subdued monsters; I civilized men. It is from untamed passions, not from wild beasts, that the greatest evils arise to human society. By wisdom, by art, by the united strength of civil community, men have been enabled to subdue the whole race of lions, bears, and ferpents; and, what is more, to bind in laws and wholefome regulations the ferocious violence and dangerous treachery of the human difposition. Had lions been destroyed only in fingle  $\mathbf{Z}_{3}$ 

fingle combat, men would have had but a bad time of it; and what but laws could awe the men who killed the lions? The genuine glory, the proper distinction, of the rational species, arises from the perfection of the mental powers. Courage is apt to be fierce, and strength is often exerted in acts of oppression. But wisdom is the affociate of justice; it affists her to form equal laws, to pursue right meafures, to correct power, protect weakness, and to unite individuals in a common interest and general welfare. Heroes may kill tyrants: but it is wisdom and laws that prevent tyranny and oppression. The operations of policy far furpals the labours of Hercules, preventing many evils which valour and might cannot even redrefs. You heroes consider nothing but glory, and hardly regard whether the conquests which raise your fame be really beneficial to your country. Unhappy are the people who are governed by valour, not directed by prudence, and not initigated by the gentle arts!

### HERCULES.

I do not expect to find an admirer of my strenuous life in the man who taught his countrymen to fit still, and read, and to lose the hours of youth and action in idle speculation and the sport of worlds.

#### CADMUS.

An ambition to have a place in the registers of fame is the Eurystheus which imposes heroick labours on mankind. The Muses incite to action, as well as entertain the hours of repose; and, I think, you should honour them, for presenting to heroes such a noble recreation, as may prevent their taking up the distaff, when they lay down the club.

### HERCULES.

Wits as well as heroes can take up the distaff. What think you of their thin-spuri systems of philosophy, or lascivious poems, or Milesian fables? Nay, what is still worse, are there not panegyricks on tyrants, and books that blaspheme the gods, and perplex the natural sense of right and wrong? I believe, if Eurystheus were to set me to work again, he would find me a worse task than any he imposed; he would make me read through a great library; and I would ferve it as I did the Hydra; I would burn as I went on, that one chimera might not rife from another, to plague mankind. I should have valued myfelf more on clearing the library, than on cleanfing the Augean stables.

### CADMUS.

It is in those libraries only that the memory of your labours exists. The heroes of Marathon, the patriots of Thermopylæ, owe their

their immortality to me. All the wise institutions of lawgivers, and all the doctrines of sages, had perished in the ear, like a dream related, if letters had not preserved them. Oh, Hercules! it is not for the man who preserved Virtue to Pleasure to be an enemy to the Muses. Let Sardanapalus and the tilken sons of luxury, who have wasted life in inglorious ease, despise the records of actions which bear no honourable testimony to their lives. But true merit, heroick virtue, each genuine offspring of immortal Jove, should honour the sacred source of lasting same.

#### HERCULES.

Indeed, if writers employed themselves only in recording the acts of great men, much might be said in their favour. But why do they trouble people with their meditations? can it signify to the world what an idle man has been thinking?

#### CADMUS.

Yes, it may. The most important and extensive advantages mankind enjoy are greatly owing to men who have never quitted their closets. To them mankind is obliged for the facility and security of navigation. The invention of the compass has opened to them new worlds. The knowledge of the mechanical powers has enabled them to confiruct such wonderful machines, as perform what

what the united labour of millions by the feverest drudgery could not accomplish. Agriculture too, the most useful of arts, has received its share of improvement from the fame fource. Poetry likewise is of excellent use, to enable the memory to retain with more ease, and to imprint with more energy upon the heart, precepts of virtue and virtuous actions. Since we left the world, from the little root of few letters, science has fpread its branches over all nature, and raifed its head to the heavens. Some philosophers have entered so far into the counsels of Divine Wisdom, as to explain much of the great operations of nature. The dimensions and distances of the planets, the causes of their revolutions, the path of comets, and the ebbing and flowing of tides, are underfrood and explained. Can any thing raise the glory of the human species more, than to fee a little creature, inhabiting a small fpot amidst innumerable worlds, taking a furvey of the universe, comprehending its arrangement, and entering into the scheme of that wonderful connexion and correspondence of things fo remote, and which it feems the utmost exertion of Omnipotence to have established? What a volume of wisdom, what a noble theology, do these discoveries open to us! While some superior geniuses have foared to these sublime subjects, other fagacious and diligent minds have been en-201 ( To y 2 **4** ) quiring

346

quiring into the most minute works of the infinite Artificer: the same care, the same providence, is exerted through the whole; and we should learn from it, that to true wisdom, utility and situes appear perfection, and whatever is beneficial is noble.

## HERCOLES: foviland

I approve of science, as far as it is assistant to action. I like the improvement of navigation, and the discovery of the greater part of the globe, because it opens a wider field for the master spirits of the world to bustin in.

#### CADMUS.

There spoke the soul of Hercules. But, if learned men be to be esteemed for the assistance they give to active minds in their schemes, they are not less to be valued for their endeavours to give them a right direction, and moderate their too great ardour. The study of history will teach the warrior and the legislator by what means armies bave been victorious, and states have become powerful; and in the private citizen, they will inculcate the love of liberty and order. The writings of sages point out a private path of virtue, and shew that the best empire is self-government, and subduing our passions the noblest of conquests.

#### HERCULES.

The true spirit of heroism acts by a fort of inspiration, and wants neither the experience of history, nor the doctrines of philosophers, to direct it. But do not arts and sciences render men esseminate, luxurious, and inactive? and can you deny that wit and learning are often made subservient to very bad purposes?

#### CADMUS.

I will own that there are some natures so happily formed, they hardly want the affiftance of a master and the rules of art. to give them force or grace in every thing they But these heaven-inspired geniuses are few. As learning flourishes only where ease, plenty, and mild government subsist; in so rich a foil, and under so soft a climate, the weeds of luxury will fpring up among the flowers of art: but the spontaneous weeds would grow more rank, if they were allowed the undisturbed possession of the field. Letters keep a frugal temperate nation from growing ferocious, a rich one from becoming entirely sensual and debauched. Every gift of the gods is sometimes abused; but wit and fine talents, by a natural law, gravitate toward virtue: accidents may drive them out of their Proper direction; but fuch accidents are a fort of prodigies; and, like other prodigies, it is an alarming omen, and of dire portent to the times.

times. For if Virtue cannot keep to her allegiance those men, who in their hearts confets her divine right, and know the value of her laws; on whose sidelity and obedience can the depend?—May such geniuses never descend to flatter vice, encourage Folly, or propagate Irreligion; but exert all their powers in the service of Virtue, and celebrate the noble choice of those who, like you, preferred her to Pleasure!

# DIALOGUE XXVII.

## MERCURY—And a Modern Fine LADY.

#### MRS. MODISH.

INDEED, Mr. Mercury, I cannot have the pleasure of waiting upon you now. I am engaged, absolutely engaged.

### MERCURY.

I know you have an amiable affectionate husband and several fine children; but you need not be told, that neither conjugal attachments, maternal affections, nor even the care of a kingdom's welfare or a nation's glory, can excuse a person who has received a summons to the realms of Death. If the grim messenger were not as peremptory as unwelcome, Charon would not get a passenger (except now and then an hypochondriacal Englishman) once in a century. You must be content to leave your husband and samily, and pass the Styx.

## MRS, MODISH.

I did not mean to infift on any engagement with my husband and children; I never thought myself engaged to them. I had no engagements but such as were common to women of my rank. Look on my chimney-piece; and you will see I was engaged to the play on Mondays, balls on Tuetdays, the opera on Saturdays, and to card-assemblies the

the rest of the week, for two months to come; and it would be the rudest thing in the world not to keep my appointments. If you will stay for me till the summer-season, I will wait on you with all my heart. Perhaps the Elysian fields may be less detestable than the country in our world. Pray have you a fine Vauxhall and Ranelagha I think I should not dislike drinking the Lethe waters when you have a full season.

#### MERCURY.

Surely you could not like to drink the waters of oblivion, who have made pleafure the business, end, and aim, of your life! It is good to drown cares: but who would wash away the remembrance of a life of gaiety and pleafure?

## MRS. MODISH.

Diversion was indeed the business of my life; but as to pleasure, I have enjoyed none since the novelty of my amusements was gone off. Can one be pleased with seeing the same thing over and over again? Late hours, and satigue gave me the vapours, spoiled the natural chearfulness of my temper, and even in youth wore away my youthful vivaeity.

## MERCURY.

If this way of life did not give you pleasure, so why did you continue in it? I suppose you did not think it was very meritorious.

#### MRS. MODISH.

I was too much engaged to think at all: fo far indeed my manner of life was agreeable enough. enough. My friends always told me diversions were necessary, and my doctor as fured me dissipation was good for my spirits; my husband insisted that it was not: and you know that one loves to oblige one's friends, comply with one's doctor, and contradict one's husband; and besides, I was ambitious to be thought du bon ton \*.

#### MERCURY.

Bon ton! what is that, Madam! Pray de-

#### MRS. MODISH.

Oh Sir, excuse me; it is one of the privileges of the bon ton, never to define, or be defined. It is the child and the parent of jargon. It is-I can never tell you what it is: but I will try to tell you what it is not. In conversation, it is not wit; in manners, it is not politeness; in behaviour, it is not address: but it is a little like them all. It can only belong to people of a certain rank, who live in a certain manner, with certain persons, who have not certain virtues, and who have certain vices, and who inhabit a certain part of the town. Like a place by courtefy, it gets an higher rank than the person can claim; but which those who have a legal title to precedency dare not dispute, for fear of being thought not to understand the rules of politeness. Now, Sir, I have

Ou bon ton is a cant phrase in the modern French language for the fashionable air of conversation and manners.

352

told you as much as I know of it, though I have admired and aimed at it all my life.

#### MERCURY.

Then, Madam, you have wasted your time, faded your beauty, and destroyed your health, for the laudable purposes of contradicting your husband, and being this something and this nothing called the bon ton.

MRS. MODISH.

What would you have had me do?

#### MERCURY.

I will follow your mode of inftructing. I will tell you what I would not have had you do. I would not have had you facrifice your time, your reason, and your duties, to fashion and folly. I would not have had you neglect your husband's happiness, and your childrens education.

#### MRS. MODISH.

As to the education of my daughters, I fpared no expence: they had a dancing-master, musick-master, and drawing-master; and a French governess, to teach them behaviour and the French language.

#### MERCURY.

So their religion, fentiments, and manners, were to be learnt from a dancing-mafter, mufick-mafter, and a chamber-maid! Perhaps they might prepare them to catch the bonton. Your daughters must have been so educated, as to sit them to be wives without conjugal affection, and mothers without maternal care. I am forry for the fort of life they are commencing, and

for that which you have just concluded. Minos is a sour old gentleman, without the least smattering of the bon ton; and I am in a fright for you. The best thing I can advise you is, to do in this world as you did in the other; keep happiness in your view, but never take the road that leads to it. Remain on this side Styx; wander about without end or aim; look into the Elysian fields; but never attempt to enter into them, lest Minos should push you into Tartarus: for duties neglected may bring on a sentence not much less severe than crimes committed.

## DIALOGUE XXVIII.

PLUTARCH—CHARON—And a modern
BOOKSELLER

#### CHARON.

HERE is a fellow who is very unwilling to land in our terrifories. He fays, he is rich, has a great deal of business in the other world, and must needs return to it: he is so troublesome and obstreperous, I know not what to do with him. Take him under your care therefore, good Plutarch; you will easily awe him into order and decency, by the superiority an author has over a bookfeller.

#### BOOKSELLER.

Am I got into a world so absolutely the reverse of that I left, that here authors domineer over booksellers? Dear Charon, let me go back, and I will pay any price for my passage. But, if I must stay, leave me not with any of those who are styled classical authors. As to you, Plutarch, I have a particular animosity against you, for having almost occasioned my ruin. When I sirst set up shop, understanding but little of business. I unadvisedly bought an edition of your lives; I unadvisedly bought an edition of your lives; I a pack of old Greeks and Romans, which

cost me a great sum of money. I could never get off above twenty setts of them. I sold a few to the Universities, and some to Eaton and Westminster; for it is reckoned a pretty book for boys and under-graduates; but, unless a man has the luck to light on a pedant, he shall not sell a sett of them in twenty years.

#### PLUTARCH.

From the merit of the subjects, I had hoped another reception for my works. will own indeed, that I am not always perfeetly accurate in every circumstance, nor do I give so exact and circumstantial a detail of the actions of my heroes, as may be expected from a biographer who has confined himself to one or two characters. A zeal to preserve the memory of great men, and to extend the influence of fuch noble examples, made me undertake more than I could accomplish in the first degree of perfection: but furely the characters of my illustrious men are not so imperfectly sketched, that they will not stand forth to all ages as patterns of virtue, and incitements to glory. My reflections are allowed to be deep and fagacious; and what can be more useful to a reader than a wife man's judgement on a great man's conduct? In my writings, you will find no rash censures, no undeserved encomiums, no mean compliance with popular opinions, no vain oftentation of critical skill,

nor any affected finesse. In my parallels, which used to be admired as pieces of excellent judgement, I compare with perfect impartiality one great man with another, and each with the rule of justice. If indeed latter ages have produced greater men and better writers, my heroes and my works ought to give place to them. As the world has now the advantage of much better rules of morality than the unaffifted reason of poor Pagans could form, I do not wonder that those vices, which appeared to us as mere blemishes in great characters, should seem most horrid deformities in the purer eyes of the prefent age: a delicacy I do not blame, but admire and commend. And I must censure you for endeavouring, if you could publish better examples, to obtrude on your countrymen such as were defective. I rejoice at the preference which they give to perfect and unallayed virtue; and as I shall ever retain an high veneration for the illustrious men of every age, I should be glad you would give me fome account of those persons, who, in wisdom, justice, valour, patriotism, have eclipfed my Solon, Numa, Camillus, and other boafts of Greece or Rome.

## BOOK SELLER.

Why, master Plutarch, you are talking Greek indeed. That work which repaired the loss I sustained by the costly edition of your

your books, was, The lives of the Highwaymen: but I should never have grown rich, if it had not been by publishing the lives of men that never lived. You must know, that though in all times it was possible to have a great deal of learning and very little wifdom, yet it is only by a modern improvement in the art of writing, that a man may read all his life, and have no learning or knowledge at all; which begins to be an advantage of the greatest importance. There is as natural a war between your men of science and fools, as between the cranes and the pigmics of old. Most of our young men having deferted to the fools, the party of the learned is near being beaten out of the field; and I hope in a little while they will not dare to peep out of their forts and fastnesses at Oxford and Cambridge. There let them stay and study old musty moralists, till one fall in love with the Greek, another with the Roman virtue: but our men of the world should read our new books, which teach them to have no virtue at all. No book is fit for a gentleman's reading, which is not void of facts and of doctrines, that he may not grow a pedant in his morals or conversation. I look upon history (I mean real history) to be one of the worst kinds of study. Whatever has happened may happen again; and a well-bred man may unwarily mention a parallel instance She had met with in history, and be betrayed A a 3

into the aukwardness of introducing into his discourse a Greek, a Roman, or even a Gothick name. But when a gentleman has fpent his time in reading adventures that never occurred, exploits that never were atchieved, and events that not only never did, but never can happen, it is impossible that in life or in discourse he should ever apply them. A fecret bistory, in which there is no fecret and no history, cannot tempt Indiscretion to blab, or Vanity to quote; and by this means modern conversation flows gentle and easy, unincumbered with matter, and unburthened of instruction. As the present studies throw no weight or gravity into discourse and manners, the women are not afraid to read our books, which not only dispose to gallantry and coquetry, but give rules for them. Cæfar's Commentaries and the account of Xenophon's expedition are not more studied by military commanders, than our novels are by the fair: to a different purpose indeed; for their military maxims teach to conquer, ours to yield; those inflame the vain and idle love of glory, these inculcate a noble contempt of reputation. The women have greater obligations to our writers than the men. By the commerce of the world. men might learn much of what they get from books; but the poor women, who in their early youth are confined and restrained, if it were not for the friendly affistance of books, would 199 p \$1.00

would remain long in an infipid purity of mind, with a discouraging reserve of behaviour.

#### PLUTARCH.

As to your men who have quitted the study of virtue for the study of vice, useful truth for absurd fancy, and real history for monstrous section, I have neither regard nor compassion for them: but I am concerned for the women who are betrayed into these dangerous studies; and I wish for their sakes I had expandiated more on the character of Lucretia and some other heroines.

#### BOOKSELLER.

I tell you, our women do not read in order to live or to die like Lucretia. If you would inform us, that a billet-doux was found in her cabinet after her death, or give an hint as if Tarquin really faw her in the arms of a flave; and that she killed herself, not to fuffer the shame of a discovery; such anecdotes would fell very well. Or if, even by tradition, but better still if by papers in the Portian family, you could shew some probability that Portia died of dram-drinking; you would oblige the world very much; for you must know, that, next to new-invented characters, we are fond of new lights upon ancient characters; I mean, such lights as thew a reputed honest man to have been a concealed knave; an illustrious hero a pitiful coward. Aa4

coward, &c. Nay, we are so fond of these kinds of information, as to be pleased sometimes to see a character cleared from a vice or crime it has been charged with, provided the person concerned be actually dead. But in this case, the evidence must be authentick, and amount to a demonstration: in the other, a detection is not necessary; a slight suspicion will do, if it concerns a really good and great character.

#### PLUTARCH.

I am the more furprized at what you fay of the taste of your contemporaries, as I met with a Frenchman, who assured me that less than a century ago he had written a muchadmired life of Cyrus under the name of Artamenes, in which he ascribed to him far greater actions than those recorded of him by Xenophon and Herodotus; and that many of the great heroes of history had been treated in the same manner; that empires were gained and battles decided by the valour of a single man, imagination bestowing what nature has denied, and the system of human affairs rendered impossible.

## BOOKSELLER.

I affure you, these books were very useful to the authors and their booksellers: and for whose benefit besides should a man write? These romances were very fashionable, and had a great sale: they sell in luckily with the humour of the age.

#### PLUTARCH.

Monsieur Scuderi tells me, they were written in the times of vigour and spirit, in the evening of the gallant days of chivalry, which, though then declining, had left in the hearts of men a warm glow of courage and heroism; and they were to be called to books, as to battle, by the found of the trumpet: he fays too, that, if writers had not accommodated themselves to the prejudices of the age, and written of bloody battles and desperate encounters, their works would have been esteemed too effeminate an amusement for gentlemen. Histories of chivalry, instead of enervating, tend to invigorate the mind, and endeavour to raise human nature above the condition which is naturally prescribed to it; but as strict justice, patriot motives, prudent counsels, and a dispassionate choice of what upon the whole is fittest and best, do not direct these heroes of romance, they cannot ferve for instruction and example, like the great characters of true history. It has ever been my opinion, that only the clear and fleady light of truth can guide men to virtue, and that the lesson which is impracticable must be unuseful. Whoever shall design to regulate his conduct by these visionary characters will be in the condition of superstitious people, who chuse rather to act by intimations they receive in the dreams of the night, than by the fober counfels of morning meditation.

362

Yet, I confess, it has been the practice of many nations to incite men to virtue by relating the deeds of fabulous heroes; but surely it is the custom only of yours to incite them to vice by the history of fabulous scoundrels. Men of fine imagination have soared into the regions of fancy to bring back Astrea: you go thither in search of Pandora—O disgrace to letters! O shame to the Muses!

#### BOOKSELLER.

You express great indignation at our present race of writers; but, believe me, the fault lies chiefly on the fide of the readers. Monsieur Scuderi observed to you, authors must comply with the manners and dispofition of those who are to read them. must be a certain sympathy between the book and the reader, to create a good liking. Would you present a modern fine gentleman, who is negligently lolling in an easy chair, with the labours of Hercules for his recreation? or make him climb the Alps with Hannibal, when he is expiring with the fatigue of last night's ball? Our readers must be amused, flattered, soothed; such adventures must be offered to them as they would like to have a share in.

#### PLUTARCH.

It should be the first object of writers, to correct the vices and follies of the age. I will allow as much compliance with the mode

mode of the times as will make truth and good morals agreeable. Your love of fictitious characters might be turned to good purpose, if those presented to the publick were to be formed on the rules of religion and morality. It must be confessed, that history, being employed only about illustrious persons, publick events, and celebrated actions, does not supply us with such instances of domestick merit as one could wish: heroes are great in the field and the fenate. and act well in great scenes on the theatre of the world: but the idea of a man, who in the filent retired path of life never deviates into vice, who confiders no spectator but the omniscient Being, and folicits no applause but bis approbation, is the noblest model that can be exhibited to mankind, and would be of the most general use. Examples of domestick virtue would be more particularly useful to women than those of great heroines. The virtues of women are blafted by the breath of publick fame, as flowers that grow on an eminence are faded by the fun and wind, which expand them. But true female praise, like the musick of the spheres, arises from a gentle, a constant, and an equal progress in the path marked out for them by their great Creator; and, like the heavenly harmony, it is not adapted to the gross ear of mortals, but is referved for the delight of higher beings, by whose wise laws they were ordained to give

give a filent light, and shed a mild benignant influence on the world.

#### BOOKSELLER.

We have had some English and French writers who aimed at what you suggest. In the supposed character of Clarissa, (said a clergyman to me a few days before I lest the world) one finds the dignity of heroism tempered by the meekness and humility of religion, a perfect purity of mind, and sanctity of manners: in that of Sir Charles Grandison, a noble pattern of every private virtue, with sentiments so exalted as to render him equal to every publick duty.

#### PLUTARCH.

Are both these characters by the same author?

#### BOOKSELLER.

Ay, master Plutarch; and what will surprize you more, this author has printed for me.

#### PLUTARCH.

By what you fay, it is pity he should print any work but his own. Are there no other authors who write in this manner?

#### BOOKSELLER.

Yes, we have another writer of these imaginary histories; one who has not long since descended to these regions: his name is Fielding; and his works, as I have heard the best

best judges say, have a true spirit of comedy. and an exact representation of nature, with fine moral touches. He has not indeed given lessons of pure and consummate virtue; but he has exposed vice and meanness with all the powers of ridicule: and we have fome other good wits, who have exerted their talents to the purposes you approve. Monfieur de Mariyaux and fome other French writers have also proceeded much upon the fame plan, with a spirit and elegance which give their works no mean rank among the belles lettres. I will own that, when there is wit and entertainment enough in a book to make it fell, it is not the worse for good morals.

#### CHARON.

I think, Plutarch, you have made this gentleman a little more humble; and now I will carry him the rest of his journey. But he is too frivolous an animal to present to I wish Mercury were here: he wife Minos. would damn him for his dulnefs. good mind to carry him to the Danaides, and leave him to pour water into their veffels, which, like his late readers, are destined to eternal emptiness. Or shall I chain him to the rock, fide to fide by Prometheus, not for having attempted to steal celestial fire, order to animate human forms, but for having endeavoured to extinguish that which Jupiter had imparted? or shall we constitute

him friseur to Tisiphone, and make him curl up her locks with his satires and libels?

#### PLUTARCH.

Minos does not esteem any thing frivolous that affects the morals of mankind; he punishes authors, as guilty of every fault they have countenanced, and every crime they have encouraged; and denounces heavy vengeance for the injuries which virtue or the virtuous have suffered in consequence of their writings.

# The state of the s

# DIALOGUES,

(Not printed in the Three First 8vo Editions)

BY

The AUTHOR of the

FIRST TWENTY-FIVE.

# [ 369 ]

# DIALOGUE XXIX.

Publius Cornelius Scipio Africanus.
—Caius Julius Cæsar.

### SCIPIO.

ALAS, Cæsar! how unhappily did you end a life, made illustrious by the greatest exploits in war, and most various civil talents!

CÆSAR.

Can Scipio wonder at the ingratitude of Rome to her generals? did not he reproach her with it in the epitaph he ordered to be inscribed upon his tomb at Liternum, that mean village in Campania, to which she had driven the conqueror of Hannibal and of Carthage? I also, after subduing her most dangerous enemies, the Helvetians. the Gauls, and the Germans, after raising her name to the highest pitch of glory, should have been deprived of my province, reduced to live as a private man, under the power of my enemies and the enviers of my greatness; nay, brought to a trial, and condemned by the judgement of a faction, if I had not led my victorious troops to Rome, and, by their affiftance, after all my Vol. II. B b offers

170

offers of peace had been iniquitously rejected, made myself master of a state, which knew so ill how to recompense superior merit. Resentment of this, together with the secret machinations of envy, produced not long afterwards a conspiracy of senators, and even of some whom I had most obliged and loved, against my life, which they basely took away by assassination.

#### SCIPIO.

You say you led your victorious troops to Rome.—How were they your troops? I thought the Roman armies had belonged to the republick, not to their generals.

#### CÆSAR.

They did so in your time. But, before I came to command them, Marius and Sylla had taught them, that they belonged to their generals. And I taught the senate, that a veteran army, affectionately attached to its leader, could give him all the treasures and honours of the state, without asking their leave.

#### SCIPIO.

Just gods! Did I then deliver my country from the invading Carthaginian, did I exalt it by my victories above all other nations, that it might become a richer prey to its own rebel foldiers, and their ambitious commanders?

#### CÆSAR.

How could it be otherwise? was it possible that the conquerors of Europe, Asia, and Africk, could tamely submit to descend from their triumphal chariots, and become subject to the authority of prætors and consuls, elected by a populace corrupted by bribes, or enslaved to a confederacy of factious nobles, who, without regard to merit, considered all the offices and dignities of the state as hereditary possessions belonging to their families?

#### SCIPIO:

If I thought it no dishonour, after triumphing over Hannibal, to lay down my fasces, and obey, as all my ancestors had done before me, the magistrates of the republick; such a conduct would not have dishonoured either Marius, or Sylla, or Cæsar. But you all dishonoured yourselves, when, instead of virtuous Romans, superior to your fellow-citizens in merit and glory, but equal to them in a due subjection to the laws, you became the enemies, the invaders, and the tyrants, of your country.

#### CÆSAR.

Was I the enemy of my country, in giving it a ruler fit to support all the majesty and weight of its empire? did I invade it, when I marched to deliver the people from the usurped dominion and insolence of a few senators? was I a tyrant, because I would B b 2

not crouch under Pompey, and let him be thought my fuperior, when I felt he was not my equal?

#### SCIPIO.

Pompey had given you a noble example of moderation, in twice difiniffing the armies, at the head of which he had performed such illustrious actions, and returning, a private citizen, into the bosom of his country.

#### CÆSAR.

His moderation was a cheat. He believed that the authority his victories had gained him would make him effectually master of the commonwealth, without the help of those armies. But, finding it difficult to subdue the united opposition of Crassus and me, he leagued himself with us; and, in consequence of that league, we three governed the empire. But, after the death of Crassus, my glorious atchievements in subduing the Gauls raised such a jealousy in him, that he could no longer endure me as a partner in his power, nor could I submit to degrade myself into his subject.

#### SCIPIO.

Am I then to understand, that the civit war you engaged in was really a mere contest, whether you or Pompey should remain fole lord of Rome?

#### CÆSAR.

Not so-for I offered, in my letters to the See Plufenate, to lay down my arms, if Pompey Suetonius at the same time would lay down his, and faris. leave the republick in freedom. Nor did I catar resolve to draw the sword, till not only the Comment. fenate, overpowered by the fear of Pompey Civili, and his troops, had rejected these offers; but two tribunes of the people, for legally and justly interposing their authority in my behalf, had been forced to fly from Rome, difguifed in the habit of flaves, and take refuge in my camp, for the fafety of their persons. My camp was therefore the asylum of perfecuted liberty; and my army fought to avenge the violation of the rights and majesty of the people, as much as to defend the dignity of their general unjustly oppreffed.

#### SCIPIO.

You would therefore have me think that you contended for the equality and liberty of the Romans, against the tyranny of Pompey and his lawlets adherents. In fuch a war I myself, if I had lived in your times, would have willingly been your lieutenant. Tell me then, on the iffue of this honourable en+ terprize, when you had fubdued all your foes, and had no opposition remaining to obstruct your intentions, did you establish that liberty for which you fought? did you

restore the republick to what it was in my

#### CÆSAR.

I took the necessary measures to secure to myself the fruits of my victories; and gave a head to the empire, which could neither subsist without one, nor find another so well suited to the greatness of the body.

### SCIPIO.

There the true character of Cæsar was seen unmasked.—You had managed so skilfully in the measures which preceded the civil war, your offers were so specious, and there appeared so much violence in the conduct of your enemies, that, if you had fallen in that war, posterity might have doubted whether you were not a victim to the interests of your country. But your success, and the despotism you afterwards exercised, took off those disguises, and shewed clearly that the aim of all your actions was tyranny.

## CÆSAR.

Let us us not deceive ourselves with founds and names.—That great minds should aspire to sovereign power, is a fixed law of nature. It is an injury to mankind, if the highest abilities be not placed in the highest stations. Had you, Scipio, been kept down by the republican jealousy of Cato the censor, Hannibal would have never been recalled out of Italy, nor deseated in Africk. And if I had

not been treacherously murdered by the daggers of Brutus and Crassus, my sword would have revenged the defeat of Crassus, and added the empire of Parthia to that of Rome. Nor was my government tyrannical. It was mild, humane, and bounteous. The world would have been happy under it, and wished its continuance: but my death broke the pillars of the publick tranquillity, and brought upon the whole empire a direful scene of calamity and confusion.

#### SCIPIO.

You say that great minds will naturally aspire to sovereign power. But, if they are good as well as great, they will regulate their ambition by the laws of their country. The laws of Rome permitted me to aspire to the conduct of the war against Carthage; but they did not permit you to turn her arms against herself, and subject her to your will. The breach of one law of liberty is a greater evil to a nation than the loss of a province; and, in my opinion, the conquest of the whole world would not be enough to compensate for the total loss of their freedom.

### CÆSAR.

You talk finely, Africanus.—But alk yourfelf, whether the height and dignity of your mind, that noble pride which accompanies the magnanimity of a hero, could always Bb4 stoop

stoop to a nice conformity with the laws of your country? Is there a law of liberty more effential, more facred, than that which obliges every member of a free community to submit himself to a trial, upon a legal charge brought against him for a publick misdemeanour? In what manner did you answer a regular accusation from a tribune of the people, who charged you with embezzling the money of the state? You told your judges, that on that day you had vanquished Hannibal and Carthage, and bad them follow you to the temples to give thanks to the gods. Nor could you ever be brought to stand a legal trial, or justify those accounts which you had torn in the senate, when they were ques-tioned there by two magistrates in the name or the Roman people. Was this acting like the subject of a free state? had your victory procured you an exemption from justice? had it given into your hands the money of the republick without account? If it had, you were king of Rome. Pharfalia, Thapfus, and Munda, could do no more for me.

#### SCIPIO.

I did not question the right of bringing me to a trial; but I disdained to plead in vindication of a character so unspotted as some. My whole life had been an answer to that infamous charge,

#### CÆSAR.

It may be so: and, for my part, I admire the magnanimity of your behaviour. But I should condemn it as repugnant and destructive to liberty, if I did not pay more respect to the dignity of a great general, than to the forms of a democracy, or the rights of a tribune.

#### SCIPIO.

You are endeavouring to confound my Suetonius, cause with yours; but they are exceedingly in Cariana different. You apprehended a sentence of condemnation against you for some part of your conduct, and, to prevent it, made an impious war on your country, and reduced her to servitude. I trusted the justification of my affronted innocence to the opinion of my judges, scorning to plead for myself against a charge unsupported by any other proof than bare suspicions and surmises. But I made no resistance: I kindled no civil war: I lest Rome undisturbed in the enjoyment of her liberty. Had the malice of my accusers been ever so violent, had it threatened my destruction; I should have chosen much pather to turn my sword against my own bosom, than against that of my country.

## CÆSAR.

You beg the question, in supposing that I really hurt my country by giving her a master. When Cato advised the senate to make Pompey sole conful, he did it upon this principle,

Plu- principle, that any kind of government is pre-læfar. ferable to anarchy. The truth of this, I prefume, no man of fense will contest; and the anarchy, which that zealous defender of liberty fo much apprehended, would have continued in Rome, if that power, which the urgent necessity of the state conferred upon me, had not removed it.

#### SCIPIO.

Pompey and you had brought that anarchy on the state, in order to serve your own ends. It was owing to the corruption, the factions, and the violence, which you had encouraged, from an opinion that the fenate would be forced to submit to an absolute power in your hands, as a remedy against those intolerable evils. But Cato judged well, in thinking it eligible to make Pompey fole conful, rather than your dictator; because experience had shewn, that Pompey respected the forms of the Roman constitution; and, though he fought, by bad means as well as good, to obtain the highest magistracies and the most honourable commands, yet he laid them down again, and contented himself with remaining superior in credit to any other citizen.

#### CÆSAR.

If all the difference between my ambition and Pompey's were only, as you represent it, in a greater or less respect for the forms of the

constitution; I think it was hardly becoming such a patriot as Cato to take part in our quarrel, much less to kill himself rather than yield to my power.

#### SCIPIO.

It is easier to revive the spirit of liberty in a government where the forms of it remain unchanged, than where they have been totally disregarded and abolished. But I readily own, that the balance of the Roman constitution had been destroyed by the excessive and illegal authority, which the people were induced to confer upon Pompey, before any extraordinary honours or commands had been demanded by you. And that is, I think, your best excuse.

## CÆSAR.

Yes, furely.—The favourers of the Manilian law had an ill grace in desiring to limit the commissions I obtained from the people, according to the rigour of certain absolute republican laws, no more regarded in my time than the Sibylline oracles, or the pious institutions of Numa.

## SCIPIO.

It was the misfortune of your time, that they were not regarded. A virtuous man would not take from a deluded people such favours as they ought not to bestow. I have a right to say this, because I chid the Roman people, when, over-heated by gratitude for the

3

the services I had done them, they desired to us, make me perpetual conful and dictator. Hear xxviii. this, and blush.—What I resused to accept, you snatched by force.

#### CÆSAR.

Tiberius Gracchus reproached you with the inconsistency of your conduct, when, after refusing these offers, you so little respected the Tribunitian authority. But thus it must happen. We are naturally fond of the idea of liberty, till we come to suffer by it, or find it an impediment to some predominant passion; and then we wish to controul it, as you did most despotically, by refusing to submit to the justice of the state.

### SCIPIO.

I have answered before to that charge. Tiberius Gracchus himself, though my perfonal enemy, thought it became him to stop the proceedings against me; not for my sake, but for the honour of my country, whose dignity suffered with mine. Nevertheless, I acknowledge my conduct in that business was not absolutely blameless. The generous pride of virtue was too strong in my mind. It made me forget I was creating a dangerous precedent, in declining to plead to a legal accusation, brought against me by a magistrate invested with the majesty of the whole

whole Roman people. It made me unjustly accuse my country of ingratitude, when she had shewn herself grateful even beyond the true bounds of policy and justice, by not inflicting upon me any penalty for so irregular a proceeding. But, at the same time, what a proof did I give of moderation and respect for her liberty, when my utmost resentment could impel me to nothing more violent than a voluntary retreat, and quiet banishment of myself from the city of Rome! Scipio Africanus, offended, and living a private man, in a country-house at Liternum, was an example of more use to secure the equality of the Roman commonwealth, than all the power of its tribunes.

### CÆSAR.

I would rather have been thrown down the Tarpeian rock, than have retired, as you did, to the obscurity of a village, after acting the first part on the greatest theatre of the world.

# SCIPIO.

An usurper exalted on the highest throne of the universe is not so glorious as I was in that obscure retirement. I hear indeed, that you, Cæsar, have been deissed by the slattery of some of your successors. But the impartial judgement of history has consecrated my name, and ranks me in the first class of heroes

heroes and patriots: whereas the highest praise her records, even under the dominion usurped by your family, have given to you, is, that your courage and talents were equal to the object your ambition aspired to, the empire of the world; and that you exercised a sovereignty unjustly acquired with a magnanimous clemency. But it would have been better for your country, and better for mankind, if you had never existed.

# DIALOGUE XXX.

# PLATO-DIOGENES.

### DIOGENES.

PLATO, stand off.—A true philosopher, as I was, is no company for a courtier of the tyrant of Syracuse. I would avoid you, as one insected with the most noisome of plagues, the plague of slavery.

## PLATO.

He, who can mistake a brutal pride and favage indecency of manners for freedom, may naturally think that the being in a court (however virtuous one's conduct, however free one's language there) is flavery. But I was taught by my great master, the incomparable Socrates, that the business of true philosophy is to consult and promote the happiness of society. She must not therefore be confined to a tub or a cell. Her sphere is in fenates, or the cabinets of kings. While your fect is employed in fnarling at the great, or buffooning with the vulgar; she is counfeling those who govern nations, infusing into their minds humanity, justice, temperance, and the love of true glory, resisting their passions when they transport them be-yond the bounds of virtue, and fortifying their reason by the antidotes she administers against the poison of flattery.

### DIOGENES.

You mean to have me understand, that you went to the court of the Younger Dionysius, to give him antidotes against the poison of flattery. But I say, he sent for you only to sweeten the cup, by mixing it more agreeably, and rendering the flavour more delicate. His vanity was too nice for the nauseous common draught; but your seasoning gave it a relish, which made it go down most delightfully, and intoxicated him more than ever. Oh! there is no flatterer half so dangerous to a prince as a fawning philosopher!

### PLATO.

If you call it fawning, that I did not treat him with fuch unmannerly rudeness as you did Alexander the Great when he visited you at Athens, I have nothing to fay. But, in truth, I made my company agreeable to him, not for any ends which regarded only myfelf, but that I might be useful both to him and to his people. I endeavoured to give a right turn to his vanity; and know, Diogenes, that whoever will ferve mankind, but more especially princes, must compound with their weaknesses, and take as much pains to gain them over to virtue by an honest and prudent complaifance, as others do to seduce them from it by a criminal add lation.

#### DIOGENES.

A little of my fagacity would have shewn you, that, if this was your purpose, your labour was lost in that court. Why did you not go and preach chastity to Lais? A philosopher in a brothel, reading lectures on the beauty of continence and decency, is not a more ridiculous animal, than a philosopher in the cabinet, or at the table of a tyrant, descanting on liberty and publick spirit! What effect had the lessons of your famous disciple Aristotle upon Alexander the Great, a prince far more capable of receiving instruction than the Younger Dionysius? did they hinder him from killing his best friend, Clitus, for speaking to him with freedom; or from fancying himfelf a god, because he was adored by the wretched flaves he had vanquished? When I defired him not to stand between me and the sun, I humbled his pride more, and consequently did him more good, than Aristotle had done by all his former precepts.

#### PLATO.

Yet he owed to those precepts, that, not-withstanding his excesses, he appeared not unworthy the empire of the world. Had the tutor of his youth gone with him into Asia, and continued always at his ear, the authority of that wise and virtuous man Vol. II. C c might

386

might have been able to stop him, even in the riot of conquest, from giving way to those passions which dishonoured his character.

#### DIOGENES.

If he had gone into Asia, and had not flattered the king as obsequiously as Hæphestion, he would, like Callisthenes, whom he sent thither as his deputy, have been put to death for high treason. The man who will not flatter must live independent, as I did, and prefer a tub to a palace.

#### PLATO.

Do you pretend, Diogenes, that, because you were never in a court, you never flattered? How did you gain the affection of the people of Athens, but by foothing their ruling passion, the desire of hearing their superiors abused? Your cynic railing was to them the most acceptable flattery. This you well understood; and made your court to the vulgar, always envious and malignant, by trying to lower all dignity and confound all order: you made your court, I fay, as fervilely, and with as much offence to virtue. as the basest flatterer ever did to the most corrupted prince. But true philosophy will disdain to act either of these parts. Neither in the affemblies of the people, nor in the cabinets

cabinets of kings, will she obtain favour by fomenting any bad dispositions. If her endeavours to do good prove unfuccessful, she will retire with honour; as an honest phyfician departs from the house of a patient, whose distemper he finds incurable, or who refuses to take the medicines he prescribes. But if the fucceeds; if, like the mufick of Orpheus, her fweet perfuafions can mitigate the ferocity of the multitude, and tame their minds to a due obedience to laws and reverence for magistrates; or if she can form a Timoleon, or a Numa Pompilius, to the government of a state; how meritorious is the work! One king, nay, one minister, or counsellor of state, imbued with her precepts, is of more value than all the speculative, retired philosophers, or cynical revilers of princes and magistrates, that ever lived upon earth.

### DIOGENES.

Don't tell me of the musick of Orpheus, and of his taming wild beasts. A wild beast brought to crouch and lick the hand of a master is a much viler animal than he was in his natural state of serocity. You seem to think that the business of philosophy is to polish men into slaves; but I say, it is to teach them to affert, with an untamed and generous spirit, their independence and freedom.

C c 2 You

You profess to instruct those who want to ride their fellow-creatures, how to do it with an easy and gentle rein; but I would have them thrown off, and trampled under the feet of all their desuded or insulted equals, on whose backs they have mounted. Which of us two is the truess friend to mankind?

#### PLATO.

According to your notions, all government is destructive to liberty; but I think that no liberty can substitute without government. A state of society is the natural state of mankind. They are impelled to it, by their wants, their infirmities, their affections. The laws of society are rules of life and action necessary to secure their happiness in that state. Government is the due enforcing of those laws. That government is the best, which does this most effectually and most equally; and that people is the freest, which is most submissively obedient to such a government.

### DIOGENES.

Shew me the government which makes no other use of its power than duly to enforce the laws of society, and I will own it is entitled to the most absolute submission from all its subjects.

### PLATO.

I cannot shew you perfection in human institutions. It is far more easy to blame them than it is to amend them. Much may be wrong in the best: but a good man respects the laws and the magistrates of his country.

### DIOGENES.

As for the laws of my country, I did so far respect them, as not to philosophize to the prejudice of the first and greatest principle of nature and of wisdom, self-preservation. Though I loved to prate about high matters as well as Socrates, I did not chuse to drink hemlock after his example. But you might as well have bid me love an ugly woman because she was drest up in the gown of Lais, as respect a sool or a knave because he was attired in the robe of a magistrate.

### PLATO.

All I desired of you was, not to amuse yourself and the populace by throwing dirt upon the robe of a magistrate, merely because he wore that robe, and you did not.

# DIOGENES.

A philosopher cannot better display his wisdom, than by throwing contempt on that C c 3 pageantry,

390

pageantry, which the ignorant multitude gaze at with a fenfeless veneration.

#### PLATO.

He who tries to make the multitude venerate nothing is more fenfeless than they. Wise men have endeavoured to excite an awful reverence in the minds of the vulgar for external ceremonies and forms, in order to secure their obedience to religion and government, of which these are the symbols. Can a philosopher desire to defeat that good purpose?

#### DIOGENES.

Ycs, if he see it abused, to support the evil purposes of superstition and tyranny.

# PLATO,

May not the abuse be corrected, without losing the benefit? is there no difference between reformation and destruction?

#### DIOGENES.

Half-measures do nothing. He who desires to reform, must not be asraid to pull down.

### PLATO.

I know that you and your feet are for pulling down every thing that is above your own

own level. Pride and envy are the motives that fet you all to work. Nor can one wonder that passions, the influence of which is so general, should give you many disciples and many admirers.

### DIOGENES.

When you have established your republick, if you will admit me into it, I promise you to be there a most respectful subject.

#### PLATO.

I am conscious, Diogenes, that my republick was imaginary, and could never be established. But they shew as little knowledge of what is practicable in politicks, as I did in that book, who suppose that the liberty of any civil society can be maintained by the destruction of order and decency, or promoted by the petulance of unbridled desamation.

#### DIOGENES.

I never knew any government angry at defamation, when it fell on those who disliked or obstructed its measures. But I well remember, that the thirty tyrants at Athens called opposition to them the destruction of order and decency.

11 W 25

# A PLATO.

Things are not altered by names.

## DIOGENES.

No—but names have a strange power to impose on weak understandings. If, when you were in Egypt, you had laughed at the worship of an onion; the priests would have called you an atheist, and the people would have stoned you. But, I presume, that, to have the honour of being initiated into the mysteries of that reverend hierarchy, you bowed as low to it as any of their devout disciples. Unfortunately my neck was not so pliant; and therefore I was never initiated into their mysteries either of religion or government, but was feared or hated by all who thought it their interest to make them be respected.

### PLATO.

Your vanity found its account in that fear and that hatred. The high priest of a deity, or the ruler of a state, is much less distinguished from the vulgar herd of mankind, than the scoffer at all religion, and the despifer of all dominion.—But let us end our dispute. I feel my folly, in continuing to argue

argue with one, who, in reasoning, does not seek to come at truth, but merely to shew his wit. Adieu, Diogenes. I am going to converse with the shades of Pythagoras, Solon, and Bias. — You may jest with Aristophanes, or rail with Thersites.

# DIALOGUE XXXI,

ARISTIDES—PHOCION—DEMOSTHENES.

### ARISTIDES.

HOW could it happen, that Athens, after having recovered an equality with Sparta, should be forced to submit to the dominion of Macedon, when she had two such great men as Phocion and Demosthenes at the head of her state?

#### PHOCION.

It happened because our opinions of her interests in foreign affairs were totally different; which made us act with a constant and pernicious opposition, the one to the other.

#### ARISTIDES.

I wish to hear from you both (if you will indulge my curiosity) on what principles you could form such contrary judgements concerning points of such moment to the safety of your country, which you equally loved.

### DEMOSTHENES.

My principles were the same with yours, Aristides. I laboured to maintain the independence of Athens against the incroaching ambition of Macedon, as you had maintained it against that of Persia. I saw that our own strength was unequal to the enterprize; but what we could not do alone, I thought might be done by a union of the principal states of Greece; such a union as had been formed by you and Themistocles, in oppofition to the Persians. To effect this, was the great, the constant, aim of my policy; and, though traversed in it by many whom the gold of Macedon had corrupted, and by Phocion, whom alone, of all the enemies to my fystem, I must acquit of corruption, I fo far fucceeded, that I brought into the field of Chæronea an army equal to Philip's. The event was unfortunate; but Aristides. will not judge of the merits of a statesman by the accidents of war.

## PHOCION.

Do not imagine, Aristides, that I was less desirous than Demosthenes to preserve the independence and liberty of my country. But, before I engaged the Athenians in a war not absolutely necessary, I thought it proper to consider what the event of a battle would probably

306

probably be. That which I feared, came to pass: the Macedonians were victorious, and Athens was ruined.

#### DEMOSTHENES.

Would Athens not have been ruined if no battle had been fought? Could you, Phocion, think it safety, to have our freedom depend on the moderation of Philip? and what had we else to protect us, if no confederacy had been formed to resist his ambition?

#### PHOCION.

I faw no wisdom in accelerating the downfall of my country, by a rash activity in provoking the refentment of an enemy, whose arms, I foretold, would in the iffue prove fuperior, not only to ours, but to those of any confederacy we were able to form. My maxim was, "That a state, which cannot make "itself stronger than any of its neighbours, " should live in friendship with that power "which is the strongest." But, the more apparent it was that our strength was inferior to that of Macedon, the more you laboured to induce us, by all the vehemence of your oratory, to take such measures as tended to render Philip our enemy, and exafperate him. more against us than any other nation. This I thought a rash conduct. It was not by orations that the dangerous war you had kindled

kindled could finally be determined: nor did your triumphs over me in an affembly of the people intimidate any Macedonian in the field of Chæronea, or stop you yourself from flying out of that field.

## DEMOSTHENES.

My flight thence, I must own, was ignominious to me; but it affects not the question we are agitating now, whether the counsels I gave to the people of Athens, as a statesman and a publick minister, were right or wrong. When first I excited them to make war against Philip, the victories gained by Chabrias, in which you, Phocion, had a share, particularly that of Naxos, which completely restored to us the empire of the sea, had enabled us to maintain, not only our own liberty, but that of all Greece, in the defence of which we had formerly acquired fo much glory, and which our ancestors thought so important to the safety and independence of Athens. Philip's power was but beginning, and supported itself more by craft than force. I faw, and I warned my countrymen in due time, how impolitick it would be, to fuffer his machinations to be carried on with fuccess, and his strength to increase by continual acquisitions, without refistance. I exposed the weakness of that narrow, that short-sighted policy, which looked no further than to our own immediate

diate borders, and imagined that whatfoever lay out of those bounds was foreign to our interests, and unworthy of our care. The force of my remonstrances rouzed the Athenians to a more vigilant conduct. Then it was, that the orators, whom Philip had corrupted, loudly inveighed against me, as alarming the people with imaginary dangers, and drawing them into quarrels in which they had really no concern. This language, and the fair professions of Philip, who was perfectly skilled in the royal art of dissembling, were often so prevalent, that many favourable opportunities of defeating his defigns were unhappily loft. Yet fometimes, by the spirit with which I animated the Athenians and other neighbouring states, I stopt the progress of his arms, and opposed to him such obstacles as cost him much time and much labour to remove. You yourfelf, Phocion, at the head of fleets and armies fent against him by decrees which I had proposed, vanquished his troops in Eubæa, and saved from him Byfantium, with other cities our allies on the coasts of the Hellespont, from which you drove him with shame.

# PHOCION.

and true So.

The proper use of those advantages was, to secure a peace to Athens, which they inclined him to keep. His ambition was checked; but his forces were not so much dimi-

diminished as to render it safe to provoke him to further hostilities.

### DEMOSTHENES.

1,150,274.4

His courage and policy were indeed to tuperior to ours, that, notwithstanding his defeats, he was foon in a condition to pursue the great plan of conquest and dominion, which he had formed long before, and from which he never defisted. Thus, through indolence on our fide, and activity on his, things were brought to fuch a crisis, that I faw no hope of delivering all Greece from his yoke, but by confederating against him the Athenians and the Thebans; which league I effected. Was it not better to fight for the independence of our country in conjunction with Thebes than alone? Would a battle lost in Bœotia be so fatal to Athens, as one lost in our own territory, and under our own walls?

### PHOCION.

You may remember, that, when you were eagerly urging this argument, I defired you to confider, not where we should fight, but how we should be conquerors: for, if we were vanquished, all forts of evils and dangers would be instantly at our gates.

### ARISTIDES.

Pidenot you tell me, Demosthenes, when you began to speak upon this subject, that

400

you brought into the field of Chæronea an army equal to Philip's?

### DEMOSTHENES.

I did, and believe that Phocion will not contradict me.

#### ARISTIDES.

But, though equal in number, it was, perhaps, much inferior to the Macedonians in valour and military discipline.

### DEMOSTHENES.

The courage shewn by our army excited the admiration of Philip himself; and their discipline was inferior to none in Greece.

### ARISTIDES.

What then occasioned their defeat?

The bad conduct of their generals.

### ARISTIDES.

Why was the command not given to Phocion, whose abilities had been proved on so many other occasions? was it offered to him, and did he refuse to accept it? You are filent, Demosthenes. I understand your silence. You are unwilling to tell me, that, having the power, by your influence over the people, to confer the command on what Athenian you pleased, you were induced, by the spirit of party, to lay aside a great general, who had been always successful, who had the chief considence of your troops

and of your allies, in order to give it to men. zealous indeed for your measures, and full of military ardour, but of little capacity or experience in the conduct of a war. You cannot plead, that, if Phocion had led your troops against Philip, there was any danger of his basely betraying his trust. Phocion could not be a traitor. You had feen him serve the republick, and conquer for it, in wars, the undertaking of which he had ftrenuously opposed, in wars with Philip. How could you then be so negligent of the safety of your country, as not to employ him in this, the most dangerous of all she ever had waged? If Chares and Lysicles, the two generals you chose to conduct it, had commanded the Grecian forces at Marathon and Platæa, we should have lost those battles. All the men whom you fent to fight the Macedonians under fuch leaders were victims to the animofity between you and Phocion, which made you deprive them of the neceffary benefit of his wife direction. This I think the worst blemish of your administration. In other parts of your conduct, I not only acquit, but greatly applaud and admire you. With the lagacity of a most confirmmate statesman, you penetrated the deepest designs of Phihp; you saw all the dangers, which threatened Greece from that quarter, while they were yet at a distance, you exhorted your countrymen to make a Vol. II. Dd timely

timely provision for their future security; you fpread the alarm through all the neighbouring states; you combined the most powerful in a confederacy with Athens; you carried the war out of Attica, which (let Phocion fay what he will) was fafer than meeting it there; you brought it, after all that had been done by the enemy to strengthen himself and weaken us, after the loss of Amphipolis, Olynthus, and Potidæa, the outguards of Athens; you brought it, I fay, to the decision of a battle with equal forces. When this could be effected, there was evidently nothing fo desperate in our circumflances, as to justify an inaction, which might probably make them worfe, but could not make them better. Phocion thinks that a state. which cannot itself be the strongest, should live in friendship with that power which is the strongest. But, in my opinion, such friendship is no better than servitude. It is more adviseable to endeavour to supply what is wanting in our own strength, by a conjunction with others who are equally in danger. This method of preventing the ruin of our country. was tried by Demosthenes. Nor yet did he neglect, by all practicable means, to augment, at the fame time, our internal refources. have heard, that, when he found the publick treature exhausted, he replenished it, with very great peril to himfelf, by bringing into it money appropriated before to the entertainment

tainment of the people, against the express prohibition of a popular law, which made it death to propose the application thereof to any other use. This was virtue, this was true and genuine patriotism. He owed all his importance and power in the state to the savour of the people: yet, in order to serve the state, he did not fear, at the evident hazard of his life, to offend their darling passion, and appeal against it to their reason.

### PHOCION.

For this action I praise him. It was indeed far more dangerous for a minister at Athens to violate that abfurd and extravagant law than any of those of Solon. But, though he restored our finances, he could not restore our lost virtue; he could not give that firm health, that vigour to the state, which is the refult of pure morals, of strict order and civil discipline, of integrity in the old, and obedience in the young. I therefore dreaded a conflict with the folid strength of Macedon, where corruption had yet made but a very small progress; and was happy that Demosthenes did not oblige me, against my own inclination, to be the general of tuch a people in fuch war.

### ARISTIDES.

I fear that your just contempt of the greater number of those who composed the democracy so disgusted you with this mode D d 2 and

and form of government, that you were as averse to serve under it, as others, with less ability and virtue than you, were desirous of obtruding themselves into its service. But, though such a reluctance proceeds from a very noble cause, and seems agreeable to the dignity of a great mind in bad times; yet it is a fault against the highest of moral obligations, the love of our country: for, how unworthy soever individuals may be, the publick is always respectable, always dear to the virtuous.

### PHOCION.

True: but no obligation can lie upon a citizen to feek a publick charge, when he foresees that his obtaining of it will be uselest to his country. Would you have had me folicit the command of an army which I believed would be beaten?

## ARISTIDES.

It is not permitted to a state to despair of its safety, till its utmost efforts have been made without success. If you had commanded the army at Chæronea, you might possibly have changed the event of the day: but, if you had not, you would have died more honourably there, than in a prison at Athens, betrayed by a vain considence in the insecure friendship of a persidious Maccedonian.

# DIALOGUE XXXII.

MARCUS AURELIUS PHILOSOPHUS
—SERVIUS TULLIUS.

### SERVIUS TULLIUS.

YES, Marcus, though I own you to have been the first of mankind in virtue and goodness; though, while you governed, philosophy sat on the throne, and diffused the benign influences of her administration over the whole Roman empire; yet, as a king, I might, perhaps, pretend to a merit even superior to yours.

### MARCUS AURELIUS.

That philosophy you ascribe to me has taught me to feel my own defects, and to venerate the virtues of other men. Tell me, therefore, in what consisted the superiority of your merit as a king.

## SERVIUS TULLIUS.

It consisted in this, that I gave my people freedom. I diminished, I limited, the kingly power, when it was placed in my hands. I need not tell you, that the plan of government instituted by me was adopted by the Romans, when they had driven out Tarquin, the destroyer of their liberty; and gave its form to that republick, composed of

a due mixture of the regal, aristocratical, and democratical powers, the strength and wisdom of which subdued the world. Thus all the glory of that great people, who for many ages excelled the rest of mankind in the arts of war and of policy, belongs originally to me.

### MARCUS AURELIUS.

There is much truth in what you fay. But would not the Romans have done better, if, after the expulsion of Tarquin, they had vested the regal power in a limited monarch, instead of placing it in two annual elective magistrates, with the title of confuls? This was a great deviation from your plan of government, and, I think, an unwife one. For a divided royalty is a folecism, an absurdity in politicks. Nor was the regal power, committed to the administration of consuls, continued in their hands long enough to enable them to finish any difficult war, or other act of great moment. Hence arose a necessity of prolonging their commands beyond the legal term; of hortening the interval prescribed by the laws between the elections to those offices; and of granting extraordinary commissions and powers; by all which, the republick was in the end destroyed.

# SERVIUS TULLIUS.

The revolution which enfued upon the death of Lucretia was made with so much anger, that it is no wonder the Romans abolished in their fury the name of king, and defired to weaken a power, the exercise of which had been so grievous; though the doing this was attended with all the inconveniencies you have justly observed. But, if anger acted too violently in reforming abuses, philosophy might have wisely corrected that error. Marcus Aurelius might have newmodeled the constitution of Rome. He might have made it a limited monarchy; leaving to the emperors all the power that was necessary to govern a wide-extended empire, and to the fenate and people all the liberty that could be confiftent with order and obedience to government; a liberty purged of faction, and guarded against anarchy.

### MARCUS AURELIUS.

I should have been happy indeed, if it had been in my power to do such good to my country. But the gods themselves cannot force their blessings on men who by their vices are become incapable to receive them. Liberty, like power, is only good for those who possess it when it is under the constant direction of virtue. No laws can have force enough to hinder it from degenerating into faction and anarchy, where the morals of a nation

nation are depraved; and continued habits of vice will eradicate the very love of it out of the hearts of a people. A Marcus Brutus, in my time, could not have drawn to his standard a single legion of Romans. But further, it is certain, that the spirit of liberty is absolutely incompatible with the spirit of conquest. To keep great conquered nations in subjection and obedience, great standing armies are necessary. The generals of those armies will not long remain subjects; and whoever acquires dominion by the sword must rule by the sword. If he do not destroy liberty, liberty will destroy him.

### SERVIUS TULLIUS.

Do you then justify Augustus, for the change he made in the Roman government?

### MARCUS AURELIUS.

I do not—for Augustus had no lawful authority to make that change. His power was usurpation and breach of trust. But the government, which he seized with a violent hand, came to me by a lawful and established rule of succession.

# SERVIUS TULLIUS.

Can any length of establishment make despotism lawful? is not liberty an inherent, inalienable right of mankind?

#### MARCUS AURELIUS.

They have an inherent right to be governed by laws, not by arbitrary will. But forms of government may, and must, be occasionally changed, with the confent of the people. When I reigned over them, the Romans were governed by laws.

### SERVIUS TULLIUS.

Yes, because your moderation, and the precepts of that philosophy in which your youth had been tutored, inclined you to make the laws the rules of your government, and the bounds of your power. But, if you had desired to govern otherwise, had they power to restrain you?

### MARCUS AURELIUS.

They had not.—The imperial authority, in my time, had no limitations.

## SERVIUS TULLIUS.

Rome therefore was in reality as much enflaved under you as under your fon; and you left him the power of tyrannizing over it by hereditary right.

# MARCUS AURELIUS.

I did—And the conclusion of that tyranny was his murder.

Vol. II.

SERVIUS TULLIUS.

Unhappy father! unhappy king! What a detestable thing is absolute monarchy, when even the virtues of Marcus Aurelius could not hinder it from being destructive to his family, and pernicious to his country, any longer than the period of his own life. But how happy is that kingdom, in which a limited monarch presides over a state so justly poised, that it guards itself from such evils; and has no need to take refuge in arbitrary power against the dangers of anarchy; which is almost as bad a resource as it would be for a ship to run itself on a rock, in order to escape from the agitation of a tempest!

END OF VOL.